

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATURE REVIEW	12
METHODS OF CALCULATIONS	18
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	39
CONCLUSION	72
LITERATURE CITED	76
APPENDIX	92

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Data set used for CoMFA analysis with K_i (nM) and pK_i values in the quadruple mutant of <i>Pf</i> DHFR.	19
2	Data set used for CoMFA analysis with their K_i (nM) and pK_i (M) values in the wild type (wt) and mutant (mut) <i>Pf</i> DHFR models.	20
3	Interaction energy (kcal/mol) between three antifolate and four mutated residues of <i>Pf</i> DHFR using MP2/6-31G(d,p) level of calculations	35
4	Details of ONIOM3 layer partitions and level of calculations	36
5	PLS statistical results of CoMFA models for quadruple mutant <i>Pf</i> DHFR	40
6	Actual (Act) and predicted (Pred) pK_i values and the residuals (Δ) of the training set and test set molecules for the mutant <i>Pf</i> DHFR models	41
7	Particular interaction energy (kcal/mol) of 1 (Pyr) and 6 with individual residues, calculated by MP2/6-31G(d,p) and MP2/6-31G(d,p) with BSSE-CP.	46
8	PLS statistical results of CoMFA models of Cyc derivatives active against wild type and quadruple mutant type <i>Pf</i> DHFRs	49
9	Actual (Act) and predicted (Pred) pK_i values and the residuals (Δ) of the training set and test set molecules for the wild type models	50
10	Actual (Act) and predicted (Pred) pK_i values and the residuals (Δ) of the training set and test set molecules for the quadruple mutant type models.	51
11	ONIOM3 Energy terms in kcal/mol for antifolate ligands bound in the same binding pocket in quadruple mutant type <i>Pf</i> DHFR.	68

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Alphonse Laveran was the first to notice parasites in the blood of a patient suffering from malaria.	1
2	Ronald Ross was the first to demonstrate that a mosquito could transmit a malaria parasite.	2
3	Biosynthetic cycle of dTMP (R = pABA + L-glutamate)	3
4	The contribution of each naturally mutations of <i>Pf</i> DHFR	4
5	Chemical structures of proguanil and its derivatives	6
6	Chemical structures of pyrimethamine	7
7	Biological activity of Pyr, Cyc and WR99210 antifolates against to wild type and quadruple mutant type (Asn51Ile, Cys59Arg, Ser108Asn, Ile164Leu) <i>Pf</i> DHFRs	8
8	Example of a three-layer partitioning scheme	15
9	The energy extrapolation scheme of the three-layer ONIOM method	16
10	Template structure of 5-phenyl-2,4-diaminoPyrimidine derivatives	18
11	Template structure of 1,3,5 dihydrotriazine derivatives	20
12	Example of graphic representation of the binding site with pyrimethamine (compound 4), the cofactor NAD was also presented and caused limited space for position 5 substitution.	22
13	Template alignment of Pyr derivatives shown with the asterisks	23
14	Template alignment of Cyc derivatives displayed with the asterisks	24
15	The backbone superimposition between the X-ray <i>Pf</i> DHFR/WR99210 complex structures of quadruple mutant (PDB entry 1J3K shown in green color) and <i>Pf</i> DHFR/Pyr double mutant (PDB entry 1J3J shown in orange color), indicating the similarity binding position of both two inhibitors.	26

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure		Page
16	The 2D scheme of the adopted model system of Pyr inhibitor bound to the quadruple mutant type (Asn51Ile, Cys59Arg, Ser108Asn, and Ile164Leu) of <i>Pf</i> DHFR binding site.	28
17	The 2D scheme of the adopted model system of Cyc bound to the wild type and quadruple mutant type <i>Pf</i> DHFR binding sites.	30
18	The 2D scheme of the adopted model system of flexible potent Cyc derivative, WR99210, bound to the <i>Pf</i> DHFR binding site.	31
19	Schematic representation of the three-layer ONIOM; region of high level represented by ball and stick, medium level represented by pink stick and whole enzyme using low level of calculations	36
20	Extrapolation schematic representation of the three-layer ONIOM partitions and energy calculations.	37
21	Plot of the predicted and actual pK_i values of the training set and the test set molecules with CoMFA model IV	41
22	CoMFA (stdev.*coeff.) sterically favored areas are represented by green regions. Sterically unfavored areas are represented by yellow regions (level of steric contour contribution = 80%) and compound 12 is represented by ball and stick.	43
23	CoMFA (stdev.*coeff.) negative charge favored area is represented by the red region. Positive charge favored area is represented by the blue region (level of electrostatic contour contribution = 80%) and compound 12 is represented by ball and stick.	44
24	H-bond distances between inhibitor and residues in the binding pocket; (A) compound 1 and (B) compound 6 (in Å).	46

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure		Page
25	The electrostatic potential is shown on the solvent accessible surface as red for negative and blue for positive values for Asn108 interacted with (A) compound 1 (B) and compound 6 .	47
26	Plotted graph of the predicted vs actual activities of the training set and the test set molecules (A) wild type-Model III (B) mutant-Model VII	52
27	The steric contour maps of wild type <i>Pf</i> DHFR CoMFA model. The favorable steric areas (contribution levels 80%) with more bulk are indicated by green regions, where as the disfavorable steric areas (contribution levels 20%) are shown by yellow regions. The compound 14 is represented by ball and stick.	54
28	The electrostatic contour maps of wild type <i>Pf</i> DHFR CoMFA model. The favorable electrostatic areas (contribution levels 80%) with positive charges are indicated by blue regions, whereas the favorable electrostatic areas (contribution levels 20%) with negative charges are show by red regions. The compound 14 is represented by ball and stick.	55
29	The steric contour maps of quadruple mutant type <i>Pf</i> DHFR CoMFA model. The favorable steric areas (contribution levels 80%) with more bulk are indicated by green regions; where as the disfavorable steric areas (contribution levels 20%) are shown by yellow regions. The compound 14 is represented by ball and stick.	57
30	The electrostatic contour maps of quadruple mutant type <i>Pf</i> DHFR CoMFA model (st.dev. \times coeff.). The favorable electrostatic areas (contribution levels 80%) with positive charges are indicated by blue regions, whereas the favorable electrostatic areas (contribution levels 20%) with negative charges are show by red regions. The compound 14 is represented by ball and stick.	58

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure		Page
31	The obtained MP2/6-31G(d,p) with BSSE-CP interaction energies of Cyc and individual amino acids surrounding the binding pocket of wild type (A) and quadruple mutant type (B) <i>Pf</i> DHFRs	60
32	H-bond distances between Cyc inhibitor and residues in the binding pocket; (A) wild type and (B) quadruple mutant type DHFRs (in Å).	61
33	The electrostatic potential is shown on the van der Waal surface as red for negative and blue for positive values for Cyc and Ser108 (A) and Asn108 (B).	62
34	The MP2/6-31G(d,p) with BSSE-CP energetic comparison between Cyc and WR99210 in case of (A) wild type and (B) quadruple mutant type <i>Pf</i> DHFR binding sites	64
35	RMSD of the three antifolates: Cyc (blue line), Pyr (orange line) and WR99210 (purple line) in Å	65
36	RMSD of the back bond amino acids atoms from the quadruple mutant <i>Pf</i> DHFR obtained from the complex structures: Cyc (pink line), Pyr (green line) and WR99210 (brown line)	66
37	H-bond distances between small regions of quadruple mutant <i>Pf</i> DHFR binding pocket and ligands (A) Cyc (B) Pyr and (C) WR99210 (in Å).	69
38	The electrostatic potential is shown on the van der Waal surface as red for negative and blue for positive values for Asn108 and three types of antifolates, Pyr, Cyc and WR99210.	70

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

2D	=	Two-dimension
3D-QSAR	=	Three-dimensional quantitative structure-activity relationship
Ala (A)	=	Alanine
Asn (N)	=	Asparagine
Arg (R)	=	Arginine
Asp (D)	=	Aspartic acid
B3LYP	=	Beck's three parameter hybrid functional using the LYP correlation functional
BSSE-CP	=	Basis set superposition error based on the counterpoise scheme
CoMFA	=	Comparative molecular field analysis
Comp	=	Compounds
C _{sp3} (+1)	=	Carbon sp ³ -hybridization with plus 1 charge probe atom
Cys (C)	=	Cysteine
Cyc	=	Cycloguanil
DNA	=	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DHF	=	Dihydrofolate
DHFR	=	Dihydrofolate reductase
dTMP	=	Deoxythymidylate
Gly (G)	=	Glycine
H (+1)	=	Hydrogen plus 1 charge probe atom
HF	=	Hartree-fock theory
HIV-1	=	Human immunodeficiency virus type 1
HQ	=	High level of quantum chemical calculations
Ile (I)	=	Isoleucine
K_i	=	Inhibition constant
Leu (L)	=	Leucine
LOO	=	Leave-one-out
LQ	=	Low level of quantum chemical calculations
Lys (K)	=	Lysine

LIST OF ABBREVIATION (Continued)

MD	=	Molecular dynamics
Met (M)	=	Methionine
MLR	=	Multiple linear regression
MM	=	Molecular mechanics
MP2	=	Second order Möller-plesset
NAD	=	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleophide
Noc	=	Number of component
ONIOM	=	Our own n-layer intergrated molecular orbital molecular mechanics
O _{sp3} (-1)	=	Oxygen sp3-hybridization with minus 1 charge probe atom
PDB	=	Protein data bank
<i>Pf</i>	=	<i>Plasmodium falciparum</i>
<i>PfDHFR</i>	=	<i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> dihydrofolate reductase
Phe (F)	=	Phenylalanine
<i>pKi</i>	=	Negative logarithm of inhibition constant
PLS	=	Partial least square
PM3	=	Modified neglect of diatomic overlap, parametric method number 3
PME	=	Particle mesh ewald
PRESS	=	Prediction error sum of squares
Pro (P)	=	Proline amino acid
Pyr	=	Pyrimethamine
QM	=	Quantum mechanics
QM/MM	=	Quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical method
QSAR	=	Quantitative structure-activity relationship
r_{cv}^2	=	Predictive ability of cross-validation
r_{nv}^2	=	Predictive ability of no-validation
RMS	=	Root mean square
RMSD	=	Root mean square deviation

LIST OF ABBREVIATION (Continued)

Ser (S)	=	Serine
SHMT	=	Serine hydroxymethyltransferase
S_{press}	=	Uncertainty of the prediction
SSY	=	Variance of the data around the mean value
THF	=	Tetrahydrofolate
Thr (T)	=	Threonine
Trp (W)	=	Tryptophan
TS	=	Thymidylate synthase
Tyr (Y)	=	Tyrosine
Val (V)	=	Valine
UFF	=	universal force field