

Original article

Clinical features and treatment outcomes in obese children with appendicitis

Kanokrat Thaiwatcharamas^a, Paisarn Vejchapipat^{b,*}, Prapapan Rajatapiti^b, Katawaetee Decharun^b

^aDepartment of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

^bDepartment of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Thai Red Cross, Bangkok, Thailand

Background: Even developing countries are facing problems of childhood obesity. Diagnosis and treatment of appendicitis in obese children can sometimes be challenging. This study compared clinical features and treatment outcomes between non-obese and obese children clinically diagnosed as appendicitis.

Methods: Children (0 - 15 years) diagnosed as appendicitis between 2007 and 2013 were reviewed. Children were categorized into non-obese and obese groups using weight for height (> 140.0% of ideal body weight) based on the data of the Ministry of Public Health. Demographic data, clinical data, and treatment outcome were studied. Comparisons between non-obese and obese children were carried out. SPSS was used for all statistical analyses. Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation.

Results: There were 268 children (222 non-obese and 46 obese) pre-operatively diagnosed as appendicitis. All patients underwent open appendectomy. Body mass index (BMI) of non-obese children was 18.3 ± 3.5 kg/m² whereas BMI of obese children was 27.2 ± 4.3 kg/m², ($P < 0.0001$). There was no difference in age between the 2 groups (non-obese vs. obese, 10.6 ± 2.7 vs. 10.5 ± 2.2 yr., $P = 0.84$). The majority of patients sought medical attention within 24 hours in both groups (67.0% vs. 76.0%, $P = 0.23$). Imaging studies (ultrasound or computed tomography) was used to confirm the diagnosis in 6.3%. There was no difference between non-obese and obese groups regarding operative time (71.3 ± 26.4 vs. 77.9 ± 28.1 min, $P = 0.13$), negative appendectomy rate (6.7% vs. 8.7%, $P = 0.75$), perforation rate (17.1% vs. 9.0%, $P = 0.25$), hospital stay (94 ± 64 vs. 81 ± 39 hr., $P = 0.19$) and wound infection (4.9% vs. 4.3%, $P = 0.99$).

Conclusion: Approximately one-fifth of children undergone appendectomy were obese. Our data suggest that obesity might not be associated with increased difficulty in making the diagnosis of appendicitis in children. However, childhood obesity appears to be associated with potentially more difficult surgery, as the increase in operative times.

Keywords: Obesity, appendicitis, children, complication.

Nowadays, many countries are facing problems of childhood obesity.⁽¹⁾ By 2010, studies showed that 22.0% to 40.0% of children in North American, Eastern Mediterranean, World Health Organization (WHO) regions, Europe, western Pacific, and Southeast Asia were predicted to be overweight or obese.^(2,3) In Thailand, the prevalence of childhood obesity also increases by years.⁽⁴⁻⁷⁾ Obesity in

children can lead to various complications as adults which is not only the medical problems such as metabolic disease but also the surgical problems.^(1,2,8-10) One of the most common conditions requiring abdominal surgery in children is appendicitis. The diagnosis and treatment of appendicitis in obese children can sometimes be challenging. This study aims to compare the clinical features and treatment outcomes between non-obese and obese children with clinically diagnosed appendicitis.

Materials and methods

Medical records of children (0 - 15 years) clinically diagnosed as appendicitis and underwent open appendectomy at King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital (KCMH) between 2007 and 2013 were

*Correspondence to: Paisarn Vejchapipat, Pediatric Surgery Unit, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Thai Red Cross, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

E-mail: paisarnv@gmail.com

Received: January 27, 2020

Revised: March 9, 2020

Accepted: April 5, 2020

reviewed. The patients who underwent interval appendectomy were excluded. Then children were categorized into non-obese and obese groups using Thai Growth Reference.⁽¹¹⁾ The obese group was defined by age- and gender-specific weight-for-height (W/H) more than 2 standard deviation (SD) of mean. Demographic data, clinical data, and treatment outcome were studied. The definite diagnosis of appendicitis was based on the pathology report and classified as perforated or non-perforated appendicitis. Comparisons between non-obese and obese children were carried out. SPSS version 22.0 was used in all statistical analyses. Data are shown as mean \pm SD. Fisher's Exact test and unpaired *t*-test were used to compare proportions, and results were considered statistically significant at *P*-value less than 0.05.

This cross-sectional study descriptive was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB), Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, IRB no. 064/57.

Results

Two hundred and sixty-nine children were admitted to the hospital after doctors diagnosed them with appendicitis, except one who was excluded for undergoing interval appendectomy. Of 268 patients, there were 169 male patients and 99 female patients. When we looked into the demographic and clinical

data (Table 1), we found that the mean age of all children was 10.6 years old, mean weight for height (W/H) was 115.3%, and time to presentation was 32.4 hrs. After categorized the children into non-obese and obese groups using Thai Growth Reference,⁽¹¹⁾ 222 patients (82.8%) were non obese, while 46 patients (17.2%) were obese. For the demographic data, there was no statistical difference of time to presentation, admission body temperature (BT), and white blood cell (WBC) data between the two groups. The mean W/H in the obese group was 160.4% whereas the other group was 105.9%.

For management and outcome (Table 2), we found that there was no significant difference of the number of imaging for diagnosis between the two groups. The overall of the mean operative time was 73.0 minutes and the obese group had significantly longer operative time compared to the non-obese group (80.9 ± 30.0 min vs. 71.3 ± 26.4 min; *P* = 0.03). The pathologic findings showed that 42 patients had perforated appendicitis, which is 38 patients (17.1%) in the non-obese group and 4 (9.0%) in the obese group. Similarly, there was no statistically significant difference of the number of negative appendectomies between both groups (6.7% vs. 8.7%). About post-operative complication, 14 patients had wound infection. The overall length of hospital stay was 91.8 hrs and there was no statistically significant difference between the obese and non-obese groups.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical data.

Demographic and clinical data	Mean \pm SD	<i>P</i> -value
Age (years)	10.6 \pm 2.6	0.84
Non-obese (222)	10.6 \pm 2.7	
Obese (46)	10.5 \pm 2.2	
Male (%)		0.05
Non-obese (222)	60.4	
Obese (46)	76.1	
Body mass index (kg/m²)	19.8 \pm 4.9	<0.0001
Non-obese (222)	18.3 \pm 3.5	
Obese (46)	27.2 \pm 4.3	
Weight for height (%)	115.3 \pm 27.9	<0.0001
Non-obese	105.9	
Obese	160.4	
Time to presentation (> 24 hr) (%)		0.23
Non-obese	73 (33.0)	
Obese	11 (24.0)	
Admission body temperature (°C)	37.5 \pm 0.8	0.31
Non-obese	37.6 \pm 0.9	
Obese	37.4 \pm 0.9	
White blood cell (cells/mm³)	15,622.1 \pm 5,482.7	0.90
Non-obese	15,641.0 \pm 5,694.0	
Obese	15,529.6 \pm 4,350.1	

Table 2. Management and outcome data between non-obese and obese group.

Management and outcome data	Non-obese (222) (%)	Obese (46) (%)	P - value
Operative time (min)	71.3 ± 26.4	80.9 ± 30.0	0.03
Perforated rate	38 (17.1)	4 (9.0)	0.25
Negative appendectomy	15 (6.7)	4 (8.7)	0.75
Ultrasound use	9 (4.1)	3 (6.5)	0.44
Computed tomography use	4 (1.8)	1 (2.2)	0.99
Length of hospital stay (hr)	94.0 ± 64.0	81.0 ± 39.0	0.19
Wound infection	12 (5.4)	2 (4.3)	0.99
Delayed primary suture	8 (3.6)	1 (2.2)	0.99
Wound infection and delayed primary suture	20 (9.0)	3 (6.5)	0.77

Discussion

For the literature review, the perforation rate of appendicitis in children was 12.9% to 38.9%, and the negative appendectomy rate was 2.5 to 13.5%.^(9, 12 - 15) Whereas, in our study, there were 15.7% of perforated appendicitis in children and 7.1% of negative appendectomy. The factors that increase the risk of perforation, including the patient's age, the onset of symptoms, family history of appendicitis, health insurance, and also obesity.^(9, 14, 16 - 18) In the obesity group, the perforation rate was increasing (11.1% to 45.0%).^(9, 13, 14, 19) As well as the incidence of negative appendectomy in obese children, Kutasy B, *et al.*⁽¹⁹⁾ found that the incidence of normal appendectomy was significantly higher in very obese children compared to non-obese children. The increase incidence of perforation and normal appendix might be explained by the difficulty of abdominal palpation because of abdominal circumference and excess adiposity.⁽²⁰⁾ However, our study found that the perforation rate in the obese group was 9.0% compared to 17.1% in the non-obese group and the negative appendectomy rate was 8.7% in the obese group and 6.7% in the non-obese group which without statistically significant difference of both findings.

Because of the difficulty of the physical examinations in childhood with obesity, several studies have advocated using further imaging in obese patients with clinically suspected appendicitis. Although a nondiagnostic screening ultrasonography has lower accuracy in overweight children⁽²¹⁾ and the appendix frequently cannot be visualized in obese children,⁽²²⁾ a non-radiation benefit is one of the main reasons for selecting this technique before computed tomography (CT). Our study found that there were no significant difference of ultrasonography and CT scan use between the obese group and the other.

The operative time showed that the obese group had significantly longer than non-obese group (80.9 ± 30.0 min vs. 71.3 ± 26.4 min; $P = 0.03$) consistent with both laparoscopic and open appendectomy studies.^(13, 23) In adult study found that obesity significantly increased operative time for each procedure.⁽¹⁰⁾ This might be explained by the difficulty of peritoneal cavity assessment. However, there was no statistically significant difference in length of hospital stay between two groups. While the other studies^(13, 23) found that childhood obesity is associated with long hospital stays. The wound infection and delayed primary suture rate were not a significant difference between both groups. Regarding these results, we encouraged to do the primary suture after appendectomy even in perforated appendicitis of an obese child. This technique is a benefit for reducing the experience of pain and anxiety from dressing changed in children.

There are some limitations in our study, including retrospective data, small numbers of children in all groups, particularly in the obese group, and the different definitions of obesity. The classification of the weight status of children is complicated by the fact that height and body composition are continually changing. There were different definitions of obesity in children, some studies use weight for ages, some studies use BMI for age.^(9, 13, 14, 19, 23) Our study defined obese children by age- and gender-specific weight-for-height (W/H) more than 2 SD of median according to Thai Growth Reference.⁽¹¹⁾ This reference might lower estimations of overweight compared to WHO Growth Reference and the International Obesity Task Force.⁽⁷⁾ However, some studies^(4, 6) suggest that it might be more appropriate to use the W/H. It is also suggested that a sex-specific, BMI for-age growth chart for Thai children be constructed.

Conclusion

Approximately one-fifth of children undergoing appendectomy were obese. Our data suggest that obesity might not be associated with increased difficulty in making the diagnosis of appendicitis in children. However, childhood obesity appears to be associated with potentially more difficult surgery, as the increase in operative times.

Conflict of interest

The authors, hereby, declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Ebbeling CB, Pawlak DB, Ludwig DS. Childhood obesity: public-health crisis, common sense cure. *Lancet* 2002;360:473-82.
- Han JC, Lawlor DA, Kimm SY. Childhood obesity. *Lancet* 2010;375:1737-48.
- Wang Y, Lobstein T. Worldwide trends in childhood overweight and obesity. *Int J Pediatr Obes* 2006;1: 11-25.
- Langendijk G, Wellings S, van Wyk M, Thompson SJ, McComb J, Chusilp K. The prevalence of childhood obesity in primary school children in urban Khon Kaen, northeast Thailand. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2003;12: 66-72.
- Nguyen T, Kamsrichan W, Chompikul J. Obesity and related factors among students grade 7-12 in Phutthamonthon districts, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand. *J Public Health Develop* 2008;6:91-101.
- Sengmeuang P, Kukongviriyapan U, Pasurivong O, Jones C, Khrisanapant W. Prevalence of obesity among Thai schoolchildren: a survey in Khon Kaen, Northeast Thailand. *Asian Biomed* 2010;4:965-70.
- Hong SA, Sriburapapirom C, Thamma-Aphiphol K, Jalayondeja C, Tiraphat S. Overweight and obesity among primary schoolchildren in Nakhon Pathom, Thailand: comparison of Thai, international obesity task force and WHO Growth references. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2017;48:902-11.
- Thelwall S, Harrington P, Sheridan E, Lamagni T. Impact of obesity on the risk of wound infection following surgery: results from a nationwide prospective multicentre cohort study in England. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2015;21:1008.
- Blanco FC, Sandler AD, Nadler EP. Increased incidence of perforated appendicitis in children with obesity. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2012;51:928-32.
- Hawn MT, Bian J, Leeth RR, Ritchie G, Allen N, Bland KI, et al. Impact of obesity on resource utilization for general surgical procedures. *Ann Surg* 2005;241: 821-6.
- Department of Health Ministry of Public Health, Thailand. Table: Weight by age. In: National growth references for Thai children under 20 years of age. Bangkok: War Veterans Organization; 1999. p. 28-83.
- Meier DE, Guzzetta PC, Barber RG, Hynan LS, Seetharamaiah R. Perforated appendicitis in children: is there a best treatment? *J Pediatr Surg* 2003;38: 1520-4.
- Davies DA, Yanchar NL. Appendicitis in the obese child. *J Pediatr Surg* 2007;42:857-61.
- Ramos CT, Nieves-Plaza M. The association of body mass index and perforation of the appendix in Puerto Rican children. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2012; 23:376-85.
- Chaiprasit P. Childhood appendicitis in regional hospital. *Thai J Surg* 2011;32:73-6.
- Brender JD, Marcuse EK, Koepsell TD, Hatch EI. Childhood appendicitis: factors associated with perforation. *Pediatrics* 1985;76:301-6.
- Nance ML, Adamson WT, Hedrick HL. Appendicitis in the young child: a continuing diagnostic challenge. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2000;16:160-2.
- Ponsky TA, Huang ZJ, Kittle K, Eichelberger MR, Gilbert JC, Brody F, et al. Hospital- and patient-level characteristics and the risk of appendiceal rupture and negative appendectomy in children. *JAMA* 2004; 292:1977-82.
- Kutasy B, Hunziker M, Laxamanadass G, Puri P. Increased incidence of negative appendectomy in childhood obesity. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2010;26:959-62.
- Krebs NF, Himes JH, Jacobson D, Nicklas TA, Guilday P, Styne D. Assessment of child and adolescent overweight and obesity. *Pediatrics* 2007;120 Suppl 4: S193-228.
- Schuh S, Man C, Cheng A, Murphy A, Mohanta A, Moineddin R, et al. Predictors of non-diagnostic ultrasound scanning in children with suspected appendicitis. *J Pediatr* 2011;158:112-8.
- Hörmann M, Scharitzer M, Stadler A, Pokieser P, Puig S, Helbich T. Ultrasound of the appendix in children: is the child too obese? *Eur Radiol* 2003;13: 1428-31.
- Garey CL, Laituri CA, Little DC, Ostlie DJ, St Peter SD. Outcomes of perforated appendicitis in obese and nonobese children. *J Pediatr Surg* 2011;46:2346-8.