

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
LIST OF TABLE	iv
LIST OF FIGURE	vii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
Significance of the Study	1
Thai Educational Reform in Science Education	2
A Focus on Teaching and Learning about Matter and Its Properties	4
A Conceptual Change Approach for Teacher	5
Purposes of the Study	7
Research Questions	7
Anticipated Outcomes	8
Delimitations of Study	9
Operational Definition of Terms	10
The Structure of the Thesis	11
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	13
Introduction	13
Theories of Learning	14
Conceptual Change	18
Student Conceptions of Matter	23
Teaching for Conceptual Change	46
The Teachers	60
Summary	64

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY	65
Introduction	65
Perspectives for Educational Research	65
The Multiple Perspectives and Data Generation Methods	67
Ethics	72
Ensuring the Trustworthiness of Data Generation and Analysis	73
The Research Design for This Study	75
Ethics in This Study	85
Ensuring the Trustworthiness in This Study	86
Data Analysis	87
Summary	88
CHAPTER IV EXISTING SITUATION OF TEACHING AND LEARNING ABOUT MATTER	90
Introduction	90
Student Conceptions about Matter	90
Conclusion of Student Conceptions about Matter	99
Teacher Perceptions about Teaching and Learning Matter	100
Summary	101
CHAPTER V DEVEOLOPING OF THE INTERVENTION	103
Introduction	103
The Educational Reconstruction Model	103
The Construction of The Instructional Unit in This Study: A Conceptual Change-Based Instructional Unit	106
Summary	119

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	Page
CHAPTER VI RESULT AND DISCUSSION	120
Introduction	120
Teaching and Learning about Matter and Its Properties Using the Conceptual Change Approach-Based Instructional Unit	121
Case Study I: School TK	121
Case Study II: School JF	166
Case Study III: School AB	193
Cross Case Summary and Discussion of Changes in the Teaching And Learning Of Matter Deriving From the Use of A Conceptual Change-Based Instructional Unit	218
CHAPTER VII CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	234
Introduction	234
Summary of the Findings	238
Reccommodation	248
Suggestions for Future Research	249
REFERENCES	250
APPENDIXES	272
Appendix A: Student’s Concept Survey	273
Appendix B: Example of Lessons in A Conceptual Change- Based Instructional Unit	289
BIOGRAPHICAL DATA	297

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table		Page
3.1	The Research Phases	76
3.2	Teachers' Backgrounds	77
3.3	Teacher Interview Questions	82
4.1	Students' Categories of Responses	91
4.2	Number of the Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Change in State of Matter	92
4.3	Number Of The Students' Categories Of Responses Concerning Solution Process	94
4.4	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Separation of Mixture	96
4.5	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Chemical Reaction	98
5.1	A Summary of The Conceptual Change Approach-based Instructional Unit	110

**LIST OF TABLE (CONTINUED)**

Table		Page
6.1	Students' Categories of Responses	150
6.2	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Change in State of Matter from Pre-Post Survey	152
6.3	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Solution Process from Pre-Post Survey	157
6.4	Number of the Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Separation of Mixture from Pre-Post Survey	161
6.5	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Chemical Reaction from Pre-Post Survey	162
6.6	Students' Categories of Responses	180
6.7	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Change in State of Matter from Pre-Post Survey	181
6.8	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Solution Process from Pre-Post Survey	185
6.9	Number of the Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Separation Of Mixture from Pre-Post Survey	189

**LIST OF TABLE (CONTINUED)**

Table		Page
6.10	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Chemical Reaction from Pre-Post Survey	191
6.11	Students' Categories of Responses	207
6.12	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Change in State of Matter from Pre-Post Survey	208
6.13	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Solution Process from Pre-Post Survey	211
6.14	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Separation of Mixture from Pre-Post Survey	214
6.15	Number of The Students' Categories of Responses Concerning Chemical Reaction from Pre-Post Survey	216
6.16	Summary of the Highlights of Teachers' Changes from Three Case Studies	220

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure		Page
6.1	An Example of A Problem Solving Case	126
6.2	The students used a cloth to sieve fibre from orange juice.	127
6.3	The TK student experiments of burning sugar, changing of flower color, cooking Hokey-Pokey, and the reaction between baking power and vinegar.	130
6.4	The Students' Models of Sugar Solution	133
6.5	A group 2' Models of Sugar Solution	134
6.6	A group 3' Models of Sugar Solution	135
6.7	A group 4' Models of Sugar Solution	136
6.8	Student TK 1's journal	137
6.9	Student TK 21's journal	138
6.10	Student JF 7's a jar of sand model	170
6.11	Student JF 8's a jar of sand model	172

**LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)**

Figure		Page
6.12	Student JF 28's journal	174
6.13	The Student Drama- The Dangers of Burning	198
6.14	Student AB 26's journal	198
6.15	The Comparison of Pre-Post Students' Number of Scientific Conceptions in Each School about the Change in State of Matter.	228
6.16	The Comparison of the Number of Pre- Post Students' Scientific Conceptions in Each School about the Solution Process.	229
6.17	The Comparison of the Number of Pre-Post Students' Scientific Conceptions in Each School about the Chemical Reaction.	231
6.18	The Comparison of The Number of Pre-Post Students' Scientific Conceptions in Each School about the Separation of the Mixture.	232