

REFERENCES

- Agustin, M., Pilar, P. B., Felipe, M. (2004), Responses of soil organic matter and greenhouse gas fluxes to soil management and land use changes in a humid temperate region of southern Europe, *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **36**, pp. 917-925.
- Alfred, E. H. (1998), Soil chemical and physical properties as indicators of sustainable land management under sugarcane in Papua New Guinea, *Geoderma*, **85**, pp. 283-306.
- Alonso, P.W., Garzone, P., Cornacchia, G. (2006), Agro-industry sugarcane residues disposal: The trends of their conversion into energy carriers in Cuba, *Waste Management*, **27**, pp. 869-885.
- Anandana, B. (1989), Soil Survey and Classification Division, Land Development Department, Available online: <http://kucon.lib.ku.ac.th/Fulltext/KC2809002.pdf> (Accessed on January 28, 2010).
- Andres, R.J., Marland, G., Boden, T., Bischoff, S. (1994), Carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuel consumption and cement manufacture 1751 to 1991 and an estimate for their isotopic composition and latitudinal distribution, *The Carbon Cycle*, Cambridge University Press, pp. 54-62.
- Aniwru, P., Phusit, W., Sumittra, W. (2004), Characterization of Established Soil Series in the North and Central Highland Region of Thailand Reclassified According to Soil Taxonomy 2003, *Land Development Department Thailand*, pp. 1- 171.
- Aviva, H., Larissa K., Mustafa G., Emine E. K. (2003), Rates of decomposition of plant residues and available nitrogen in soil, related to residue composition through simulation of carbon and nitrogen turnover, *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **36**, pp. 255-266.
- Ball-Coelho, B., Tiessen, H., Stewart, J.W.B., Salcedo, I.H., Sampaio, E.V.S.B. (1993), Residue management effects on sugarcane yield and soil properties in northeastern Brazil, *Agron. J.*, **85**, pp. 1004-1008.
- Bashkin, M. A., Binkley, D. (1998), Changes in soil carbon following afforestation in Hawaii, *Ecology*, **79**, pp. 828-833.
- Beeharry, R.P. (2001), Carbon balance of sugarcane bioenergy systems, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **20**, pp. 361-370.

- Bender and Conrad, 1994a; Flessa et al., 1996b; Hütsch et al., 1996; Hütsch, 1998a, (2001), *In* Hütsch, B.W. (2001), Methane oxidation in non-flooded soils as affected by crop production-invited paper, *European Journal of Agronomy*, **14**, pp. 237-260.
- Bhatti, J.S., Lal, R., Apps, M.J., Price, M.A. (2006), *Climate Change and Managed Ecosystems*, CRC press, pp. 446.
- Biederbeck, V.O., Campbell, C.A., Bowren, K.E., Schnitzer, M., McIver, R.N., (1980), Effect of burning cereal straw on soil properties and grain yields in Saskatchewan, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, **44**, pp. 103–111. *In* Basantaa, M.V., Dourado-Netoa, D., Reichardt, K., Bacchib, O.O.S., Oliveirab, J.C.M., Trivelinc, P.C.O., Timmb, L.C., Tominagab, T.T., Correcheb, V., Ca'ssarob, F.A.M., Piresb, L.F., Macedo, J.R. (2003), Management effects on nitrogen recovery in a sugarcane crop grown in Brazil, *Geoderma*, **116**, pp. 235–248.
- Blake, G. R., Hartge, K. H. (1986), Bulk density, pp. 363-375. *In*: A. Klute *et al.* (ed.) *Methods of soil analysis: Part 1: Physical and Mineralogical Methods. Monograph Number 9* (Second Edition). ASA, Madison, WI.
- Bob, S. (2002), Ethanol from sugarcane and other biomass, Hawaii Workshop: November 14, 2002.
- Boonrod, S., Rungrawee, Y., Virach, M., Veerawan, P., Bhattacharya, S.C., Abdul, P. S. (2005), Assessment of sustainable energy potential of non-plantation biomass resources in Thailand, *Biomass & Bioenergy*, **29**, pp. 214-224.
- Boopathy, R., Beary, T., Templet, P. J. (2001), Microbial decomposition of post-harvest sugarcane residue, *Bioresource Technology*, **79**, pp.29-33.
- Bouwmann, A.F., Germon, J.C. (1998), Special issue: soils and climate change: introduction, *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, **27**, pp. 219.
- Bouyoucos, G. J. (1936), Directions for Making Mechanical Analysis of Soils by the Hydrometer Method, *Soil Science*, **42**, pp. 3.
- Brandao, M. (2008), Life cycle carbon emissions from food systems, Available online: <http://www.gecafs.org/documents/PP10Brandao.pdf> (Accessed on 12 July 2010).
- Brentrup, F., Kusters, J., Kuhlmann, H., Lammel, J. (2004), Environmental impact assessment of agriculture production systems using the life cycle assessment methodology I, Theoretical concepts of LCA method tailored to crop production, *European Journal of Agronomy*, **20**, pp. 247-264.

- Bruce, R.R., Langdale, G.W., West, L.T., Miller, W.P. (1995), Surface soil degradation and soil productivity restoration and maintenance. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **59**, pp. 654–660.
- Cohen, J. (1960), A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurements*, **20**, pp 37-46.
- Congalton, R.G. (1983), Assessing Landsat classification accuracy using discrete multivariate analysis statistical techniques, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, **49**, pp. 1671-1678.
- Congalton, R.G. (1991), A review of assessing the accuracy of classifications of remotely sensed data, *Remote Sensing Environment*, **37**, pp. 35-46.
- Curtis P.S., Vogel, C.S., Gough, C.M., Schmid, H.P., Su, H.B., Bovard, B.D. (2005), Respiratory carbon losses and the carbon-use efficiency of northern hardwood forests, 1999-2003, *New Phytologist*, **167**, pp.437-456.
- De Oliveria, M.W., Trivelin, P.C.O., Penatti, C.P., Piccolo, M.C. (1999), Field decomposition and release of sugar cane trash nutrients, *Psq. Agropec. Bras*, **34**, pp. 2359-2362.
- Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency (DEDE), Ministry of Energy, (2007), Annual reports on Electric power in Thailand 2007, pp. 1-60.
- Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency, (2008 a), Thailand Energy Situation, Ministry of Energy Thailand, pp. 1-58.
- Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency (DEDE), Ministry of Energy Thailand, (2008 b), Ethanol License, Available online: <http://www.dede.go.th/dede/index.php?id=172> (Accessed on 14 April 2009)
- Department of Agriculture, (2004), Sugarcane, pp.1-147.
- Duiker, S.W., Lal, R. (1999), Carbon budget study using CO₂ flux measurements from a no till system in central Ohio, *Soil and Tillage Research*, **54**, pp.21-30.
- Duiker, S.W., Lal, R. (2000), Carbon budget study using CO₂ flux measurements from a no till system in central Ohio, *Soil and Tillage Research*, **54**, pp. 21-30.
- Dunn, S. (2002), Reading the Weathervane: Climate Policy from Rio to Johannesburg, World watch Paper NO.160. World watch Institute, Washington, DC.
- Dyer, J.A., Desjardins, R.L. (2003), Simulated Farm Fieldwork, Energy Consumption and Related Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Canada, *Biosystems Engineering*, **85**, pp. 503–513.

- EGAT, (2005), Bang Pakong Power Plant, Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand, Available online: <http://www.ega.co.th>. (Accessed on 25 October 2006).
- Eklind, Y., Kirchman, H. (1999), Composition and storage of organic household waste with different litter amendments I: carbon turnover, *Bioresource Technology*, **74**, pp.115-124.
- Ellert, B.H., Janzen, H.H. (1999), Short-term influence of tillage on CO₂ fluxes from a semi-arid soil on the Canadian Prairies, *Soil & Tillage Research*, **50**, pp. 21-32.
- Environmental Systems Research Institute, National Center for Geographic Analysis, and The Nature Conservancy, (1994), Accuracy assessment procedures: NBS/NPS vegetation mapping program. Final Draft, Prepared for: United States Department of the Interior-National Biological Survey and National Park Service. November 1994, *In* Mara, S. May. (1996), Thematic map accuracy assessment of Pool 8, Upper Mississippi River: A pilot study, *Remote Sensing Environment*, **64**, pp. 235-250.
- Falloon, P.D., Smith, P., Smith, J.U., Szabo, J., Coleman, K., Marshall, S. (1998), Regional estimates of carbon sequestration potential: linking the Rothamsted Carbon Model to GIS database, *Biol Fertil Soils*, **27**, pp. 236-241.
- FAOSTAT, (2005), "Primary crops", Available online: <http://faostat.fao.org/faostat/form?collection=> (Accessed on 22 November 2006).
- FAOSTAT, (2007), The agricultural production domain covers "World sugarcane production trend", Available online; <http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx> (Accessed on 16 January 2008).
- Fauconnier, R., (1993), Sugarcane, Macmillan CTA, pp. 1-140.
- Fizanpatrick-Lins, K. (1981), Comparison of sampling procedures and data analysis for a land-use and land-cover map, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, **47**, pp. 343-351.
- Freibauer, A., Mark D. A., Pete, S.R., Verhagen, J. (2004), Carbon sequestration in the agricultural soils of Europe, *Geoderma*, **122**, pp. 1-23.
- Fernandes, S.A.P., Bernoux, M., Cerri, C.C., Feigl, J., Piccolo, M.C. (2002), Seasonal variation of soil chemical properties and CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in unfertilized and P-fertilized pastures in an Ultisol of the Brazilian Amazon, *Geoderma*, **107**, pp. 227-241.
- Foodmarket, (2003), Sugarcane production, Available online: http://www.foodmarketexchange.com/datacenter/product/sugar/detail/dc_pi_sugar_02_01.htm (Accessed on 28 September 2008).

- Galdos, M.V., Cerri, C.C. (2009), Soil carbon stocks under burned and unburned sugarcane in Brazil, *Geoderma*, **153**, pp. 347–352.
- Gautier, C. (2008), *Oil Water and Climate: An Introduction*, Cambridge University Press USA, pp. 366.
- Gerdes, J.K., Skone, T.J. (2009), Consideration of Crude Oil Source in Evaluating Transportation Fuel GHG Emissions, Office of Systems, Analyses and Planning National Energy Technology Laboratory, DOE/NETL-2009/1360, National Energy Technology Laboratory.
- Green, M.B. (1987), Energy in pesticide manufacture, distribution and use. *In*; West, T.O., Marland, G. (2002), A synthesis of carbon sequestration, carbon emissions, and net carbon flux in agriculture: comparing tillage practices in the United States, *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*, **91**, pp.217-232.
- Hadas A., Kautsky, L., Goek, M., Kara, E. E. (2003), Rates of decomposition of plant residues and available nitrogen in soil, related to residue composition through simulation of carbon and nitrogen turnover, *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **36**, pp. 255-266.
- Hanson, J. D., Baker, B. B., Bourdon, R. M. (1993), Comparison of the effects of different climate change scenarios on rangeland livestock production, *Agricultural Systems*, **41**, pp. 487-502.
- Hanson, P.J., Edwards, N.T., Garten, C.T., Andrews, J.A. (2000), Separating root and soil microbial contributions to soil respiration: A review of methods and observations, *Biogeochemistry*, **48**, pp. 115-146.
- Haughton, R.A. (2003), Why are estimates of the terrestrial carbon balance so different ?, *Global Change Biology*, **9**, pp. 500-509.
- Haughton, S.J. (2004), *Global Warming the complete briefing*, Cambridge University, pp. 56- 76.
- Hawaii, (2002), Hawaii Ethanol Workshop: November 14, 2002 Ethanol from sugarcane and other biomass (Bob Shlesses-4).
- Hey, A.M. (1979), Sampling designs to test land-use map accuracy, *Photogram metric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, **45**, pp.529- 533.
- Houghton, J.T., Miera, F.L.G., Lim, B., Treanton, K., Mamaty, I., Bonduki, Y., Griggs, D.T., Callender, B.A. (Eds.). (1997), Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, 1, UK Meteorological Office, Bracknell, UK.

- Houghton, R.A. (2000), Emission of Carbon from Land-use Change, *In The Carbon Cycle*, Cambridge University Press, pp. 64-76.
- Howard, D.M., Howard, P.J. (1993), Relationships between CO₂ evolution, moisture content and temperature for a range of soil types, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **25**, pp. 1537-1546.
- Huang, Z., Zhang, X. (2003), Model of life cycle assessment of vehicle fuel. *J Tongji Univ*, **31**, pp. 1472-1476.
- Hume, D.J., Clements, D.R., Weise, S.F., Brown, R., Stone house, D.P. (1995), Energy analysis of tillage and herbicide inputs in alternative weed management systems, *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*, **52**, pp. 119-128.
- Hütsch, B.W. (2001), Methane oxidation in non-flooded soils as affected by crop production-invited paper, *European Journal of Agronomy*, **14**, pp. 237-260.
- International sugar statistics, (2008), The international sugar season runs from September to August, ED & F Man - 2007/08, Oct/Sep basis, Available online: <http://www.illovosugar.com/worldofsugar/internationalSugarStats.htm>. (Accessed on 23 October 2009).
- IPCC, (2001a), Available online: <http://www.ipcc.ch/>. (Accessed on 23 October 2005).
- IPCC, (2001b), IPCC Third Assessment Report-Climate Change 2001.
- IPCC, (2006), IPCC Guidelines for NATIONAL Greenhouse Gas Inventories Volume 1-5.
- IPCC, (2007), "Fourth assessment report Working Group III, Working Group III Report", "Mitigation of Climate Change" Available online: <http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/ar4-wg3.htm> (Accessed on 23 October 2008).
- ISAIAS, D. C. M. (1997), Greenhouse gas emissions and energy balances in bio-ethanol production and utilization in Brazil, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **14**, pp. 77-81.
- Jackson, R.B., Canadell, J., Ehleringer, J.R., Mooney, H.A., Sala, O.E., and Schulze, E.D. (1996), A global analysis of root distributions for terrestrial biomes, *Oecologia*, **108**, pp. 389-411.
- Jacinthe, P.A., Lal, R., Kimble, J.M. (2002), Carbon budget and seasonal carbon dioxide emission from a central Ohio Luvisol as influences by wheat residue amendment, *Soil & Tillage Research*, **67**, pp. 147-157.

- Jaijarree, S. (2008), Soil carbon dynamics in agro-ecosystems in Thailand, Ph.D. Thesis, Joint Graduate School of Energy and Environment, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Bangkok, Thailand, pp. 1-85.
- Jeremy, C. (2002), *Air Pollution*, London and New York, pp. 1-560.
- JGSEE, (2009), Thailand greenhouse gas inventory research project, Available online: <http://www.jgsee.kmutt.ac.th/snc/> (Accessed on April 2010).
- Joao, M. S., Jose' O. P., J. Marques da Silva, Anacleto, P., Ma' rio C. (2007), Tractor energy requirements in disc harrow systems, *Biosystems Engineering*, **98**, pp. 286-296.
- Jonas, A., Lennart, O. (2003), Assessment of soil organic carbon in semi-arid Sudan using GIS and the CENTURY model, *Journal of Arid Environments*, **54**, pp. 633-651.
- Justin, F.R., Kimberly, R., Piers, M. (1999), Modelling the effect of land-use practices on greenhouse gas emissions and sinks in New Zealand, *Environmental Science & Policy*, **2**, pp.135-144.
- Jose, E.D. C, Paulo, H.N.S., Luiz, A.A. P., Luciene, B.L.S. L., Paulo, A., Luiz, A. M., Marcos. A. A., Antonella, Z., Alfesio, L.F. B. (2006), The impact of sugar cane-burning emissions on the respiratory system of children and the elderly, *Environmental Health Perspectives*, **114**, pp. 1-5.
- Keeling, C.D., Piper, S.C., Heimann, M. (1989), A three-dimensional model of atmospheric CO₂ transport based on observed wind: 4 Mean annual gradients and inter annual variations, *In: Aspects of Climate Variability in the Pacific and Western Americas Geophysical Monograph 55*, Peterson (ed.), D.H. *American Geophysical Union*, Washington, DC, pp. 305-363.
- Klinge, H., Herrerra, R. (1978); Kummerow, J., Mangan, R., (1981), *In* Yiqi, L., Xuhui Z. (2006), *Soil respiration and the environment*, Elsevier, pp. 1-316.
- Khonkhen University, (2005), Final Report on Logistic, pp. 1-226.
- Kiatkittpong, J., Wongsuchoto, P., Pavasant, P. (2009), Life cycle assessment of bagasse waste management options, *Waste Management*, **29**, pp. 1628-1633.
- Kirstin, D., Thomas, E.D. (2007), The Atlas of Climate Change Mapping the world's greatest challenge, Earth scan publishes, pp. 1-111.
- Kirstin, D., Thomas, E. D. (2007), *The Atlas of Climate Change*, Brighton, UK.
- Kiti, M., Anukul, S., Khanichsri, H. (2004), Characterization of Established Soil Series in the Peninsular and Northeast Region of Thailand Reclassified According to Soil Taxonomy 2003, *Land Development Department Thailand*, pp. 1- 139.

- Kramer, K.J., Moll H.C., Nonhebel, S. (1999), Total greenhouse emissions related to the Dutch crop production system, *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **72**, pp. 9-16.
- Kreger, C. (2004), Exploring the Environment Earth on Fire, Available online: (<http://www.cotf.edu/ete/modules/carbon/efcarbon.html>) (Accessed on 26 August 2005).
- Kristin, J.G., Timothy, J.S. P.E. (2009), Consideration of crude oil source in evaluating transportation fuel GHG emissions, Office of Systems, Analyses and Planning, National Energy Technology Laboratory, Available online: www.netl.doe.gov.
- Kücke, M., Schmid, H., Spiess, A. (1995), A comparison of four methods for measuring roots of field crops in three contrasting soils, *Plant and Soil*, **172**, pp. 63-71.
- Kucera, C.L., Kirkham, D.R. (1971), Soil respiration studies in tall grass prairie in Missouri, *Ecology*, **52**, pp. 912-915.
- Kuprianov, V.I., Permchart, W., Janvijitsakul, K. (2005), Fluidized bed combustion of pre-dried Thai Bagasse Fuel Processing Technology, **86** (8), pp.840-860 *In* Jorapon, K., Porntip, W., Prasert, P. (2009), Life cycle assessment of bagasse waste management options, **29**, pp. 1628-1633.
- Kuzyakov, Y. (2006), Sources of CO₂ efflux from soil and review of partitioning methods, *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **38**, pp. 425-448
- Lal, R., Kimbe, J.M., Follett, R.F., Cole, C.V. (1998), The potential of U.S. cropland to sequester carbon and mitigate the greenhouse effect, Ann Arbor Press, Chelsea, MI.
- Lal, R. (2004), Carbon emission from farm operations, *Environment International*, **30**, pp. 981-990.
- Land Development Department, (1993), Soil Series properties for South, East of Thailand and Soil Suitability for Land use, pp. 1-199.
- Land Development Department, (2004), Soil interpretation handbook for soil, water, fertilizer and plant, pp. 1-184.
- Land Development Department, (2005a), Soil Interpretation Handbook for Thailand; Lowland Soil, *Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives Thailand*, pp. 1-575.
- Land Development Department, (2005b), Soil Interpretation Handbook for Thailand; Upland Soil, *Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives Thailand*, pp. 1-645.
- La S.N., Marques J.J., Pereira, G.T., Cora, J.E. (2000), Carbon dioxide emission relates to chemical properties of a tropical bare soil, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **32**, pp. 1469-1473.

- Lal, R. (1995), The role of residue management in sustainable agriculture Systems, *J. Sust Agric.*, **5**, pp. 51-78.
- Lal, R. (2004), Carbon emission from farm operations, *Environment International*, **30**, pp. 981-990.
- Lee, M.S., Nakane, K., Nakatsubo, T., Koizumi, H. (2005), The importance of root respiration in annual soil carbon fluxes in a cool-temperate deciduous forest, *Agriculture and Forest Meteorology*, **134**, pp. 95-101.
- Lefroy, R.D.B., Chaitep, W., Blair, G.J. (1994), Release of sulfur from rice residues under flooded and nonflooded soil conditions, *Aust. J. Agric. Resour.*, **45**, pp. 657– 667.
- Lobb, D. (1989), *In*, Hume, D.J., Clements, D.R., Weise, S.F., Brown R., Stonehouse, D.P. (1995), Energy analysis of tillage and herbicide inputs in alternative weed management systems, *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **52**, pp. 119-128.
- Luo, Y., Zhou, Z. (2006), Soil respiration and the environment, Elsevier, pp. 1-316.
- Luo, L., Voet, E.V. D., Huppes, G. (2009), Life cycle assessment and life cycle costing of bioethanol from sugarcane in Brazil, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, **13**, pp. 1613-1619.
- Macedo, I.C., Leal, M.R.L.V., Silva, J.E.A.R. (2004), Assessment of greenhouse gas emissions in the production and use of fuel ethanol in Brazil, São Paulo State Environment Secretarial.
- Macedo, I.C., Seabra, J.E.A., Silva, J.E.A.R. (2008), Greenhouse gases emissions in the production and use of ethanol from sugarcane in Brazil: the 2005/2006 averages and a prediction for 2020, *Biomass Bioenergy*, **32**, pp. 582–595.
- Makiranta, P., Minkkinen, K., Hytönen, J., Laine, J. (2008), Factors causing temporal and spatial variation in heterotrophic and rhizospheric components of soil respiration in afforested organic soil croplands in Finland, *Soil biology and biochemistry*, **40**, pp. 1592-1600.
- Marland, G., Rotty, R.M. (1984), Carbon dioxide emission from fossil fuels: a procedure for estimation and results for 1950-1982, *Tellus*, **36B**, pp. 232-261.
- Marland, G., Andres, R.G., Boden, T.A., Johnson, C., Breenkert, A. (1999), Global, regional and national CO₂ emission estimates from fossil fuel burning, cement production and gas flaring, 1751-1996, Report NDP-030, Carbon dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oakridge National Laboratory, Oakridge, TN, USA.

- Masilaca, 1986; Silva and Ribeiro, 1998 *In* Silva, A.J.N., Ribeiro, M.R., Carvalho, F.G., Silva, V.N., Silva, L.E.S.F. (2007), Impact of sugarcane cultivation on soil carbon fractions, consistence limits and aggregate stability of a Yellow Latosol in Northeast Brazil, *Soil & Tillage Research*, 94, pp. 420–424.
- Matus, F.J., Escudey, M., Forster, J.E., Gutierrez, M., Change A.C., (2009), Is the Walkley-Black Method Suitable for Organic Carbon Determination in Chilean Volcanic Soil? *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 40, pp. 1862-1872.
- Minako, A.Y., Wan, S.B., Toshinori, R.O., Hiroshi, K. (2006), Differences in soil respiration between different tropical ecosystems, *Applied Soil Ecology*, 34, pp. 258-265.
- Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, (2004), *Emissions Factors*, pp.1-12.
- Ministry of Science, *Technology and Environment Thailand*. (1994), *Thailand's National Greenhouse Gas Inventory 1994*, pp. 118.
- Mitr Phol Group, (2010), Carbon footprint; Industrial sector, The First Climate Thailand Conference 2010: National Risks and Opportunities in Global Climate Changes, August 19-21, 2010, IMPACT Exhibition and Convention Center, Nonthaburi, Bangkok.
- Mizue, O., Koichiro, G., Akira, S. (1999), Measurements of carbon dioxide evolution from a Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don) forest floor using an open-flow chamber method, *Forest Ecology and Management*, 123, pp. 105-114.
- Moncharoen, P., Vernsil, T. (2001), Carbon in soils of Thailand, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Land Development Department, pp. 43.
- Mubarak, A.R., Elshamib, O.M.E., Azhari, A.A. (2005), Long- and short-term effects of cultivation on properties of a Vertisol under sugarcane plantation, *Soil & Tillage Research*, 84, pp.1–6.
- Mudahar, M.S., Hignett, T.P. (1987), Energy requirements, technology, and resources in the fertilizer sector. *In*; West, T.O. and Marland, G. (2002), A synthesis of carbon sequestration, carbon emissions, and net carbon flux in agriculture: comparing tillage practices in the United States, *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*, 91, pp.217-232.



- Mukunda, D., B., Jeganathan, C., Shalini, S., Kushwaha, S. P. S., Roy, P. S. (2004), Utility of GPS in classification accuracy assessment, Indian Institute of Remote Sensing (NRSA), Department of Space, India. pp. 1-15.
- Mungkung, R. (2009), Seminar of department of Foreign Trade, Available online: http://www.dft.moc.go.th/Seminar/CeMark/Simemar_CEMark.htm?level2=123
- Naranjo, J.F., Salgado- Garcí'a, S., Lagunes-Espinoza, L.C., Carrillo-Avila, E., Palma-Lo'pez, D.J. (2006), Changes in the properties of a Mexican Fluvisol following 30 years of sugarcane cultivation, *Soil & Tillage Research*, **88**, pp. 160–167.
- Nebraska, (1980), Lockeretz (1983), Schrock (1985), North (1989), Stout (1984) and Koller (1996) *In* Lal., R. (2004), Carbon emission from farm operations, *Environment International*, **30**, pp. 981-990.
- Nimpha, K., Torreta, Hiroshi, Takeda. (1999), Carbon and nitrogen dynamics of decomposing leaf liter in a tropical hill evergreen forest, *Eur.J. Soil Biol*, **35**, pp. 57-63.
- Nguyen, T.L.T., Gheewala, S.H., Garivait, S. (2007a), Fossil energy saving and GHG mitigation potentials of ethanol as a gasoline substitution in Thailand, *Energy Policy*, **35**, pp. 5195-5205.
- Nguyen, T.L.T., Gheewala, S.H. (2007b), Fossil energy environmental and cost performance of ethanol in Thailand, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **16**, pp. 1814-1821.
- Nguyen, T.L.T., Shabbir, H. G., Savitri, G. (2008), Full chain analysis of fuel ethanol from cane molasses in Thailand, *Applied Energy*, **85**, pp. 722-734.
- Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International, 16th, Edition, (1997), AOAC International, Arlington,VA. Method 972.43.
- Office of Agriculture Economics (2002), Available online: http://www.oae.go.th/ewt_news.php?nid=4542
- Office of Agricultural Economics, (2004), *In*: Seksan, P. Chantana. Y., Pongvipa. L., Pomthong. M. (2004), Overview of Biomass Utilization in Thailand, pp. 1-10.
- Office of Agriculture Economics, (2005), Available online: <http://www.oae.go.th/index.php>
- Office of Agricultural Economics, (2006), Available online: http://www.oae.go.th/ewt_news.php?nid=8214&filename=index
- Office of the cane and sugar board, (2005 a), Thai Sugar Mill, pp 1-60.

- Office of the cane and sugar board, (2005 b), Annual report the potential of liquid biofuels by region in 2005.
- Office of the Cane and Sugar Board, (2005 c) Report for Sugarcane area by using Satellite Image LANDSAT-5 (TM) Imagery for Sugarcane Area Survey and Mapping (2540/41), *Ministry of Industry Thailand*, pp. 1-110.
- Office of the Cane and Sugar Board, Ministry of Industry, (2007 a), "Method to Sugarcane Planting". Available online: <http://www.ocsb.go.th>.
- Office of the Cane and Sugar Board, (2007 b), Report for Sugarcane area by using Satellite Image LANDSAT-5 (TM) Imagery for Sugarcane Area Survey and Mapping (2549/50), *Ministry of Industry Thailand*, pp. 1-16.
- Office of the cane and sugar board, (2008), Annual Report for Sugarcane Area in 2007/08 Production Year, pp. 1-64.
- Olson, J. S. (1963), Energy storage and the balance of producers and decomposers on ecological systems, *Ecology*, **44**, pp. 322–331.
- Osher, L. J., Matson, P.A., Amundson, R. (2003), Effect of land use change on soil carbon in Hawaii, *Biogeochemistry*, **65**, pp. 213–232.
- Osono, T., Hirose, D., Fujimaki, R. (2006), Fungal o as affected by litter depth and decomposition stage of needle litter, *Soil biology & Biochemistry*, **38**, pp. 2743-2752.
- Parr and Papendick, 1978; Ghidey and Albert & 1993 *In* Reicosky, D.C., , W.A. Dugas, W.A., Torbert , H.A. (1997), Tillage-induced soil carbon dioxide loss from different cropping systems, *Soil & Tillage Research*, **41**, pp. 105-118
- Paul, K.I., Polglase, P.J. (2004), Prediction of decomposition of litter under eucalyptus and pines using the FullCAM model, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **191**, pp.73-92.
- Paustian, K., Collins, H.P., Paul, E.A. (1997), Managements control on soil carbon. *In*: Duiker, S.W. and Lal, R. (1999), Crop residue and tillage effects on carbon sequestration in a Luvisol in Central Ohio, *Soil and Tillage Research*, **52**, pp.73-81.
- Peter, J. T., Mervyn, E. P., Fiona, A. R. (2001), Modelling decomposition of sugarcane residues with APSIM-Residue, *Field Crops Research*, **70**, pp. 223-232.
- Peterson, G.A., Halvorson, A.D., Havlin, J.L., Jones, O.R., Lyon, D.J., Tanaka, D.L. (1998), Reduced tillage and increasing cropping intensity in the Great Plains conserves soil C, *Soil Tillage Research*, **47**, pp. 207-218.
- Philanthropic foundation of Energy for Environment, (2006), Biomass, pp. 1-105.

- Pisoot, V. and Hari, E. (2001), The Soil Resources of Thailand, *Land Development Department Thailand and USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service USA.*, pp. 1-264.
- Plassmann, K., (2009), Carbon footprinting and developing countries: Possible implications for trade, Available online: www.se2009.eu/polopoly_fs/1.../Katharina%20Plassmann.pdf (Accessed on 3 August 2010).
- Prakash, R., Henham, A., Bhat, I.K. (2005), Gross carbon emissions from alternative transport fuels in India, *Energy for Sustainable Development*, **2**, pp. 10-16.
- Raich, J.W., Schlesinger, W.H. (1992), The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate, *Tellus*, **44B**, pp. 81-99.
- Raich, J.W. (1999), Aboveground productivity and soil respiration in three Hawaiian rain forests, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **107**, pp. 309-318.
- Raich, J.W., Tufekcioglu, A. (2000), Vegetation and soil respiration: correlations and controls, *Biogeochemistry*, **48**, pp. 71-90.
- Ramamurthi, R., Kastury, S. S., Wayne, H. (2000), Bioenergy: vision for the new millennium, Enfield, NH: Science Publishers, pp. 1-115.
- Ramjeawon, T. and Beedasy, R., (2004), Evaluation of the EIA system on the Island of Mauritius and development of an environmental monitoring plan framework, *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, **24**, pp. 537-549.
- Raymond, R.T., Culaba, A.B., Michael, R.I.P. (2004), Carbon balance implications of coconut biodiesel utilization in the Philippine automotive transport sector, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **26**, pp. 579-585.
- Robertson, F. (2003), Sugarcane trash management: consequences for soil carbon and nitrogen, Final report to the CRC for Sustainable Sugar Production of the project Nutrient Cycling in Relation to Trash Management, CRC Sugar, Australia, pp.1-38.
- Romel, M. C., Do, B. K. (2008), Characterization of biomass energy project in Southeast Asia, *Biomass & Bioenergy*, **32**, pp. 525-532.
- Royal Irrigation Department Thailand, (2006), "Trend of mean annual rainfall during 1971-2006", Available online: <http://water.rid.go.th/hyd/rainmean/rainindex.html> available on 29 August, 2006.

- Royal Society, (2005), Ocean acidification due to increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide, Cardiff, England: Clyvedon.
- Sainju, U.M., Singh, B.P., Whitehead, W.F. (2002), Long-term effects of tillage, cover crops, and nitrogen fertilization on organic carbon and nitrogen concentrations in sandy loam soils in Georgia. USA. *Soil Tillage Res.* **63**, pp. 167–179.
- Sakchai, P., Ponnarong, P., Maysa, K. (2008), Thailand Bio-fuels Annual 2008, USDA Foreign Agricultural Service, pp. 1-12.
- Sam, W., Annette, C. (2004), A review of greenhouse gas emissions factors for fertilizer production, *Research and Development Division, state Forest of New South Wales*, pp. 1-20.
- Sánchez, M.L., Ozores, M.I., López, M.J., Colle, R., De Torre, B., Garc'ia, M.A., Pérez, I. (2003), Soil CO₂ fluxes beneath barley on the central Spanish plateau, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **118**, pp.85–95.
- Saowakon, H., Georg, C., Banyong, T., Viriya, L., Patma, V., Aran, P. (2008), Dynamics of residue decomposition and N₂ fixation of grain legumes upon sugarcane residue retention as an alternative to burning, *Soil & Tillage Research*, **99**, pp. 84–97
- Sathira, U., Khanichsri, H., Sumittra, W. (2004), Characterization of Established Soil Series in the Central Plain Region of Thailand Reclassified According to Soil Taxonomy 2003, *Land Development Department Thailand*, pp. 1- 144.
- Scala, N.L, Bolonhezi, Jr. D., Pereira, G.T. (2005), Short-term soil CO₂ emission after conventional and reduced tillage of a no-till sugarcane area in southern Brazil, *Soil Tillage & Research*, **91**, pp. 244-248.
- Scala, Jr., N.L., Pereira, D.B.G.T. (2006), Short-term soil CO₂ emission after conventional and reduced tillage of a no-till sugarcane area in southern Brazil, *Soil & Tillage Research*, **91**, pp. 244-248.
- Schlanddingw, B., Appst, M., Bohling, F. (1997), Towards a standard methodology for greenhouse gas balance of bioenergy systems in comparison with fossil energy systems, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **13**, pp. 359-375.
- Seungdo, K., Bruce, E.D. (2004), Global bioethanol production from waste crops and crop residues, *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **26**, pp. 361-375.
- Shen, W., Zhang, A., Han, W. (2006), Well-to-wheel analysis on energy use and GHGs emissions of NG-based alternative fuels, *Nat Gas Ind*, **26**, pp. 148–152.

- Shofield, R.K., Taylor, A.W. (1955), The measurement of soil pH, *Soil Science Society of America Procol*, **19**, pp. 164-167.
- Silalertruksa, T., Shabbir, H.G. (2009), Environmental sustainability assessment of bio-ethanol production in Thailand, *Energy*, **34**, pp. 1933-1946.
- Silva, A.J.N., Ribeiro, M.R., Carvalho, F.G., Silva, V.N., Silva, L.E.S.F. (2007), Impact of sugarcane cultivation on soil carbon fractions, consistence limits and aggregate stability of a Yellow Latosol in Northeast Brazil, *Soil & Tillage Research*, **94**, pp. 420-424.
- Silverpool, (2010), Silver pool sugar band made from sugar beet, Available online <http://www.silverspoon.co.uk> (Accessed on 19 August 2010)
- Smith, D.M., Inman-Bamber, N.G., Thorburn, P.J. (2005), Growth and function of the sugarcane root system, *Field Crops Research*, **92**, pp.169-183.
- Smith, P. (2004), Carbon sequestration in croplands: the potential in Europe and the global context, *European Journal of Agronomy*, **20**, pp.229-236.
- Sneh, G., Dhull, S.K., Kapoor, K.K. (2005), Chemical and biological changes during composing of different organic wastes and assessment of compost maturity, *Bioresources Technology*, **96**, pp.1584-1591.
- Soil Survey Staff, (1998), Soil Taxonomy, A Basic System of Soil Classification for Making and Interpolating Soil Surveys, Soil Conservation service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- Soil Taxonomy, (2003), Available online: <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/>(Accessed on 13 August, 2006).
- Sombat, K. (2003), Sugar Technology, *Kasetsart University*, pp. 1-520.
- Spain, A. V., Hodgen, M. J. (1994), Changes in the composition of sugarcane harvest residues during decomposition as a surface mulch, *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, **17**, pp. 225-231.
- Sundquist, E.T. (1993a), The global carbon dioxide budgets, *Science*, **259**, pp. 934-940.
- Sundquist, E.T. (1993b), The Role of Terrestrial Vegetation in the Global Carbon Cycle, Scope 23 (ed G.M. Woodwell), p. 91, Wiley, New York, (1984), See also Schlesinger, W.H., op. (it., p.111.)

- Sutitratana, S. (2004), Overview for Thailand's Renewable Energy Focusing on Biomass Energy, Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency, Ministry of Energy, Bangkok.
- Suzana, K.R., Luiz, P.R. (1998), Activities implement jointly and the use of fuel alcohol in Brazil for abating CO₂ emissions, *Energy Policy*, **26**, pp. 103-111.
- Swinnen, J., Van Veen, J.A., Merckx, R. (1994), ¹⁴C pulse-labelling of field-grown spring wheat: an evaluation of its use in rhizosphere carbon budget estimations, *Soil Biol. Biochem*, **26**, pp. 161-170.
- Takeda H., Decomposition processes of litter along a latitudinal gradient, *In: Sasa K. (Ed.), Environmental Forest Science*, 54, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, (1998), pp. 197-206.
- Tans, P. (2008), NOAA/ESRL, Available online: www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/.
- Tappi Test Method T222 om-88, "Acid-Insoluble Lignin in Wood and Pulp." In Tappi Test Methods. Atlanta, GA. Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry.
- Thai Meteorological Department, (2008), Precipitation and Temperature in Chonburi and Rayong Province.
- Thaipattana Fertilizer Fund, (2008), Statistic for fertilizer import, Available online: <http://www.puithaipattana.net/stat.html>.
- Thailand Energy and Natural Resources, (2003), Available online: <http://www.eppo.go.th/doc/NIO-EnergyAndNaturalResource2003.html>.
Prepared for the National Identity Office by Energy Policy and Planning Office
- The EC-ASEAN COGEN Programme, (1998), Evaluation of Conditions for Electricity Production Based on Biomass in Thailand
- The Met Office, (2007), UK's National Weather Service, Available online: <http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/corporate/pressoffice/2007/pr20070104.html>.
- Therdyothin, (1992), *In* Buddadee, B., Wirojannagud, W., Watts, D.J., Pitakaso, R. (2008) The development of multi-objective optimization model for excess bagasse utilization: A case study for Thailand, *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, **28**, pp. 380-391.
- Thinkquest, (2008), A Trip around the Carbon Cycle, Available online: <http://library.thinkquest.org/11226/>.

- Thorburn, P.J., Probert, M. E., Robertson, F.A. (2001), Modelling decomposition of sugarcane surface residues with APSIM-Residue, *Field Crops Research*, **70**, pp. 223-232.
- TRF; Mungkung, R., Gheewala, S., Phuwarodom, N., Taoprayoon, S., (2010), C footprint and green carbon labeling for rice production, Bangkok.
- Tufekcioglu, A., Raich, J.W., Isenhardt, T.M., Schultz, R.C. (1999), Fine root dynamics, coarse root biomass, root distribution, and soil respiration in a multispecies riparian buffer in Central Iowa, USA, *Agroforestry System*, **44**, pp. 163-174.
- Tzilivakis, J., Jaggard, K., Lewis, K.A., May, M., Warner, D.J. (2005), Environmental impact and economic assessment for UK sugar beet production systems, *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **107**, pp. 341-358.
- U.S. Library of Congress, Available online: <http://countrystudies.us/thailand/69.htm>.
- Vermaas, W., (1998), The World & I: Introduction to photosynthesis, Available online: <http://photoscience.la.asu.edu/photosyn/education/photointo.html>
- Wade, (2004), Bagasse cogeneration on-site production: review issue 2005-2006, *World Alliance for Decentralized Energy*, UK.
- Wakamura, Y. (2003), Utilization of bagasse energy in Thailand, Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, Kluwer Academic Publishers, *The Netherlands*, **8**, pp. 253-260.
- Walkley, A., Black, I. A. (1947), Chromic acid titration method for determination of soil organic matter, *Soil Sci. Amer. Proc.*, pp. 63-257.
- Wangkanai Sugar mill, (2008), Available online: <http://www.wangkanai.com>.
- Wang, Wai., Ohse, K., Liu, J., Mo, W., Oikawa, T. (2005), Contribution of root respiration to soil respiration in a C3/C4 mixed grassland, *Bioscience*, **30**, 507-514.
- Waste Utilization and Management, Pilot Plant Development and Training Institute (PDTI), King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (2004), Feasibility Study for Central Anaerobic Treatment Facility for Biogas Production from Pig Manure and Wastewater from Small and Medium Scale Food Industries in Nakhon Pathom Province, KMUTT, 454 pp.
- Watson, R.T., Noble, I.R., Bolin, B., Ravindranath, N.H., Verardo, D.J., Dokken, D.J. (Eds). (2000), Land Use Changes and forestry, A special report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press: New York.

- Watts, J., Whitworth, W.R., Hill, A., Wakefield, G.I., Davo, T., O'Neil, L.J. (1999), Vegetation map accuracy assessment: fort Benning, Georgia, *US Army Corps of Engineers*, pp. 1-62.
- WEC (World Energy Council), (1993), *Energy for Tomorrow's World-The Realities, The Real Options and the Agenda for Achievement*, St. Martin's Press, New York, pp. 320.
- Wellburn, A.R. (1994), *Air Pollution and Climate Change the Biological Impact*, 2nd edn. London: Addison, Wesley and. Longman, pp. 150-256.
- West, T.O., Marland, G. (2001), Net carbon flux from agriculture ecosystems: methodology for full carbon cycle analyses, *Environmental Pollution*, **116**, pp. 439-444.
- West, T.O., Marland, G. (2002), A synthesis of carbon sequestration, carbon emissions, and net carbon flux in agriculture: comparing tillage practices in the United States, *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*, **91**, pp. 231-238.
- West T.O., (2008), Net carbon sequestration in agriculture: A national assessment, Available online on: <http://www.ornl.gov/~webworks/cpr/pres/107540.pdf>
- Whalen, S.C., Reeburgh, W.S. (1996), Moisture and temperature sensitivity of CH₄ oxidation in Boreal soils, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **28**, pp.1271-1281.
- William, H.S., Julia, P. W., Megonigal, J. P. (2000), Soils and the Global Carbon Cycle, *In The Carbon Cycle*, Cambridge University Press, pp. 93-101.
- Willison *et al.*, (1996) *In* Hütsch, B.W. (2001), Methane oxidation in non-flooded soils as affected by crop production-invited paper, *European Journal of Agronomy*, **14**, pp. 237-260.
- Winrock International, (1995), *Renewable Energy and the Environment Program (REEP)*.
- Wgley, T.M.L., Schimel, D.S. (2000), *The Carbon Cycle*, Cambridge University Press, pp. 292.
- Wutticart, S., Narong, T., Rungnapa, T. (2004), Characterization of Established Soil Series in the Peninsular and Southeast Region of Thailand Reclassified According to Soil Taxonomy 2003, *Land Development Department Thailand*, pp. 1- 246.
- Yan, x., Crookes, R.J. (2009), Life cycle analysis of energy use and greenhouse gas emissions for road transportation fuels in China, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, **13**, pp. 2505–2514

- Yoneyama, T., Okada, H., Chongraditnum, P., Ando, S., Prasertsak, P., Hirai, K. (2006), Effects of vegetation and cultivation on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of soil organic carbon and estimation of its turnover in Asian tropics: A case study in Thailand, *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, **52**, pp. 95–102.
- Yoosin, S., Sorapipatana, C. (2003), An Assessment of Ethanol Fuel Production Potentials from Major Crops in Thailand, Proceeding of Second Regional Conference on Energy Technology towards a Clean Environment, Phuket, Feb. (2003), pp.883-889.
- Zhiyuan, H., Gengquiang, P. Fang, F., Chengtao, W. (2004), Economics, environment, and energy life cycle assessment of automobiles fueled by bio-ethanol blends in China, *Renewable Energy*, **29**, pp. 2183-2192
- Zhou, Z., Jiang, H., Qin, L. (2007), Life cycle sustainability assessment of fuels, *Fuel*, **86**, pp. 256–263
- Zinnaida, I., Anders, L., Annemieke, G. (1997), Open ventilated chamber system for measurements of H_2O and CO_2 fluxes from the soil surface, *Soil Technology*, **10**, pp. 169-184.

APPENDIX

Appendix A: Questionnaire for sugarcane farm

1. Questionnaire for field survey sugarcane farm management in Eastern Region, Year 2006 (in Thai)

แบบสอบถามการสำรวจพื้นที่ปลูกอ้อยปี 2549 จ. ชลบุรี

วันที่ เดือน พ.ศ.....

1. ชื่อเจ้าของไร่.....เบอร์โทร.....
2. ที่อยู่.....
3. พื้นที่ปลูก ไร่ พันธุ์อ้อย.....
4. ลักษณะการถือครองที่ดิน เจ้าของ เช่า ในโคกค้ำ นอกโคกค้ำ
5. ฤดูปลูก เริ่มเดือน เก็บเกี่ยวเดือน.....
การเตรียมพื้นที่ปลูกใช้เวลาประมาณ..... เดือน.....วัน
6. วิธีปลูก ฟังกลบ เสียบ อ้อยตอปีที่ 1 อ้อยตอปีที่ 2
 รคน้ำ.....เที่ยว/ฤดูปลูก
7. การไถพรวน ก็ครั้งต่อฤดูปลูก
ผาน 7ครั้ง/ฤดู ใช้เวลา.....วัน ต่อ.....ไร่
ผาน 3ครั้ง/ฤดู ใช้เวลา.....วัน ต่อ.....ไร่
ขอยพรวน.....ครั้ง/ฤดู ใช้เวลา.....วัน ต่อ.....ไร่
ใช้รถไถ พลังงานที่ใช้ น้ำมันดีเซล เบนซิล อื่น ๆ.....ลิตร/ฤดูปลูก
8. การใส่ปุ๋ย ไม่ใส่ปุ๋ย ใส่ปุ๋ย วิธีการใส่คือ.....
ชนิดปุ๋ยที่ใช้คือ ปุ๋ยคอก-พืชสด ปุ๋ยชีวภาพ ปุ๋ยเคมี (สูตร)
อัตราการใช้ครั้ง/ปี ครั้งละ กก./ไร่
9. โรคและแมลง มี ไม่มี
10. ความเสียหายอื่น ๆ
11. การใช้ยาฆ่าแมลง ไม่ใช้ ใช้
ยี่ห้อ.....ปริมาณการใช้.....ครั้ง/ปี.....กก./ไร่
การฉีดยาใช้แรงงาน คน เครื่องจักร
จำนวนเที่ยว.....เที่ยว/ไร่
12. การใช้ยาฆ่าหญ้า ไม่ใช้ ใช้
ยี่ห้อ.....ปริมาณการใช้.....ครั้ง/ปี.....กก./ไร่
การฉีดยาใช้แรงงาน คน เครื่องจักร
จำนวนเที่ยว.....เที่ยว/ไร่
13. แหล่งน้ำ ชลประทาน น้ำฝน สูบน้ำ
ปริมาณน้ำลิตร/ครั้ง จำนวนครั้ง.....ครั้ง/ฤดูปลูก อื่น ๆ

- รายละเอียดการให้น้ำ.....
14. ปริมาณน้ำ พอ ไม่เพียงพอ
15. ผลผลิตรวม..... ต้น ผลผลิตเฉลี่ย..... ต้น/ไร่
16. การเก็บเกี่ยว เครื่องจักร แรงงาน
 เผลาก่อนตัด ไม่เผลาก่อนตัด
17. การจัดการก่อนเพาะปลูกเผาไบบก่อนเตรียมพื้นที่ปลูกหรือไม่ เผลา ไม่เผลา
18. วิธีการขาย อ้อยสดส่งโรงงาน ผลิตท่อนพันธุ์
 โรงงานที่ส่ง..... ระยะทาง.....กิโลเมตร
19. การขนส่ง.....ต้น/เที่ยว
20. ราคาที่เกษตรกรขายได้จริง.....บาท/ต้น
22. ลักษณะดินที่สังเกตได้
 ดินทราย ดินร่วน ดินร่วนปนทราย ดินร่วนเหนียว
23. รายละเอียดอื่นเพิ่มเติม.....
-



2. Questionnaire for field survey of sugarcane farm management in Eastern Region, 2006
Production Year (in English)

Date Month Year

1. Name Telephone Number

2. Address

3. Planting area sizerai Commercial name

4. Type of ownership area Owner Rent In the quota Out of quota

5. Planting date Harvesting date

Period for preparing area for plant.....month.....days

6. Planting method Bury Skewer Ratoon year 1 Ratoon year 2
 Sprinkle Watertime/planting season

7. Tillage type Time/Planting season
Chisel plowtime/season Spent time.....days per.....rai
Deep riptime/season Spent time.....days per.....rai
Strip-tillage.....time/season Spent time.....days per.....rai
Energy uses for Tractor Diesel Gasoline
 Others.....liter/planting season

8. Fertilizer No-fertilizer Use-fertilizer Method.....
Type of fertilizer Manure Bio-fertilizer
 Chemical-fertilizer a chemical formula

Rate use.....time/year ratekg/rai

9. Disease and Insect Yes No

10. Others damage

.....

11. Pesticide Yes No
Brand.....Quantity.....time/year.....kg/rai
Power Man Power Machine
Rate.....time/rai

12. Herbicide Yes No
Brand.....Quantity.....time/year.....kg/rai
Power Man Power Machine
Rate.....time/rai

13. Water sources Irrigation Rain water Pump
Water supply.....liter/time Rate.....time/season
Detail of water sprinkle

14. Amount of water supply Enough Not enough

15. Total production..... Tons Average production ton/rai

16. Harvest method Machine Man power
 Burning before harvest No- burning before harvest

17. Management sugarcane biomass before planting Burning Unburnt
18. Sale Method Fresh production Produce for sugarcane sprout
Sugar mill name..... Distance.....kilometers
19. Transportation.....ton/truck
20. Price.....bath/ton
22. Soil characteristics
 Sand Silt Sand and silt Clay
23. Other details.....

Appendix C: Sugarcane farm management data from questionnaire

1. Data of chemical fertilizer utilization (Note: QN= questionnaire)

| QN. NO. | Types | | Fertilizer | | | Herbicide | Insecticide | Transportation | | |
|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|----------|
| | Fertilizer | Unit | Urea | kg/ha | | | kg/ha | kg/ha | ton/ha | km |
| | Area (Rai) | Area (ha) | | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | Quantity | Quantity | Production | Distance |
| Q1 | 40 | 6.40 | - | 313 | 69 | 175 | - | - | 78.13 | 70 |
| Q2 | 120 | 19.20 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | 12.50 | 52.08 | 20 |
| Q3 | 120 | 19.20 | - | 45 | 30 | 90 | - | 37.50 | 78.13 | 7 |
| Q4 | 200 | 32.00 | - | 281 | 281 | 281 | - | 12.50 | 50.00 | 8 |
| Q5 | 200 | 32.00 | - | 19 | 14 | 21 | - | 31.25 | 78.13 | 15 |
| Q6 | 150 | 24.00 | - | 219 | 219 | 94 | - | 37.50 | 56.25 | 20 |
| Q7 | 250 | 40.00 | 287.5 | 288 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 65.00 | 10 |
| Q8 | 300 | 48.00 | - | 41 | 41 | 66 | - | - | 62.50 | 15 |
| Q9 | 100 | 16.00 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | 6.25 | 75.00 | 23 |
| Q10 | 200 | 32.00 | - | 141 | 141 | 141 | - | 3.13 | 78.13 | 25 |
| Q11 | 210 | 33.60 | - | 47 | 47 | 47 | - | 56.25 | 53.57 | 10 |
| Q12 | 60 | 9.60 | - | 271 | 201 | 201 | - | 18.75 | 66.69 | 20 |
| Q13 | 320 | 51.20 | - | 344 | 94 | 94 | - | 6.25 | 68.75 | 40 |
| Q14 | 50 | 8.00 | - | 194 | 144 | 144 | - | 9.38 | 62.50 | 40 |
| Q15 | 150 | 24.00 | - | 225 | 175 | 50 | - | 6.25 | 62.50 | 15 |
| Q16 | 300 | 48.00 | - | 188 | 188 | 188 | - | 0 | 62.50 | 20 |
| Q17 | 100 | 16.00 | - | 141 | 141 | 141 | - | 18.75 | 62.50 | 22 |
| Q18 | 70 | 11.20 | - | 141 | 141 | 141 | - | 37.50 | 44.64 | 30 |
| Q19 | 100 | 16.00 | - | 169 | 169 | 169 | - | - | 62.50 | 17 |
| Q20 | 200 | 32.00 | - | 188 | 144 | 144 | - | 31.25 | 93.75 | 5 |
| Q21 | 100 | 16.00 | - | 900 | 375 | 375 | - | 0 | 56.25 | 10 |
| Q22 | 160 | 25.60 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | - | 62.50 | 20 |
| Q23 | 350 | 56.00 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | - | 62.50 | 75 |
| Q24 | 35 | 5.60 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | - | 62.50 | 15 |
| Q25 | 150 | 24.00 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | - | 62.50 | 10 |
| Q26 | 90 | 14.40 | - | 250 | 138 | 138 | - | 6.25 | 61.81 | 15 |
| Q27 | 120 | 19.20 | - | 188 | 188 | 188 | - | - | 62.50 | 5 |
| Q28 | 150 | 24.00 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | 6.67 | 60.42 | 25 |
| Q29 | 300 | 48.00 | - | 315 | 201 | 201 | - | 25.00 | 79.17 | 20 |
| Q30 | 60 | 9.60 | - | 47 | 47 | 47 | - | 12.50 | 50.00 | 6 |
| Q31 | 350 | 56.00 | - | 47 | 47 | 47 | - | 12.50 | 67.86 | 8 |
| Q32 | 200 | 32.00 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | 12.50 | 62.50 | 20 |
| Q33 | 70 | 11.20 | - | 100 | 100 | 100 | 56.25 | 56.25 | 43.75 | 30 |
| Q34 | 60 | 9.60 | - | 572 | 141 | 141 | - | 6.25 | 36.46 | 30 |
| Q35 | 250 | 40.00 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | 25.00 | 62.50 | 15 |
| Q36 | 200 | 32.00 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | - | 56.25 | 26 |
| Q37 | 600 | 96.00 | 431.25 | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | 50.00 | 52.08 | 10 |
| Q38 | 150 | 24.00 | - | 141 | 141 | 141 | - | 46.88 | 56.25 | 22 |
| Q39 | 150 | 24.00 | - | 47 | 47 | 47 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 62.50 | 10 |
| Q40 | 100 | 16.00 | - | 47 | 47 | 47 | - | 12.50 | 50.00 | 30 |
| Q41 | 125 | 20.00 | - | 188 | 188 | 188 | 6.25 | 15.00 | 35.00 | 23 |
| Q42 | 200 | 32.00 | - | 141 | 141 | 141 | - | 18.75 | 46.88 | 50 |
| Q43 | 20 | 3.20 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | - | 40.63 | 16 |
| Q44 | 100 | 16.00 | - | 141 | 141 | 141 | - | 37.50 | 62.50 | 15 |
| Q45 | 120 | 19.20 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | - | 119.79 | 15 |
| Q46 | 300 | 48.00 | - | 281 | 281 | 281 | - | 3.13 | 52.08 | 15 |
| Q47 | 140 | 22.40 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | 37.50 | 80.36 | 18 |
| Q48 | 80 | 12.80 | - | 188 | 188 | 188 | - | - | 50.00 | 10 |
| Q49 | 196 | 31.36 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | 6.25 | - | 31.89 | 10 |
| Q50 | 500 | 80.00 | - | 47 | 47 | 47 | - | - | 75.00 | 10 |
| Q51 | 50 | 8.00 | 431.25 | 572 | 141 | 141 | - | - | 37.50 | 7 |
| Q52 | 150 | 24.00 | - | 550 | 450 | 550 | - | 12.50 | 62.50 | 8 |
| Q53 | 110 | 17.60 | - | 50 | 25 | 25 | - | 18.75 | 106.25 | 4 |
| Q54 | 150 | 24.00 | - | 844 | 844 | 844 | - | 3.13 | 83.33 | 10 |
| Q55 | 120 | 19.20 | - | 94 | 26 | 26 | - | 25.00 | 104.17 | 8 |
| Q56 | 10 | 1.60 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | - | 50.00 | 15 |
| Q57 | 200 | 32.00 | - | 94 | 94 | 94 | - | 6.25 | 62.50 | 10 |
| Q58 | 200 | 32.00 | - | 188 | 88 | 225 | - | 6.25 | 43.75 | 25 |
| Q59 | 120 | 19.2 | 143.75 | 938 | 938 | 938 | - | 18.75 | 50 | 60 |

2. Data of herbicide and insecticide

| QN. NO. | Area (ha) | Herbicide (kg/ha) | Insecticide (kg/ha) |
|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Q1 | 6.40 | - | - |
| Q2 | 19.20 | - | 12.50 |
| Q3 | 19.20 | - | 37.50 |
| Q4 | 32.00 | - | 12.50 |
| Q5 | 32.00 | - | 31.25 |
| Q6 | 24.00 | - | 37.50 |
| Q7 | 40.00 | - | 0.00 |
| Q8 | 48.00 | - | - |
| Q9 | 16.00 | - | 6.25 |
| Q10 | 32.00 | - | 3.13 |
| Q11 | 33.60 | - | 56.25 |
| Q12 | 9.60 | - | 18.75 |
| Q13 | 51.20 | - | 6.25 |
| Q14 | 8.00 | - | 9.38 |
| Q15 | 24.00 | - | 6.25 |
| Q16 | 48.00 | - | 0.00 |
| Q17 | 16.00 | - | 18.75 |
| Q18 | 11.20 | - | 37.50 |
| Q19 | 16.00 | - | - |
| Q20 | 32.00 | 125.00 | 31.25 |
| Q21 | 16.00 | - | 0.00 |
| Q22 | 25.60 | - | - |
| Q23 | 56.00 | - | - |
| Q24 | 5.60 | - | - |
| Q25 | 24.00 | - | - |
| Q26 | 14.40 | - | 6.25 |
| Q27 | 19.20 | - | - |
| Q28 | 24.00 | - | 6.67 |
| Q29 | 48.00 | - | 25.00 |
| Q30 | 9.60 | - | 12.50 |
| Q31 | 56.00 | - | 12.50 |
| Q32 | 32.00 | - | 12.50 |
| Q33 | 11.20 | 56.25 | 56.25 |
| Q34 | 9.60 | - | 6.25 |
| Q35 | 40.00 | - | 25.00 |
| Q36 | 32.00 | - | - |
| Q37 | 96.00 | - | 50.00 |
| Q38 | 24.00 | - | 46.88 |
| Q39 | 24.00 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| Q40 | 16.00 | - | 12.50 |
| Q41 | 20.00 | 6.25 | 15.00 |
| Q42 | 32.00 | 250.00 | 18.75 |
| Q43 | 3.20 | - | - |
| Q44 | 16.00 | - | 37.50 |
| Q45 | 19.20 | - | - |
| Q46 | 48.00 | - | 3.13 |
| Q47 | 22.40 | - | 37.50 |
| Q48 | 12.80 | - | - |
| Q49 | 31.36 | 6.25 | - |
| Q50 | 80.00 | - | - |
| Q51 | 8.00 | - | - |
| Q52 | 24.00 | - | 12.50 |
| Q53 | 17.60 | - | 18.75 |
| Q54 | 24.00 | - | 3.13 |
| Q55 | 19.20 | - | 25.00 |
| Q56 | 1.60 | - | - |
| Q57 | 32.00 | - | 6.25 |
| Q58 | 32.00 | - | 6.25 |
| Q59 | 19.2 | - | 18.75 |

Note: QN= questionnaire

3. Data of diesel utilization from farm operation and management

| QN. NO. | Area (ha) | liters/ha | | | | | Total |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Machinery | Herbicide | Insecticide | Irrigation | Transportation | |
| Q1 | 6.40 | 117.19 | - | 4.22 | 43.75 | 125.00 | 290.16 |
| Q2 | 19.20 | 98.13 | - | 9.38 | - | 83.33 | 190.84 |
| Q3 | 19.20 | 233.75 | - | 18.75 | 18.23 | 125.00 | 395.73 |
| Q4 | 32.00 | 266.67 | - | 9.38 | - | 80.00 | 356.05 |
| Q5 | 32.00 | 102.08 | - | 4.69 | 10.94 | 125.00 | 242.71 |
| Q6 | 24.00 | 225.00 | - | 14.06 | 10.94 | 90.00 | 340 |
| Q7 | 40.00 | 256.25 | - | 37.50 | 10.94 | 104.00 | 408.69 |
| Q8 | 48.00 | 62.50 | - | - | 10.94 | 100.00 | 173.44 |
| Q9 | 16.00 | 169.58 | - | 37.50 | 10.94 | 120.00 | 338.02 |
| Q10 | 32.00 | 118.75 | - | 2.34 | 10.94 | 125.00 | 257.03 |
| Q11 | 33.60 | 92.50 | - | 14.11 | 10.94 | 85.71 | 203.26 |
| Q12 | 9.60 | 291.67 | - | 9.38 | 13.13 | 106.71 | 420.89 |
| Q13 | 51.20 | 116.67 | - | 4.69 | - | 110.00 | 231.36 |
| Q14 | 8.00 | 116.67 | - | 3.38 | 10.94 | 100.00 | 230.99 |
| Q15 | 24.00 | 175.00 | - | 4.75 | 3.13 | 100.00 | 282.88 |
| Q16 | 48.00 | 79.17 | - | 4.69 | 10.94 | 100.00 | 194.8 |
| Q17 | 16.00 | 212.50 | - | 56.25 | 43.75 | 100.00 | 412.5 |
| Q18 | 11.20 | 106.25 | - | - | - | 71.43 | 177.68 |
| Q19 | 16.00 | 197.50 | - | - | 10.94 | 100.00 | 308.44 |
| Q20 | 32.00 | 156.25 | - | 9.38 | 3.13 | 150.00 | 318.76 |
| Q21 | 16.00 | 95.00 | - | 18.75 | 10.94 | 90.00 | 214.69 |
| Q22 | 25.60 | 158.93 | - | - | - | 100.00 | 258.93 |
| Q23 | 56.00 | 97.92 | - | - | - | 100.00 | 197.92 |
| Q24 | 5.60 | 225.00 | - | - | - | 100.00 | 325 |
| Q25 | 24.00 | 108.33 | - | - | - | 100.00 | 208.33 |
| Q26 | 14.40 | 139.58 | - | - | 10.94 | 98.89 | 249.41 |
| Q27 | 19.20 | 97.92 | - | - | - | 100.00 | 197.92 |
| Q28 | 24.00 | 240.00 | - | - | - | 90.63 | 330.63 |
| Q29 | 48.00 | 75.83 | - | 9.38 | 10.94 | 126.67 | 222.82 |
| Q30 | 9.60 | 212.50 | - | 9.38 | - | 80.00 | 301.88 |
| Q31 | 56.00 | 60.42 | - | - | 10.94 | 108.57 | 179.93 |
| Q32 | 32.00 | 62.50 | - | 18.75 | - | 100.00 | 181.25 |
| Q33 | 11.20 | 139.58 | 3.00 | - | - | 70.00 | 212.58 |
| Q34 | 9.60 | 212.50 | - | - | 4.69 | 58.33 | 275.52 |
| Q35 | 40.00 | 165.00 | - | - | 10.94 | 100.00 | 275.94 |
| Q36 | 32.00 | 97.92 | - | 0.47 | - | 90.00 | 188.39 |
| Q37 | 96.00 | 150.00 | - | 4.69 | - | 83.33 | 238.02 |
| Q38 | 24.00 | 116.67 | - | 4.69 | 10.94 | 90.00 | 222.3 |
| Q39 | 24.00 | 175.00 | 0.15 | - | - | 100.00 | 275.15 |
| Q40 | 16.00 | 112.50 | - | 4.69 | 4.69 | 80.00 | 201.88 |
| Q41 | 20.00 | 460.00 | 0.30 | 56.25 | 10.94 | 56.00 | 583.49 |
| Q42 | 32.00 | 160.00 | - | 14.06 | 11.38 | 75.00 | 260.44 |
| Q43 | 3.20 | 281.25 | - | - | - | 65.00 | 346.25 |
| Q44 | 16.00 | 87.50 | - | 56.25 | - | 100.00 | 243.75 |
| Q45 | 19.20 | 187.50 | - | - | 10.94 | 191.67 | 390.11 |
| Q46 | 48.00 | 250.00 | - | 75.00 | 10.94 | 83.33 | 419.27 |
| Q47 | 22.40 | 390.77 | - | 37.50 | 10.94 | 128.57 | 567.78 |
| Q48 | 12.80 | 187.50 | - | - | - | 80.00 | 267.5 |
| Q49 | 31.36 | 65.05 | 3.00 | 75.00 | 10.94 | 51.02 | 205.01 |
| Q50 | 80.00 | 60.00 | - | 18.75 | 10.94 | 120.00 | 209.69 |
| Q51 | 8.00 | 27.50 | - | - | - | 60.00 | 87.5 |
| Q52 | 24.00 | 450.00 | - | 37.50 | 10.94 | 100.00 | 598.44 |
| Q53 | 17.60 | 292.86 | - | - | 21.88 | 170.00 | 484.74 |
| Q54 | 24.00 | 77.08 | - | 18.75 | 10.94 | 133.33 | 240.1 |
| Q55 | 19.20 | 81.25 | - | 56.25 | 10.94 | 166.67 | 315.11 |
| Q56 | 1.60 | 50.00 | - | - | - | 80.00 | 130 |
| Q57 | 32.00 | 162.50 | - | - | 10.94 | 100.00 | 273.44 |
| Q58 | 32.00 | 125.00 | - | 18.75 | 10.94 | 70.00 | 224.69 |
| Q59 | 19.2 | 120.8 | - | 4.69 | 9.11 | 80 | 214.6 |

4. Data of gasoline utilization from farm operation and management

| QN. NO. | Area (ha) | liters/ha | | | Total |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|--------|
| | | Herbicide | Insecticide | Irrigation | |
| Q1 | 6.40 | - | 11.72 | 62.50 | 74.22 |
| Q2 | 19.20 | - | 15.63 | - | 15.63 |
| Q3 | 19.20 | - | 31.25 | 6.51 | 37.76 |
| Q4 | 32.00 | - | 15.63 | - | 15.63 |
| Q5 | 32.00 | - | 7.81 | 3.91 | 11.72 |
| Q6 | 24.00 | - | 23.54 | 3.91 | 27.45 |
| Q7 | 40.00 | - | 62.50 | 3.91 | 66.41 |
| Q8 | 48.00 | - | - | 3.91 | 3.91 |
| Q9 | 16.00 | - | 62.50 | 3.91 | 66.41 |
| Q10 | 32.00 | - | 3.91 | 3.91 | 7.81 |
| Q11 | 33.60 | - | 23.51 | 3.91 | 27.42 |
| Q12 | 9.60 | - | 5.86 | 4.69 | 10.55 |
| Q13 | 51.20 | - | 7.81 | - | 7.81 |
| Q14 | 8.00 | - | 5.63 | 3.91 | 9.53 |
| Q15 | 24.00 | - | 7.92 | 3.91 | 11.82 |
| Q16 | 48.00 | - | 7.81 | 3.91 | 11.72 |
| Q17 | 16.00 | - | 93.75 | 15.63 | 109.38 |
| Q18 | 11.20 | - | - | 65.10 | 65.10 |
| Q19 | 16.00 | - | - | 3.91 | 3.91 |
| Q20 | 32.00 | 0.25 | 15.63 | 3.91 | 19.53 |
| Q21 | 16.00 | - | 3.91 | 3.91 | 7.81 |
| Q22 | 25.60 | - | - | - | - |
| Q23 | 56.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Q24 | 5.60 | - | - | - | - |
| Q25 | 24.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Q26 | 14.40 | - | - | 3.91 | 3.91 |
| Q27 | 19.20 | - | - | 3.91 | 3.91 |
| Q28 | 24.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Q29 | 48.00 | - | 15.63 | 3.91 | 19.53 |
| Q30 | 9.60 | - | 15.63 | - | 15.63 |
| Q31 | 56.00 | - | - | 3.91 | 3.91 |
| Q32 | 32.00 | - | 31.25 | 195.31 | 226.56 |
| Q33 | 11.20 | 5.00 | 281.25 | - | 281.25 |
| Q34 | 9.60 | - | - | 7.81 | 7.81 |
| Q35 | 40.00 | - | - | 3.91 | 3.91 |
| Q36 | 32.00 | - | 0.78 | 3.91 | 4.69 |
| Q37 | 96.00 | - | 7.81 | - | 7.81 |
| Q38 | 24.00 | - | 7.92 | 3.91 | 11.82 |
| Q39 | 24.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Q40 | 16.00 | - | 7.81 | 7.81 | 15.63 |
| Q41 | 20.00 | 15.00 | 93.75 | 3.91 | 97.66 |
| Q42 | 32.00 | 0.60 | 23.44 | 4.55 | 27.98 |
| Q43 | 3.20 | - | - | - | - |
| Q44 | 16.00 | - | 93.75 | - | 93.75 |
| Q45 | 19.20 | - | - | 3.91 | 3.91 |
| Q46 | 48.00 | - | 125.00 | 3.91 | 128.91 |
| Q47 | 22.40 | - | 62.50 | 3.91 | 66.41 |
| Q48 | 12.80 | - | - | - | - |
| Q49 | 31.36 | 5.00 | 62.50 | 3.91 | 66.41 |
| Q50 | 80.00 | - | 31.25 | 3.91 | 35.16 |
| Q51 | 8.00 | - | - | - | - |
| Q52 | 24.00 | - | 62.50 | 3.91 | 66.41 |
| Q53 | 17.60 | - | 281.25 | 82.03 | 363.28 |
| Q54 | 24.00 | - | 31.25 | 3.91 | 35.16 |
| Q55 | 19.20 | - | 93.75 | 3.91 | 97.66 |
| Q56 | 1.60 | - | - | - | - |
| Q57 | 32.00 | - | - | 3.91 | 3.91 |
| Q58 | 32.00 | - | 31.25 | 3.91 | 35.16 |
| Q59 | 19.2 | - | 7.81 | 11.39 | 19.21 |

5. Data of area burn (area ha)

| QN. NO. | Area (ha) | Pre harvest (ha) | | | Post Harvest (ha) | | |
|---------|-----------|------------------|---------|------------|-------------------|---------|------------|
| | | Burnt | Unburnt | Total area | Burnt | Unburnt | Total area |
| Q1 | 6.4 | 2.13 | 2.13 | 4.26 | 2.13 | 0 | 2.13 |
| Q2 | 19.2 | 9.6 | 0 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 0 | 9.6 |
| Q3 | 19.2 | 0 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 0 | 9.6 |
| Q4 | 32 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| Q5 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| Q6 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| Q7 | 40 | 13.33 | 13.33 | 26.66 | 13.33 | 0 | 13.33 |
| Q8 | 48 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| Q9 | 16 | 5.33 | 5.33 | 10.66 | 5.33 | 0 | 5.33 |
| Q10 | 32 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
| Q11 | 33.6 | 16.8 | 0 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 0 | 16.8 |
| Q12 | 9.6 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 0 | 3.2 |
| Q13 | 51.2 | 25.6 | 0 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 0 | 25.6 |
| Q14 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Q15 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| Q16 | 48 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 24 |
| Q17 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Q18 | 11.2 | 3.73 | 3.73 | 7.46 | 3.73 | 0 | 3.73 |
| Q19 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Q20 | 32 | 16.66 | 16.66 | 33.32 | 16.66 | 0 | 16.66 |
| Q21 | 16 | 5.33 | 5.33 | 10.66 | 5.33 | 0 | 5.33 |
| Q22 | 25.6 | 8.53 | 8.53 | 17.06 | 8.53 | 0 | 8.53 |
| Q23 | 56 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| Q24 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 0 | 2.8 |
| Q25 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Q26 | 14.4 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 9.6 | 4.8 | 0 | 4.8 |
| Q27 | 19.2 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 0 | 6.4 |
| Q28 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| Q29 | 48 | 16 | 16 | 32 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| Q30 | 9.6 | 0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 0 | 4.8 |
| Q31 | 56 | 18.66 | 18.66 | 37.32 | 18.66 | 0 | 18.66 |
| Q32 | 32 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| Q33 | 11.2 | 3.73 | 3.73 | 7.46 | 3.73 | 0 | 3.73 |
| Q34 | 9.6 | 0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 0 | 4.8 |
| Q35 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| Q36 | 32 | 10.66 | 10.66 | 21.32 | 10.66 | 0 | 10.66 |
| Q37 | 96 | 0 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 48 |
| Q38 | 24 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| Q39 | 24 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| Q40 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Q41 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Q42 | 32 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| Q43 | 3.2 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0 | 1.6 |
| Q44 | 16 | 5.33 | 5.33 | 10.66 | 5.33 | 0 | 5.33 |
| Q45 | 19.2 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 0 | 6.4 |
| Q46 | 48 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| Q47 | 22.4 | 7.46 | 7.46 | 14.92 | 7.46 | 0 | 7.46 |
| Q48 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 0 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 0 | 6.4 |
| Q49 | 31.36 | 10.45 | 10.45 | 20.9 | 10.45 | 0 | 10.45 |
| Q50 | 80 | 26.66 | 26.66 | 53.32 | 26.66 | 0 | 26.66 |
| Q51 | 8 | 2.66 | 2.66 | 5.32 | 2.66 | 0 | 2.66 |
| Q52 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Q53 | 17.6 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 8.8 |
| Q54 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Q55 | 19.2 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 0 | 6.4 |
| Q56 | 1.6 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 1.06 | 0.53 | 0 | 0.53 |
| Q57 | 32 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| Q58 | 32 | 10.66 | 10.66 | 21.32 | 10.66 | 0 | 10.66 |
| Q59 | 19.2 | 0 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix D: CO₂, CH₄ flux

1. CO₂ and CH₄ flux from sugarcane (standard error in blanket)

| Month-Code | Month | CO ₂ flux (mg/m ² /hr) | CH ₄ flux (mg/m ² /hr) |
|------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| M0 | March | 550.25 (8.4) | 0.061 (0.02) |
| M1 | April | 812.78 (32.0) | 0.170 (0.04) |
| M2 | May | 1327.77 (74.5) | -0.160 (0) |
| M3 | June | 303.25 (70.3) | -0.110 (0.01) |
| M4 | July | 488.09 (69.3) | 0.077 (0.01) |
| M5 | August | 918.63 (131.0) | 0.030 (0.05) |
| M7 | October | 1035.97 (92.6) | -0.241 (0.02) |
| M8 | November | 533.93 (66.1) | -0.184 (0.09) |
| M9 | December | 276.10 (63.7) | -0.170 (0.05) |
| M10 | January | 204.00 (56.9) | No data |

Note: M0=sampled before planting; M1= sampled at 1 months; M2= sampled at 2 months; M3= sampled at 3 months; M4= sampled at 4 months; M5= sampled at 5 months; M6= sampled at 6 months; M7= sampled at 7months; M8= sampled at 8 months; M9= sampled at 9 months; M10=sampled at 10 months)



2. Dry weight remain in sugarcane components at 0 cm depth (% dry weight remained)

| Month-code | Day | Sample Date | Leaf | Leaf sheath | Shoot | Root |
|------------|-----|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| M0 | 0 | 9-Mar | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| M1 | 27 | 5-Apr | 82.18 | 59.95 | 95.39 | 95.41 |
| M2 | 60 | 8-May | 70.06 | 52.08 | 84.43 | 93.72 |
| M3 | 91 | 8-Jun | 67.91 | 44.23 | 77.13 | 91.95 |
| M4 | 123 | 10-Jul | 56.64 | 39.42 | 79.97 | 84.68 |
| M5 | 153 | 10-Aug | 41.38 | 28.79 | 67.23 | 80.58 |
| M6 | 184 | 10-Sep | 22.62 | 17.64 | 41.4 | 53.00 |
| M7 | 214 | 9-Oct | 21.68 | 15.66 | 43.37 | 43.22 |
| M8 | 241 | 6-Nov | 25.23 | 15.57 | 58.18 | 43.84 |
| M9 | 267 | 2-Dec | 23.93 | 15.07 | 41.00 | 38.42 |
| M10 | 296 | 1-Jan | 23.33 | 11.84 | 34.75 | 35.77 |

3. Dry weight remain in sugarcane components at 15 cm depth (% dry weight remained)

| Month-code | Day | Sample Date | Leaf | Leaf sheath | Shoot | Root |
|------------|-----|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| M0 | 0 | 9-Mar | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| M1 | 27 | 5-Apr | 68.96 | 51.62 | 93.77 | 91.07 |
| M2 | 60 | 8-May | 48.04 | 38.10 | 88.43 | 88.20 |
| M3 | 91 | 8-Jun | 38.91 | 33.70 | 81.26 | 76.62 |
| M4 | 123 | 10-Jul | 31.97 | 27.16 | 76.56 | 61.28 |
| M5 | 153 | 10-Aug | 27.20 | 11.90 | 52.25 | 59.42 |
| M6 | 184 | 10-Sep | 17.81 | 9.85 | 49.14 | 51.38 |
| M7 | 214 | 9-Oct | 8.06 | 6.87 | 36.73 | 29.66 |
| M8 | 241 | 6-Nov | 11.6 | 8.36 | 38.95 | 31.20 |
| M9 | 267 | 2-Dec | 9.72 | 3.92 | 24.2 | 31.63 |
| M10 | 296 | 1-Jan | 13.99 | 1.2 | 27.3 | 25.32 |

4. Total C in sugarcane component at 0 cm depth (g C/100 g dry weight biomass)

| Month-code | Day | Sample Date | Leaf | Leaf sheath | Shoot | Root |
|------------|-----|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| M0 | 0 | 9-Mar | 45.24 (0.35) | 44.13 (0.17) | 46.00 (0.22) | 41.60 (0.34) |
| M1 | 27 | 5-Apr | 32.98 (0.23) | 23.35 (0.54) | 34.21 (0.11) | 36.4 (0.30) |
| M2 | 60 | 8-May | 26.79 (0.29) | 19.84 (0.29) | 31.30 (0.70) | 27.4 (0.17) |
| M3 | 91 | 8-Jun | 23.88 (0.44) | 16.94 (0.10) | 30.66 (0.02) | 23.68 (0.09) |
| M4 | 123 | 10-Jul | 19.69 (0.07) | 13.40 (0.48) | 27.29 (0.08) | 30.32 (0.07) |
| M5 | 153 | 10-Aug | 13.63 (0.58) | 9.80 (0.06) | 27.31 (0.55) | 18.52 (0.35) |
| M6 | 184 | 10-Sep | 7.89 (0.16) | 6.27 (0.12) | 18.07 (0.09) | 13.35 (0.15) |
| M7 | 214 | 9-Oct | 8.01 (0.04) | 5.48 (0.07) | 13.44 (0.06) | 15.15 (0.03) |
| M8 | 241 | 6-Nov | 6.38 (0.11) | 3.89 (0.08) | 13.05 (0.13) | 13.99 (0.10) |
| M9 | 267 | 2-Dec | 7.43 (0.08) | 3.89 (0.18) | 9.68 (0.13) | 14.16 (0.10) |
| M10 | 296 | 1-Jan | 6.90 (0.27) | 2.98 (0.22) | 9.36 (0.05) | 8.60 (0.11) |

5. Total C in sugarcane component at 15 cm depth (g C/100 g dry weight biomass)

| Month-code | Day | Sample Date | Leaf | Leaf sheath | Shoot | Root |
|------------|-----|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|
| M0 | 0 | 9-Mar | 45.24 | 44.13 | 46.00 | 41.60 |
| | | | (0.35) | (0.17) | (0.22) | (0.34) |
| M1 | 27 | 5-Apr | 27.12 | 21.16 | 33.03 | 30.76 |
| | | | (0.53) | (0.06) | (0.31) | (0.02) |
| M2 | 60 | 8-May | 19.94 | 14.93 | 31.75 | 27.38 |
| | | | (0.14) | (0.04) | (0.34) | (0.37) |
| M3 | 91 | 8-Jun | 15.86 | 13.69 | 26.20 | 29.39 |
| | | | (0.14) | (0.04) | (0.30) | (0.16) |
| M4 | 123 | 10-Jul | 12.95 | 11.20 | 22.31 | 27.93 |
| | | | (0.20) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.07) |
| M5 | 153 | 10-Aug | 10.97 | 4.83 | 20.55 | 18.81 |
| | | | (0.29) | (0.09) | (0.32) | (0.10) |
| M6 | 184 | 10-Sep | 7.07 | 3.81 | 19.16 | 17.19 |
| | | | (0.02) | (0.19) | (0.01) | (0.17) |
| M7 | 214 | 9-Oct | 3.09 | 2.36 | 9.46 | 12.78 |
| | | | (0.16) | (0.36) | (0.08) | (0.14) |
| M8 | 241 | 6-Nov | 4.18 | 3.10 | 10.48 | 10.64 |
| | | | (0.11) | (0.09) | (0.02) | (0.13) |
| M9 | 267 | 2-Dec | 3.40 | 1.23 | 9.94 | 7.67 |
| | | | (0.10) | (0.38) | (0.03) | (0.15) |
| M10 | 296 | 1-Jan | 4.14 | 0.40 | 7.09 | 7.87 |
| | | | (0.11) | (0.05) | (0.11) | (0.30) |

6. Total N in sugarcane component at 0 cm (g N/100 g dry weight biomass)

| Month-code | Day | Sample Date | Leaf | Leaf sheath | Shoot | Root |
|------------|-----|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| M0 | 0 | 9-Mar | 0.82 (0.06) | 0.48 (0.02) | 0.40 (0.03) | 0.42 (0.01) |
| M1 | 27 | 5-Apr | 0.54 (0.04) | 0.32 (0.13) | 0.38 (0.06) | 0.35 (0.12) |
| M2 | 60 | 8-May | 0.41 (0.11) | 0.28 (0.03) | 0.40 (0.11) | 0.32 (0.04) |
| M3 | 91 | 8-Jun | 0.43 (0.11) | 0.16 (0.05) | 0.36 (0.04) | 0.30 (0.08) |
| M4 | 123 | 10-Jul | 0.42 (0.02) | 0.22 (0.14) | 0.38 (0.09) | 0.43 (0.00) |
| M5 | 153 | 10-Aug | 0.29 (0.09) | 0.17 (0.04) | 0.34 (0.18) | 0.25 (0.02) |
| M6 | 184 | 10-Sep | 0.19 (0.03) | 0.15 (0.04) | 0.28 (0.08) | 0.19 (0.05) |
| M7 | 214 | 9-Oct | 0.20 (0.11) | 0.12 (0.00) | 0.69 (0.44) | 1.50 (0.03) |
| M8 | 241 | 6-Nov | 0.62 (0.06) | 0.32 (0.02) | 0.85 (0.00) | 1.02 (0.00) |
| M9 | 267 | 2-Dec | 0.42 (0.06) | 0.38 (0.01) | 0.14 (0.01) | 0.20 (0.12) |
| M10 | 296 | 1-Jan | 0.82 (0.00) | 0.48 (0.32) | 0.40 (0.05) | 0.42 (0.01) |

7. Total N in sugarcane component at 15 cm (g N/100 g dry weight biomass)

| Month-code | Day | Sample Date | Leaf | Leaf sheath | Shoot | Root |
|------------|-----|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| M0 | 0 | 9-Mar | 0.82 (0.06) | 0.48 (0.02) | 0.40 (0.03) | 0.42 (0.01) |
| M1 | 27 | 5-Apr | 0.64 (0.05) | 0.40 (0.09) | 0.50 (0.09) | 0.33 (0.04) |
| M2 | 60 | 8-May | 0.50 (0.02) | 0.32 (0.10) | 0.46 (0.01) | 0.43 (0.08) |
| M3 | 91 | 8-Jun | 0.54 (0.04) | 0.26 (0.01) | 0.45 (0.04) | 0.47 (0.02) |
| M4 | 123 | 10-Jul | 0.40 (0.07) | 0.22 (0.01) | 0.30 (0.04) | 0.54 (0.07) |
| M5 | 153 | 10-Aug | 0.38 (0.01) | 0.13 (0.02) | 0.40 (0.11) | 0.36 (0.03) |
| M6 | 184 | 10-Sep | 0.25 (0.02) | 0.10 (0.03) | 0.22 (0.14) | 0.33 (0.01) |
| M7 | 214 | 9-Oct | 0.11 (0.06) | 0.10 (0.01) | 0.59 (0.12) | 0.82 (0.03) |
| M8 | 241 | 6-Nov | 0.32 (0.02) | 0.17 (0.01) | 0.56 (0.01) | 0.91 (0.04) |
| M9 | 267 | 2-Dec | 0.22 (0.02) | 0.08 (0.03) | 0.16 (0.10) | 0.12 (0.12) |
| M10 | 296 | 1-Jan | 0.13 (0.03) | 0.01 (0.07) | 0.12 (0.01) | 0.13 (0.02) |

8. C:N ratio in sugarcane component at 0 cm

| Month-code | Day | Sample Date | Leaf | Leaf sheath | Shoot | Root |
|------------|-----|-------------|------|-------------|-------|------|
| M0 | 0 | 9-Mar | 55 | 92 | 114 | 99 |
| M1 | 27 | 5-Apr | 61 | 73 | 90 | 105 |
| M2 | 60 | 8-May | 66 | 72 | 78 | 86 |
| M3 | 91 | 8-Jun | 56 | 103 | 86 | 78 |
| M4 | 123 | 10-Jul | 47 | 60 | 72 | 70 |
| M5 | 153 | 10-Aug | 46 | 59 | 81 | 74 |
| M6 | 184 | 10-Sep | 43 | 41 | 65 | 72 |
| M7 | 214 | 9-Oct | 39 | 46 | 19 | 10 |
| M8 | 241 | 6-Nov | 10 | 12 | 15 | 14 |
| M9 | 267 | 2-Dec | 18 | 10 | 69 | 72 |
| M10 | 296 | 1-Jan | 39 | 47 | 66 | 68 |

9. C:N ratio in sugarcane component at 15 cm

| Month-code | Day | Sample Date | Leaf | Leaf sheath | Shoot | Root |
|------------|-----|-------------|------|-------------|-------|------|
| M0 | 0 | 9-Mar | 55 | 92 | 114 | 99 |
| M1 | 27 | 5-Apr | 42 | 53 | 66 | 94 |
| M2 | 60 | 8-May | 40 | 47 | 69 | 64 |
| M3 | 91 | 8-Jun | 30 | 52 | 59 | 62 |
| M4 | 123 | 10-Jul | 32 | 51 | 73 | 52 |
| M5 | 153 | 10-Aug | 29 | 37 | 52 | 52 |
| M6 | 184 | 10-Sep | 29 | 36 | 86 | 52 |
| M7 | 214 | 9-Oct | 28 | 25 | 16 | 16 |
| M8 | 241 | 6-Nov | 13 | 19 | 19 | 12 |
| M9 | 267 | 2-Dec | 15 | 15 | 61 | 66 |
| M10 | 296 | 1-Jan | 32 | 42 | 60 | 61 |



