

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	x
INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATURE REVIEW	5
MATERIALS AND METHODS	14
RESULTS	28
DISCUSSION	72
CONCLUSION	83
LITERATURE CITED	86
APPENDIX	97
CURRICULUM VITAE	104

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Rubber production expressed in kg/tree (kg/t).	29
2	Sucrose concentration ([Suc], mM/litre of latex) and Inorganic phosphorus concentration ([Pi], mM/litre of latex) collected 5 cm under tapping cut.	29
3	The average trunk values of latex sucrose and latex inorganic phosphorus in different tapping system.	30
4	Sucrose concentration ([Suc], mM/litre of latex) and Inorganic phosphorus concentration ([Pi], mM/litre of latex) on tapped panel and untapped panel.	31
5	Relation between estimated size of latex regeneration area, production and average metabolic parameters (Suc, Pi) measured inside latex of panel (A) of different tapping systems.	41
6	Main effect of treatment on non-structural carbohydrate concentrations ($\text{mg}_{\text{Glu}}/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in trunk wood, as averaged for all dates and distances from ground (0-2 m.).	44
7	Analysis of variance by combined treatments and panel of D/2 and D/4 (Tapped on panel A and untapped on panel B) for all dates and distance from ground (0-2m.). Mean concentration of non-structural carbohydrates ($\text{mg}_{\text{Glu}}/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in trunk wood.	44
8	Mean concentration of non-structural carbohydrate ($\text{mg}_{\text{Glu}}/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in trunk wood, per date, for all treatments and locations (0-2 m.).	47
9	Difference in starch concentration between tapped treatments and control along the trunk wood in percent.	52
10	Difference in soluble sugar concentration between tapped treatments and control along the trunk wood in percent.	52

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Table		Page
11	Main effect of treatment on non-structural carbohydrate concentrations ($\text{mg}_{\text{Glu}}/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in trunk bark, as averaged for all dates and distances from ground (0-2 m.).	54
12	3-way Analysis of variance by combined treatments and panel of Control (untapped tree on panel A), D/2 and D/4 (Tapped on panel A and untapped on panel B) and DCA (both panel A and B were tapped), for all dates and distance from ground (0-2m.). Mean concentration of non-structural carbohydrates ($\text{mg}_{\text{Glu}}/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in trunk bark.	54
13	Mean concentration of non-structural carbohydrate ($\text{mg}_{\text{Glu}}/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in trunk bark, per date, for all treatments and locations (0-2 m.).	57
14	Difference in starch concentration between tapped treatments and control along the trunk bark in percent.	62
15	Difference in soluble sugar concentration between tapped treatments and control along the trunk wood in percent.	62
16	Mean concentration of non-structural carbohydrates ($\text{mg}_{\text{Glu}}/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in inner part of root and outer part of root, as related to tapping treatment, for all dates and kind of roots homogeneous groups.	64
17	Mean concentration of non-structural carbohydrates ($\text{mg}_{\text{Glu}}/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in inner part of root and outer part of root, as related to kind of roots, for all dates and treatments.	64
18	Mean concentration of non-structural carbohydrate ($\text{mg}_{\text{Glu}}/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in inner part of root and outer part of root, per date, for all treatments and locations. (a, b, c): homogeneous groups.	65

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Appendix Table	Page
1 4-way analysis of variance of the effect of treatments (control, D2, D4 and DCA), distance from ground (0-2m.) and sampling date (9 dates) on starch, soluble sugars (SS) and total non-structural carbohydrates (TNC) in trunk wood.	98
2 4-way Analysis of variance of the effect of treatments (control, D2, D4 and DCA), distance from ground (0-2m.) and sampling date (9 dates) on starch, soluble sugars (SS) and total non-structural carbohydrates (TNC) in trunk bark.	99
3 Analysis of variance of the effect of combined treatments, inner part of root and sampling date on starch, soluble sugars (SS) and total non-structural carbohydrates (TNC).	100
4 Analysis of variance of the effect of combined treatments, outer part of root and sampling date on starch, soluble sugars (SS) and total non-structural carbohydrates (TNC).	100
5 Rain fall (mm.) in year 2002, 2003, 2004 and average 15 years at Chachoengsao Rubber Research Center.	101

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Tapping panel management of tapping system (1). D/2 or 1/2S d/2 (2). D/4 or 1/2S d/3 ET 2.5%, 8/y and (3). DCA (Double cut alternative system, 2x1/2S d/4 (t,t)).	17
2	Tapping panel management of tapping system (1). D/2 or 1/2S d/2 (2). D/4 or 1/2S d/4 ET 2.5%, 6/y and (3). DCA (Double cut alternative system, 2x1/2S d/4 (t,t)).	18
3	Diagram of sampling were taken on each treatment (1) D/2 or 1/2S d/2 (2). D/4 or 1/2S d/4 ET 2.5%, 6/y and (3) DCA (double cut alternative system) or 2x1/2S d/4 (t,t).	20
4	Vertical distribution of latex sucrose content ([Suc], mM.l ⁻¹) and inorganic phosphorus content ([Pi], mM.l ⁻¹) after 4 year of tapping (September 2003) in panel A (tapped panel) of RRIM600 clone, depending on distance from ground. Sampling on tapped trees is performed every 15 cm from bottom to 200 cm above the ground. Number of samples depended on position and width of renew bark [bark consumption of each treatment, no data in these areas].	33
5	Vertical distribution of latex sucrose content ([Suc], mM.l ⁻¹) and inorganic phosphorus content ([Pi], mM.l ⁻¹) after 4 year of tapping (September 2003) in panel B (untapped panel for D/2 and D/3 , tapped panel for DCA) of RRIM600 clone, depending on distance from ground. Sampling on tapped trees is performed every 15 cm from bottom to 200 cm above the ground. Number of samples depended on position and width of renew bark [bark consumption of each treatment, no data in these areas].	34

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Figure		Page
6	Vertical distribution of latex sucrose content ([Suc], mM.l ⁻¹) and inorganic phosphorus content ([Pi], mM.l ⁻¹) after 5 year of tapping (October 2004) in panel A (tapped panel) of RRIM600 clone, depending on distance from ground. Sampling on tapped trees is performed every 15 cm from bottom to 200 cm above the ground. Number of samples depended on position and width of renew bark [bark consumption of each treatment, no data in these areas].	35
7	Vertical distribution of latex sucrose content ([Suc], mM.l ⁻¹) and inorganic phosphorus content ([Pi], mM.l ⁻¹) after 5 year of tapping (October 2004) in panel B (untapped panel for D/2 and D/3 , tapped panel for DCA) of RRIM600 clone, depending on distance from ground. Sampling on tapped trees is performed every 15 cm from bottom to 200 cm above the ground. Number of samples depended on position and width of renew bark [bark consumption of each treatment, no data in these areas].	36
8	Latex metabolic activity areas determined by latex Pi level (Average of 4 replications per treatment) (8a) D/2, (8b) D/3 and (8c) DCA system.	39
9	Latex sucrose content distribution in the lower part of the trunk (average of 4 replications per treatment). The estimated latex regeneration area was limited by low Sucrose and high Pi. (9a) D/2, (9b) D/3 and (9c) DCA system.	40
10	Relation between latex sucrose content (Suc) and latex inorganic phosphorus content (Pi): all sampling positions.	42

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Figure		Page
11	Mean carbohydrate concentration ($\text{mg}_G/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in trunk wood, up to 600 cm, at 9 sampling dates. February 2003 and January 2004– leafless stage, March 2003 and February 2004 – at the end of re-foliation, May 2003 and May 2004 – resting period for tapping, October 2003 and October 2004 – high latex production period. starch; SS, total soluble sugars; TNC, total non-structural carbohydrates.	48
12	Vertical distribution of starch on panel A (left side) and starch on panel B (right side) in trunk wood. Panel A was tapped in D/2 and D/4 whereas panel B was untapped. Both panel A and B were tapped in DCA, average from 9 dates.	50
13	Vertical distribution of SS, total soluble sugar on panel A (left side) and SS on panel B (right side) in trunk wood. Panel A was tapped in D/2 and D/4 whereas panel B was untapped. Both panel A and B were tapped in DCA, average from 9 dates.	51
14	Mean carbohydrate concentration ($\text{mg}_{\text{Glu}}/\text{g}_{\text{SDM}}$) in trunk bark on panel A, up to 600 cm, at 9 sampling dates. February – leafless stage, March – at the end of re-foliation, May – resting period for tapping, October – high latex production period. St, starch; SS, total soluble sugars; TNC, total non-structural carbohydrates.	58
15	Vertical distribution of SS, total soluble sugars on panel A (left side) and SS on panel B (right side) in trunk bark, average from 9 dates.	60
16	Vertical distribution of starch on panel A (left side) and starch on panel B (right side) in trunk bark, average from 9 dates.	61

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Figure		Page
17	Influence of the volume of the extract on the total amylase activity (100 mM Hepes pH 8.0, KOH	66
18	Influence of incubation time on total amylase activity (100 mM Hepes pH 8.0 KOH).	67
19	Influence of enzyme concentration on Sucrose Phosphate Synthase (SPS) activity (4X SPS buffer: 400 mM Hepes pH 7.5 NaOH , 100 mM MgCl ₂ , 20 mM F6P, 100 mM G6P, UDPG 80 mM and H ₂ O).	68
20	Influence of time incubation on Sucrose Phosphate Synthase (SPS) activity (4X SPS buffer: 400 mM Hepes pH 7.5 NaOH , 100 mM MgCl ₂ , 20 mM F6P, 100 mM G6P, UDPG 80 mM and H ₂ O).	68
21	Cell Wall Invertase (CWI) activity related to the extract volume of enzyme. (the 2x invertase assay buffer: 70 mM K ₂ HPO ₄ , 40 mM citric acid, pH 5.0, 50 mM sucrose).	69
22	Cell Wall Invertase (CWI) activity related to incubation time 0 - 60 minutes (the 2x invertase assay buffer: 70 mM K ₂ HPO ₄ , 40 mM citric acid, pH 5.0, 50 mM sucrose).	69
23	Total amylase activity in PB 235 and RRIM 600 (FW – fresh wood, DW –dry wood).	70
24	SPS (Sucrose Phosphate Synthase) activity in the wood and the bark of clone PB 235 and RRIM 600.	71
25	Cell Wall Invertase activity in PB 235 and RRIM 600.	72

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

Appendix Figure	Page
1 Comparison of seasonal dynamics of SS and starch in wood and bark provided information on the relative role of the four carbohydrate components: SS in wood, SS in bark, starch in wood and starch in bark.(left side: control or untapped tree, right side: D/2 (1/2S d/2))	102
2 Comparison of seasonal dynamics of SS and starch in wood and bark provided information on the relative role of the four carbohydrate components: SS in wood, SS in bark, starch in wood and starch in bark.(left side: D/4 treatment, right side: DCA treatment)	103

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LD	=	Latex diagnosis technique
Suc	=	Sucrose latex concentration (mM.l^{-1})
Pi	=	Inorganic phosphorus concentration (mM.l^{-1})
RSH	=	Thiol concentration (mM.l^{-1})
SS	=	Total soluble sugar ($\text{mg}_G \cdot \text{g}^{-1}_{\text{SDM}}$)
TNC	=	Total nonstructural carbohydrate ($\text{mg}_G \cdot \text{g}^{-1}_{\text{SDM}}$)
$\text{mg}_G \cdot \text{g}^{-1}_{\text{SDM}}$	=	mg glucose equivalent per gram structural dry matter