ห้องสมุคงานวิจัย สำนักงานคณะกรรมการวิจัยแห่งชาติ



INVESTIGATION OF OPTIMAL COMPOSITION OF MIXED-REFRIGERANT IN ORG

MUSS HINSASIPAK KUNNATHUMSATHID

A SPECIAL RESEARCH PROJECT SUBMETTED IN PARTIAL FULFULMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR
THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ENGINEERING (CHEMICAL ENGINEERING)
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
RING MONGKUTS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY TRONSURI

2010



Investigation of Optimal Composition of Mixed-Refrigerant in ORC

Ms. Jinsasipak Kunnathumsathid B.Eng. (Chemical Engineering)

A Special Research Project Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for

The Degree of Master of Engineering (Chemical Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering

King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi

2010

Special Research Project Committee

Swif Tia (Assoc. Prof. Suvit Tia, Ph.D.)

Chairman of Special Research **Project Committee**

(Asst. Prof. Bunyaphat Suphanit, Ph.D.)

Member and Special Research Project Advisor

Wimokin Bridasawas

(Lect. Wimolsiri Pridasawas, Ph.D.)

Member and Special Research Project Co-Advisor

(Lect. Annop Nopharatana, Ph.D.)

Member

Copyright reserved

Special Research Project Title

Investigation of Optimal Composition of

Mixed-Refrigerant in ORC

Special Research Project Credits

Candidate

B.E.

Special Research Project Advisors

Program
Field of Study
Department
Faculty

Ms. Jinsasipak Kunnathumsathid Asst. Prof. Dr. Bunyaphat Suphanit

Dr. Wimolsiri Pridasawas Master of Engineering Chemical Engineering Chemical Engineering

Engineering

2553

E46969

Abstract

This work compared the capabilities of the Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) operating under saturated and superheated conditions. Furthermore, a guideline for using a single and mixed-working fluid in an ORC was suggested. The potential of applying mixedworking fluid in an ORC was explored and the optimal composition of the selected working fluids was determined. In this study, the recovery of medium grade energy from a biogas-fueled internal combustion engine (ICE) was considered. The stack temperature, acid dew point and mass flow rate of the exhaust gas supplied to an ORC were 400°C, 110°C and 4,582.83 kg/hr, respectively. The model development of 16 working fluids, consisting of 13 hydrocarbons and 3 halocarbons were carried out by using Aspen Plus V.7.1. For a single working fluid, all selected hydrocarbons and halocarbons were investigated whereas only hydrocarbons were studied in case of the mixed-working fluid because the halocarbons showed much lower potential to generate work when compared to the hydrocarbons. There were two objective functions being considered, i.e. maximizing net work output and maximizing profit. The key optimization parameters were the mass flow rate of the working fluid, the compositions of the selected working fluids, the turbine inlet pressure, the turbine outlet pressure and the superheating temperature. The optimization results revealed that pure toluene and a mixture of toluene and n-tetradecane (C14) operating under saturated condition were the most favorable alternative for the single working fluid and mixed-working fluid, respectively. When consider the profit gained from pure toluene and a toluene-C14 mixture, it was found that the profit gained from a toluene-C14 mixture was higher than that obtained by pure toluene. In consequence, it can be concluded that a mixture of 97.29 %wt toluene and 2.71 %wt n-tetradecane was the best working fluid under the condition in this study.

Keywords: Biogas-Fueled Internal Combustion Engine/ Organic Rankine Cycle/ Working Fluid Selection/ Mixed-Working Fluid

หัวข้อโครงการศึกษาวิจัย การศึกษาองค์ประกอบของสารทำความเย็นชนิคต่าง ๆ ที่เหมาะสม

ใน Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC)

หน่วยกิต

ผู้เขียน นางสาวจิณณ์ศศิภักด์ คุณธรรมสถิต

6

อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา ผศ.คร.บุณยพัต สุภานิช อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม คร.วิมลศิริ ปรีคาสวัสดิ์

หลักสูตร วิศวกรรมศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต

สาขาวิชา
 ภาควิชา
 วิศวกรรมเคมี
 คณะ
 วิศวกรรมศาสตร์

W.M. 2553

E46969

บทคัดย่อ

งานวิจัยนี้ได้ดำเนินการเปรียบเทียบความสามารถสูงสุดของสารทำงานแต่ละตัว ในวัฏจักรแรงคินซึ่ง ทำงานภายใต้สภาวะไอร้อนอิ่มตัว และไอร้อนยวคยิ่ง ซึ่งมีการนำพลังงานคุณภาพระคับกลางจาก เครื่องสันคาปภายในที่ใช้ก๊าซซีวภาพเป็นเชื้อเพลิงกลับมาใช้ใหม่ ก๊าซไอเสียที่ใช้เป็นแหล่งความร้อน ของระบบ ORC มีอุณหภูมิ 400°C อุณหภูมิอิ่มตัว 110°C และอัตราการไหล 4,582.83 กิโลกรัมต่อ ชั่วโมง นอกจากนี้ยังมีการศึกษาศักยภาพของสารทำงานแบบผสมที่ใช้ในวัฏจักรแรงคิน และหา สัดส่วนองค์ประกอบที่เหมาะสมของสารทำงานแบบผสมที่ผ่านการคัดเลือก ในงานวิจัยนี้ได้ศึกษา สารทำงาน 16 ชนิค ซึ่งประกอบไปด้วยสารประกอบไฮโคร์คาร์บอน 13 ชนิค และสารฮาโลคาร์บอน 3 ชนิด โดยสร้างแบบจำลองวัฏจักรแรงคินโดยใช้โปรแกรม Aspen Plus เวอร์ชัน 7.1 สำหรับกลุ่มสาร ทำงานบริสุทธิ์ สารประกอบไฮโครคาร์บอนและฮาโลคาร์บอนทั้งหมคจะถูกพิจารณาเปรียบเทียบ ประสิทธิภาพในวัฏจักรแรงคิน แต่ในกรณีของสารทำงานแบบสารผสม มีการพิจาณาเฉพาะแค่ สารประกอบใชโครการ์บอนเท่านั้น เนื่องจากวัฏจักรแรงคินที่ใช้สารประกอบฮาโลการ์บอนมี ประสิทธิภาพต่ำกว่าวัฏจักรแรงคินที่ใช้สารประกอบไฮโดรคาร์บอนเป็นอย่างมาก ในการหาสภาวะที่ เหมาะสม พิจารณาจากเกณฑ์ 2 แบบ คือ หาสภาวะที่ผลิตไฟฟ้าได้มากที่สุด และ หาสภาวะที่ได้กำไร จากการปฏิบัติงานสูงสุด โดยตัวแปรสำคัญที่มีผลต่อสภาวะที่เหมาะสม ได้แก่ อัตราการไหลของสาร ทำงาน องค์ประกอบของสารชนิคต่างๆ ในสารทำงานแบบผสม ความคันขาเข้ากังหัน ความคันขา ออกจากกังหัน และอุณหภูมิของไอร้อนยวคยิ่งจากหม้อต้ม จากผลการจำลองที่ได้ พบว่าสารทำงาน แบบบริสุทธิ์ และสารทำงานแบบผสมที่ทำกำไรได้มากที่สุด ได้แก่ โทลูอีนบริสุทธิ์ และสารผสม ระหว่างโทลูอื่นกับเตตระเคคเกน ที่ทำงานภายใต้สภาวะไออิ่มตัว อย่างไรก็ตามเมื่อพิจารณาโคยรวม

E46969

พบว่าสารผสมระหว่างโทลูอื่นร้อยละ 97.29 โดยน้ำหนัก กับเตตระเคคเคนร้อยละ 2.71 โดยน้ำหนัก มีศักยภาพมากที่สุดภายใต้สภาวะในการศึกษานี้

คำสำคัญ: เครื่องยนต์สันคาปภายในที่ใช้ก๊าซชีวภาพเป็นเชื้อเพลิง/ วัฏจักรแรงคิน/ การเลือกสาร ทำงาน/ สารทำงานแบบผสม

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, the author would like to express profound gratitude to advisor and co-advisor of this thesis, Asst. Prof. Dr. Bunyaphat Suphanit and Dr. Wimolsiri Pridasawas for the technical support, valuable guidance and revision that enabled her to complete this work successfully. The author also greatly appreciates the committee members, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Suvit Tia and Dr. Annop Nopharatana for technical instructions and constructive comments to help her to improve her work.

Also, the author owes her deepest gratitude to the Chemical Engineering Practice School (ChEPS) for providing a full-scholarship for the master degree. Moreover, the author is highly grateful to the staffs of the Chemical Engineering Practice School (ChEPS) particularly Mrs. Chadaporn, who helped the author with some administrative issues. Lastly, this thesis would not have been possible without direct and indirect assistance from many people including the author's family and friends.

CONTENTS

| | | PAGE |
|-------------|---|------|
| ENGLISH AE | STRACT | ii |
| THAI ABSTR | ACT | iii |
| ACKNOWLE | DGEMENTS | v |
| CONTENTS | | vi |
| LIST OF TAE | BLES | viii |
| LIST OF FIG | URES | xi |
| NOMENCLA | TURES | xv |
| CHAPTER | | |
| 1. INTROD | UCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background | 1 |
| 1.2 | Objectives | 2 |
| 1.3 | Scopes of work | 2 |
| 1.4 | Expected results | 2 |
| 2. THEORI | ES AND LITERATURE REVIEW | 3 |
| 2.1 | Principle of Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) | 3 |
| 2.2 | The preliminary selection of working fluid | 6 |
| 2.3 | The differences in characteristics between an ORC with | 8 |
| | a pure fluid and an ORC with mixed-working fluid | |
| 2.4 | Literature review | 9 |
| 3. METHO | DOLOGY | 15 |
| 3.1 | Methodology | 15 |
| 3.1.1 | Study theory and review literatures relating to the ORC and collect information | 15 |
| 3.1.2 | Selection of working fluids used in an ORC | 16 |
| 3.1.3 | Develop the ORC simulation model using Aspen Plus | 18 |
| | V.7.1 based on different operating conditions and fluid compositions | |
| 3.1.4 | Process Optimization | 22 |
| 3.1.5 | Analyze and conclude the results | 23 |
| 4. RESULT | S AND DISCUSSION | 24 |
| 4.1 | Maximization of net work output | 24 |
| 4.1.1 | Saturated condition | 24 |
| 4.1.1.1 | Sensitivity analysis | 24 |
| 4.1.1.2 | Optimization results from maximizing net work output | 27 |
| 4.1.1.2.1 | Single working fluids | 27 |
| 4.1.1.2.2 | Mixed-working fluids | 37 |
| 4.1.2 | Superheated condition | 42 |

| 4.1.2.1 | Sensitivity analysis | 42 |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 4.1.2.2 | Optimization results from maximizing net work output | 47 |
| 4.1.2.2.1 | Single working fluids | 47 |
| 4.1.2.2.2 | Mixed-working fluids | 60 |
| 4.2 | Maximization of profit | 65 |
| 5. CONCL | USION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 69 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 69 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations | 70 |
| REFEREN | CES | 71 |
| APPENDIX | ζ. | 73 |
| Α | Stream and equipment input data | 73 |
| В | Optimization results | 77 |
| C | Cost estimation | 96 |
| CURRICUI | LUM VITAE | 100 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TAE | BLE | |
|------|---|----|
| 3.1 | The conditions and feed composition of the exhaust gas. | 16 |
| 3.2 | Preselected single working fluid. | 17 |
| 3.3 | Selected single working fluids. | 18 |
| 3.4 | Optimization variables in various design scenarios. | 22 |
| 4.1 | Arrangement of the net work output and the TAC per net work output. | 36 |
| 4.2 | Comparison of the optimization results between single and mixed-working fluids operating under saturated condition. | 38 |
| 4.3 | Contribution of changes in turbine and pump work on an increase in the net work output and the thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under saturated condition using mixed-working fluid. | 42 |
| 4.4 | Comparison between the optimization results of an ORC operating under superheated condition and saturated condition using various n-paraffin compounds as a working fluid. | 50 |
| 4.5 | Comparison between the optimization results of an ORC operating under superheated and saturated condition using various aromatic compounds as a working fluid. | 53 |
| 4.6 | Comparison between the optimization results of an ORC operating under superheated and saturated condition using various hydrocarbons containing six carbon atoms with different molecular structure as a working fluid. | 55 |
| 4.7 | Comparison between the optimization results of an ORC operating under superheated and saturated condition using halocarbons as a working fluid. | 58 |
| 4.8 | Comparison of the optimization results between single and mixed-working fluids operating under superheated condition. | 60 |
| 4.9 | Contribution of changes in turbine and pump work on an increase in the net work output and thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under superheated condition using mixed-working fluid. | 62 |
| 4.10 | Comparison of an increase in the net work output and thermal efficiency of an ORC using single working fluid and mixed-working fluid operating under saturated and superheated condition. | 64 |
| 4.11 | The maximum profit gained from an ORC operating under saturated and superheated condition. | 65 |
| 4.12 | The maximum profit gained from an ORC operating under saturated condition. | 67 |

| 4.13 | Comparison between the simulation results of pure toluene and the mixture of toluene and n-tetradecane operating under saturated condition at the same operating pressure of 1.348 MPa. | 68 |
|------|---|----|
| A.1 | Stream input data of an ORC operating under saturated and superheated condition. | 74 |
| A.2 | Equipment input data of pump and turbine of an ORC operating under saturated condition and superheated condition. | 75 |
| A.3 | Equipment input data of boilers and condensers of an ORC operating under saturated condition. | 75 |
| A.4 | Equipment input data of boilers and condensers of an ORC operating under superheated condition. | 75 |
| A.5 | The design specifications for controlling the concerned parameters in case of an ORC employing the single working fluid operating under saturated and superheated condition. | 75 |
| A.6 | The design specifications for controlling the concerned parameters in case of an ORC employing the mixed-working fluid operating under saturated and superheated condition. | 76 |
| B.1 | • | 78 |
| B.2 | | 80 |
| B.3 | | 81 |
| B.4 | . • | 82 |
| B.5 | • | 83 |
| B.6 | • | 84 |
| B.7 | . • | 85 |
| B.8 | • | 86 |
| B.9 | | 88 |
| B.10 | Optimization results from maximizing net work output of hydrocarbons containing six carbon atoms with different molecular structures operating under superheated condition. | 89 |
| B.1 | Optimization results from maximizing net work output of halocarbons operating under superheated condition. | 90 |
| B.13 | 2 Temperature-enthalpy data of all single working fluids operating under superheated condition from maximizing net work output. | 91 |

| B.13 O ₁ | ptimization results from maximizing net work output of mixtures | 92 |
|---------------------|--|----|
| op | perating under superheated condition. | |
| B.14 Te | emperature-enthalpy data of all mixed-working fluids operating | 93 |
| ur | nder superheated condition from maximizing net work output. | |
| B.15 O | ptimization results from maximizing profit of pure toluene | 94 |
| op | perating under saturated condition. | |
| B.16 O | ptimization results from maximizing profit of mixture of toluene | 95 |
| ar | nd n-tetradecane operating under saturated condition. | |
| C.1 C | ost coefficient for purchase cost calculation. | 97 |
| C.2 P1 | ressure coefficient for calculating pressure factor. | 98 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIG | URE | PAGE |
|------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Schematics of T-S diagrams for water and an organic compound. | 3 |
| 2.2 | Schematics of an ORC configuration. | 4 |
| 2.3 | Temperature-entropy diagram of ideal (s) and non-ideal (a) ORC. | 4 |
| 2.4 | Schematics of (a) isentropic, (b) wet and (c) dry working fluids. | 7 |
| 2.5 | Schematic of T-ΔH diagram used for pinch point analysis in the evaporator. | 8 |
| 3.1 | Methodology for investigating of optimal composition of mixed- working fluid in an ORC. | 15 |
| 3.2 | Development of an ORC simulation model. | 19 |
| 3.3 | The simulation model of an ORC in the Aspen Plus V.7.1 for the saturated operation. | 20 |
| 3.4 | The simulation model of an ORC in Aspen Plus V.7.1 for the superheated operation. | 21 |
| 4.1 | Effect of the turbine inlet pressure and the mass flow rate of | 25 |
| | n-octane on the net work output in an ORC operating under the saturated condition. | |
| 4.2 | Effect of the turbine inlet pressure and mass flow rate of n-octane on the thermal efficiency in an ORC operating under saturated | 25 |
| | condition. | |
| 4.3 | Pressure-enthalpy diagram of n-octane. | 26 |
| 4.4 | Relationship between the turbine inlet pressure and the turbine | 26 |
| | work output in an ORC operating under saturated condition and using 1,000 kg/hr of n-octane as a working fluid. | |
| 4.5 | Net work output obtained from an ORC operating under saturated | 28 |
| | condition using various n-paraffin compounds as a working fluid. | |
| 4.6 | Thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under saturated condition using various n-paraffin compounds as a working fluid. | 28 |
| 4.7 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of various n-paraffin compounds operating under saturated condition. | 29 |
| 4.8 | Net work output obtained from an ORC operating under saturated condition using various aromatic compounds as a working fluid. | 30 |
| 4.9 | Thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under saturated condition using various aromatic compounds as a working fluid. | 31 |
| 4.10 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of various aromatic compounds operating under saturated condition. | 31 |
| 4.11 | Net work output obtained from an ORC operating under saturated condition using various hydrocarbons containing six carbon atoms | 32 |
| | with different molecular structures as a working fluid. | |

| 4.12 | Thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under saturated condition using the various hydrocarbons containing six carbon atoms with | 33 |
|------|---|----|
| | different molecular structures as a working fluid. | |
| 4.13 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of various hydrocarbons containing six carbon atoms with different molecular structures operating under | 33 |
| | saturated condition. | |
| 4.14 | Net work output obtained from an ORC operating under saturated | 34 |
| | condition using various halocarbons as a working fluid. | |
| 4.15 | Thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under saturated condition | 34 |
| | using various halocarbons as a working fluid. | |
| 4.16 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of various halocarbons operating | 35 |
| | under saturated condition. | |
| 4.17 | Net work output obtained from an ORC operating under saturated | 36 |
| | condition using single hydrocarbons and halocarbons as a working | |
| | fluid. | |
| 4.18 | Thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under saturated condition | 37 |
| | using single hydrocarbons and halocarbons as a working fluid. | |
| 4.19 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of pure component and the optimal | 39 |
| **** | fluid mixture when consider all hydrocarbons operating under | 0, |
| | saturated condition. | |
| 4 20 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of pure component and the optimal | 40 |
| 7.20 | fluid mixture when consider n-paraffin compounds operating under | 40 |
| | saturated condition. | |
| 4 21 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of pure component and the optimal | 40 |
| 7.21 | fluid mixture when consider all aromatic compounds operating under | 70 |
| | the saturated condition. | |
| 4 22 | Comparison of the net work output obtained from pure component | 41 |
| 4.22 | and the optimal fluid mixture operating under saturated condition. | 41 |
| 4.00 | | 41 |
| 4.23 | Comparison of the thermal efficiency obtained from pure component | 41 |
| 4.04 | and the optimal fluid mixture operating under saturated condition. | 42 |
| 4.24 | Effects of the superheating temperature on the specific turbine work | 43 |
| | output and the mass flow rate of R-245fa used in an ORC operating | |
| | under superheated condition at 3.64 MPa. | 4 |
| 4.25 | Effects of the superheating temperature on the work generated from | 44 |
| | turbine and the work requirement for pump in an ORC operating | |
| | under superheated condition at 3.64 MPa using R-245fa as a working | |
| | fluid. | |
| 4.26 | Effects of the superheating temperature on the net work output and | 44 |
| | thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under superheated condition | |
| | at 3.64 MPa using R-245fa as a working fluid. | |
| 4.27 | 7 Effects of the turbine inlet pressure on the specific turbine work | 45 |
| | output and the mass flow rate of R-245fa used in an ORC operating | |
| | under superheated condition at 210°C. | |

| 4.28 | Effects of the turbine inlet pressure on the work generated from turbine and the work requirement for pump in an ORC operating under superheated condition at 210°C and using R-245fa as a working fluid. | 46 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.29 | Effects of the turbine inlet pressure on the net work output and thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under superheated condition at 210°C using R-245fa as a working fluid. | 46 |
| 4.30 | Net work output obtained from an ORC operating under superheated condition using various n-paraffin compounds as a working fluid. | 48 |
| 4.31 | Thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under superheated condition using various n-paraffin compounds as a working fluid. | 49 |
| 4.32 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of various n-paraffin compounds operating under superheated condition. | 49 |
| 4.33 | Net work output obtained from an ORC operating under superheated condition using various aromatic compounds as a working fluid. | 51 |
| 4.34 | Thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under superheated condition using various aromatic compounds as a working fluid. | 51 |
| 4.35 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of various aromatic compounds operating under superheated condition. | 52 |
| 4.36 | Net work output obtained from an ORC operating under superheated condition using various hydrocarbons containing six carbon atoms with different molecular structure as a working fluid. | 54 |
| 4.37 | Thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under superheated condition using various hydrocarbons containing six carbon atoms with different molecular structure as a working fluid. | 54 |
| 4.38 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of various hydrocarbons containing six carbon atoms with different molecular structure operating under the superheated condition. | 55 |
| 4.39 | Net work output obtained from an ORC operating under superheated condition using various halocarbons as a working fluid. | 56 |
| 4.40 | Thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under superheated condition using various halocarbons as a working fluid. | 57 |
| 4.41 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of various halocarbons operating under superheated condition. | 57 |
| 4.42 | Net work output obtained from an ORC operating under superheated condition using single hydrocarbons and halocarbons as a working fluid. | 58 |
| 4.43 | Thermal efficiency of an ORC operating under superheated condition using single hydrocarbons and halocarbons as a working fluid. | 59 |

| 4.44 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of pure component and the optimal | 61 |
|------|--|----|
| | fluid mixture when consider all hydrocarbons operating under | |
| | superheated condition. | |
| 4.45 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of pure component and the optimal | 61 |
| | fluid mixture when consider all n-paraffin compounds operating | |
| | under superheated condition. | |
| 4.46 | Temperature-enthalpy diagrams of pure component and the optimal | 62 |
| | fluid mixture when consider all aromatic compounds operating under | |
| | the superheated condition. | |
| 4.47 | Comparison of the net work output obtained from pure component | 63 |
| | and the optimal fluid mixture operating under superheated condition. | |
| 4.48 | Comparison of the thermal efficiency obtained from pure component | 64 |
| | and the optimal fluid mixture operating under superheated condition. | |
| 4.49 | Relationship between the electricity selling price and profit gained | 66 |
| | from an ORC. | |

NOMENCLATURES

A Area

CFCs Chlorofluorocarbons

CW Cooling water

C_P Specific heat capacity

EB Ethylbenzene

HCFCs Hydrochlorofluorocarbons

ΔH_T Enthalpy change between the turbine inlet and outlet

ICE Internal combustion engine

Mws Mass flow rate of working fluid

n-PB n-Propylbenzene

ORC Organic Rankine Cycle

P_C Critical pressure

 $\begin{array}{ll} P_{Elec} & Electricity selling price \\ P_{evap} & Evaporating pressure \\ P_{T,in} & Turbine inlet pressure \\ P_{T,out} & Turbine outlet pressure \end{array}$

 ΔP Pressure drop Q Heat duty

Sat. Saturated condition
SPH. Superheated condition
TAC Total annualized cost
T_B Normal boiling point
T_C Critical temperature

T_{evap} Evaporating temperature

 $\begin{array}{ll} T_{evap,i} & \quad & \text{Initial evaporating temperature} \\ T_{evap,f} & \quad & \text{final evaporating temperature} \\ T_{g,out} & \quad & \text{Flue gas outlet temperature} \end{array}$

T_{SPH} Superheating temperature

 $\Delta T_{boilers}$ Temperature difference between the heat sink and heat source at any point

of phase transition internal boilers

 $\Delta T_{condensers}$ Temperature difference between the heat sink and heat source at any point

of phase transition internal condensers

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Delta T_{\text{hot-cold}} & \text{Minimum temperature approach} \\ V_{\text{frac},T} & \text{Vapor fraction at turbine inlet} \end{array}$

 $\hat{V}_{T,in}$ Specific volume of gas entering the turbine

W_{net} Net work output

W_P Work requirement for pumpW_T Work generated from turbine

X_{T,out} Molar liquid fraction at turbine outlet

X_i Mass fraction of component i

η Thermal efficiency