

REFERENCES

- [1] Czepiel, P., Douglas, E., Harriss, R., Crill, P., (1996), Measurements of N₂O from composted organic wastes. **Environmental Science Technology**, 30, pp. 2519–2525.
- [2] Metcalf and Eddy, (1991), *Wastewater Engineering. Treatment, Disposal, Reuse*. 3rd edition, McGraw-Hill International Edition, Singapore.
- [3] Asma, A., Nouceiba, A., Fabrice, B., Thomas, L., Lionel. L. , Olivier, S., (2008), Nitrite Effect on Nitrous Oxide Emission from Denitrifying Activated Sludge, **Process Biochemistry**, 43, pp. 683–689.
- [4] Noophan, P., Paopuree, P., Wantawin, C., (2007), A study of nitrogen and phosphorus in various wastewaters in Thailand. **KKU Research Journal**, 12, 3, pp. 340–349.
- [5] Bock, E., Schmidt, I., Stuvén, R., Zart, D., (1995), Nitrogen loss caused by denitrifying Nitrosomonas cells using ammonium or hydrogen as electron donors and nitrite as electron acceptor. **Archieve Microbiology**, 163, pp. 16–20.
- [6] Strous, M., Gerven, E.V., Kuenen, J.G., Jetten, M., (1997), Effects of aerobic and microaerobic conditions on anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing (anammox) sludge. **Applied Environmental Microbiology**, 63, pp. 2446–2448.
- [7] van de Graaf, A.A., de Bruijin, P., Robertson, L.A., Jetten M.S.M. and Kuenen J.G., (1997), Metabolic pathway of anaerobic ammonium oxidation on the basis of ¹⁵N studies in a fluidized bed reactor. **Microbiology**, 143, pp.2415-2421.
- [8] Jeyanayagam, S., (2005), True confessions of the biological nutrient removal process. **Florida Water Resources Journal**, pp. 37-46
- [9] Henze, M., Harremocs, P., Jansen, J., Arvin, E., (1997), Wastewater treatment: biological and chemical process. 2nd edition. Springer. Berlin, pp. 384.

- [10] Strous, M., van Gerven, E., Kuenen, J.G., Jetten, M.S.M., (1997), Effects of aerobic and microaerobic conditions on anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing (anammox) sludge. **Applied and Environmental Microbiology**, 63, pp. 2446–2448.
- [11] Guven, D., Dapena, A., Kartal, B., Schmid, M.C., Maas, B., vande Pas-Schoonen, K., Sozen, S., Mendez, R., Op de Camp, H.J.M., Jetten, M.S.M., Strous, M., Schmidt, I., (2005), Propionate oxidation by and methanol inhibition of anaerobic ammonium oxidizing bacteria. **Applied and Environmental Microbiology**, 71, pp. 1066–1071.
- [12] Kartal, B., Rattray, J., van Niftrik, L., van de Vossenberg, J., Schmid, M., Webb, R.I., Schouten, S., Fuerst, J.A., Damste, J.S., Jetten, M.S.M., Strous, M., (2007a), Candidatus “Anammoxoglobus propionicus” a new propionate oxidizing species of anaerobic ammonium oxidizing bacteria. **Systematic and Applied Microbiology**, 30, pp. 39–49.
- [13] Kartal, B., Kuypers, M.M.M., Lavik, G., Schalk, J., Op den Camp, H.J.M., Jetten, M.S.M., Strous, M., (2007b), Anammox bacteria disguised as denitrifiers: nitrate reduction to dinitrogen gas via nitrite and ammonium. **Environmental Microbiology**, 9, pp. 635–642.
- [14] Strous, M., Fuerst, J.A., Kramer, E.H.M., Logemann, S., Muyzer, G., van de Pas-Schoonen, K.T., Webb, R., Kuenen, J.G., Jetten, M.S.M., (1999), Missing lithotroph identified as new Planctomycete. **Nature**, 29, pp. 446–449.
- [15] Ahn, Y.H., (2006), Sustainable nitrogen elimination biotechnologies : A review. **Process Biochemistry**, 41, pp. 1709-1721.
- [16] Kimura, Y., Isaka, K., Kazawa, F., Sumino, T., (2010), Effects of nitrite inhibition on anaerobic ammonium oxidation. **Environmental Biotechnology**, 86, pp. 359-365.
- [17] Dapena-Mora, A., Fernandez, I., Campos, J.L., Mosquera-Corral, A., Mendez, R., Jetten, M.S.M., (2007), Evaluation of activity and inhibition effects on Anammox process by batch tests based on the nitrogen gas production, **Enzyme and Microbial Technology**, 40, pp. 859-865.
- [18] Chong-jian, T., Ping, Z., Qaisar, M., Jian-wei, C., (2009), Start-up and inhibition analysis of Anammox process seeded with anaerobic granular sludge. **Journal of Industrial Microbiology Biotechnology**, 36, pp. 1093-1100.

- [19] Jung J.Y., Kang S.H., Chung Y.C., Ahn D.H., (2007), Factors affecting the activity of anammox bacteria during start up in the continuous culture reactor. **Water Science and Technology**, 55, 1, pp. 459-468.
- [20] Jetten M.S.M, Strous M, van de Pas-Schoonen K.T., Schalk J., van Dongen U.G.J.M., van de Graaf A.A., Logemann S., Muyzer G., van Loosdrecht M.C.M., Kuenen J.G., (1999), The anaerobic oxidation of ammonium. **FEMS Microbiology Reviews**, 22, 5, pp. 421-437
- [21] Prescott L.M, Harley J. P., Klein D.A., (2005), Microbiology. 6th edition. New York: McGraw Hill.
- [22] Gray N., (2004), Biology of wastewater treatment. 2nd Edition. Imperial College Press, London. Series editor. Bell J. Centre of environmental technology, imperial college.
- [23] Pierzynski A.M., Sims J.T., Vance G.F., (2005), Soils and environmental quality. 3rd edition. Boca Raton: Taylor & Francis Group, LCC.
- [24] Dosta, J., Fernández, I., Vázquez-Padín, J.R., Mosquera-Corral, A., Campos, J.L., Mata-Álvarez, J., Méndez, R., (2008), Short- and long-term effects of temperature on the Anammox process. **Journal of Hazardous Materials**, 154, 1-3, pp. 688-693.
- [25] Egli K., Bosshard F., Werlen C., Lais P., Siegrist H., Zhender A.J.B., van der Meer J.R., (2003), Microbial composition and structure of a rotating biological contactor biofilm treating ammonium-rich wastewater without organic carbon. **Microbial Ecology**, 45, pp. 419- 432.
- [26] Ødegaard H., Rusten B., Westrum T., (1994), A new moving biofilm reactor—applications and results. **Water Science and Technology**, 29, 10-11, pp. 157-165.
- [27] Jung J.Y., Kang S.H., Chung Y.C., Ahn D.H., (2007), Factors affecting the activity of anammox bacteria during start up in the continuous culture reactor. **Water Science and Technology**, 55, 1, pp. 459-468.

- [28] Mobarry, B.K., Wagner, M., Urbain, V., Rittmann, B.E. and Stahl, D.A., (1996), Phylogenetic probes for analyzing abundance and spatial organization of nitrifying bacteria. **Applied and Environmental Microbiology**, 62, pp. 2156-2162.
- [29] Strous, M., (2000), **Microbiology of Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidation**, Ph.D Thesis, Department of Microbiology, TU Technical University, Delft, the Netherlands.
- [30] Amann, R.I., Binder, B.J., Olsen, R.J., Chisholm, S.W., Devereux, R. and Stahl, D.A., (1990), Combination of 16S rRNA Two targeted oligonucleotide probes with flow cytometry for analyzing mixed microbial populations. **Applied and Environmental Microbiology**, 56, pp. 1919-1925.
- [31] Schmidt, M., Walsh, K., Webb, R. and Strous, M., (2003), *Candidatus Scalindua brodae*, sp. nov., *Candidatus Scalindua wagneri*, sp. nov., Two New Species of Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidizing Bacteria, **Systematic and Applied Microbiology**, 26, pp. 529-553.
- [32] Ahn, J., Daidou, T., Tsuneda, S. and Hirata, A., (2002), Characterization of denitrifying phosphate-accumulating organisms cultivated under different electron acceptor conditions using polymerase chain reaction-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis assay. **Water Research**, 36, pp. 403-412.
- [33] Department of industrial works, Ministry of industry, (2008), Book of wastewater treatment system, 4th edition.
- [34] Pilot Plant Development and Training institute, (1994), The study and survey of environment in agro-industry for canning tuna industry, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Thonburi, pp. 13-70.
- [35] Marine water division, Water quality management bureau, Pollution control department, (1999), The report of pier wastewater sample analysis, Ministry of science technology and environment, pp. 3.
- [36] Agricultural wastewater division, Water quality management bureau, Pollution control department, Ministry of natural resources and environment. Handbook of selection and maintenance for swine wastewater of department of livestock development standard, pp. 1-2, 27.

- [37] Deng, L.W., Zheng, P. and Chen, Z.A., (2006), Anaerobic digestion and post-treatment of swine wastewater using IC-SBR process with bypass of raw wastewater. **Process Biochemistry**, 41, pp. 965-969.
- [38] Montharat Bunma, (2008), Fixed Film Baffled Reactor for Nitrogen Removal from Swine Wastewater, Thesis of master of Engineering in Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi.
- [39] Kanvadee Tianjoung, (2010), Autotrophic Denitrification for Nitrogen Removal from Low Organic Carbon Wastewater: Wastewater from Seasoning Sauce Processing Industry, Thesis of master of Engineering in Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi.
- [40] Arrigo, A.K., (2005), Marine microorganisms and global nutrient cycles. **Nature**, 437, pp. 349-355.
- [41] Op den Camp, H.J.M., Kartal, B., Guven, D., van Niftrik, L.A.M.P., Haaijer, S.C.M., van der Star, W.R.L., van de Pas-Schoonen, K.T., Cabezas, A., Ying, Z., Schmid, M.C., Kuypers, M.M.M., van de Vossenberg, J., Harhangi, H.R., Picioreanu, C., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M, Kuenen, J.G., Strous, M. and Jetten, M.S.M., (2006), Global impact and application of the anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing (anammox) bacteria. **Biochemical Society Transactions**, 34, 1, pp. 174-178.
- [42] Mao, J., Jiang, X., Yang, L., Zhang, J., Qiao, Q., He, C., Yin, S., (2005), Nitrous oxide production in a sequence batch reactor wastewater treatment system using synthetic wastewater. **Soil Science of China**, 16, 4, pp. 451-456.
- [43] Marlies, J.K., Wouter, R.L. van der S., Hubert, A.W., Jan, W.M., Mike, S.M.J., Mark C.M. van L., (2007), Dynamics of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide emission during full-scale reject water treatment. **Water Research**, 42, pp. 812-826.
- [44] Marlies, J.K., Hardy, T., Robbert, K., Mike, S.M.J., Mark, C.M. van L., (2008), Nitrous oxide emission during wastewater treatment. **Water Research**, 43, pp. 4093-4103.

- [45] van Dongen, L.G.J.M., Jetten, M.S.M., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., (2001a), The Combined Sharon/Anammox Process – a Sustainable Method for N-removal from Sludge Water. **IWA Publishing**, Alliance House, London.
- [46] van Dongen, L.G.J.M., Jetten, M.S.M., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., (2001b), The SHARON-Anammox process for treatment of ammonium rich wastewater. **Water Science Technology**, 44, 153–160.
- [47] Isaka, K., Date, Y., Sumino, T., Yoshie, S., Tsuneda, S., (2006), Growth characteristics of anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing bacteria in an anaerobic biological filtrated reactor. **Applied Microbiology Biotechnology**, 70, pp. 47–52.
- [48] Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, (1998), 20th edition.
- [49] Itokawa, H., Hanaki, K. and Matsuo, T., (2001), Nitrous oxide production in high-loading biological nitrogen removal process under low COD/N ratio condition. **Water Research**, 35, pp. 657-664.
- [50] Alinsafi, A., Adouani, N., Beline, F., Landormin, T., Limousy, L., Sire, O., (2008), Nitrite effect on nitrous oxide emission from denitrifying activated sludge. **Process Biochemistry**, 43, pp. 683–689.
- [51] Lemair, R., Meyer, R., Taske, A., Crocetti, G.R., Keller, J., and Yuam, Z., (2006), Identifying causes for N₂O accumulation in a lab-scale sequencing batch reactor performing simultaneous nitrification denitrification and phosphorus removal. **Journal of Biotechnology**, 122, pp. 63-72.
- [52] Yang, Q., Liu, X., Peng, C., Wang, S., Sun, H., and Peng, Y., (2009), N₂O production during nitrogen removal via nitrite from domestic wastewater: Main sources and control method. **Environmental Science Technology**, 43, pp. 9400-9406.



APPENDIX A

Data of the performance of sequencing batch reactor (SBR) on Anammox system

Table A1 Characteristics of the experiment R1(100)-2 in reactor2

Date	Influent NO_2^- (mg N/L)	Effluent NO_2^- (mg N/L)	Influent NH_4^+ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH_4^+ (mg N/L)
4/11/2010	70.46	0.02	73.1	6.2
5/11/2010	108.28	23.05	75.1	30.7
6/11/2010	106.74	0.01	84.1	7.9
7/11/2010	106.74	0.02	84.1	0.4
10/11/2010	106.74	5.76	84.1	15.9
11/11/2010	106.23	0.30	112.9	62.3
12/11/2010	108.11	0.44	105.0	39.1
13/11/2010	100.19	0.00	113.3	47.5
14/11/2010	127.18	0.01	135.4	50.8
15/11/2010	93.61	0.11	139.5	28.0
16/11/2010	94.28	0.01	128.5	46.9
17/11/2010	107.98	0.00	152.5	42.7
18/11/2010	109.99	0.03	110.2	38.9
19/11/2010	109.05	0.06	109.0	36.2
20/11/2010	106.23	0.02	112.8	36.3
21/11/2010	106.37	0.05	106.1	35.4
22/11/2010	106.10	0.00	110.9	30.7
23/11/2010	89.44	0.01	101.9	31.7
24/11/2010	91.46	0.03	106.1	28.1
25/11/2010	93.34	0.04	93.4	28.3
26/11/2010	101.62	0.01	101.7	38.9
27/11/2010	105.88	0.01	110.6	33.5
28/11/2010	101.91	0.01	104.0	34.7
29/11/2010	99.26	0.02	108.7	34.6
30/11/2010	101.91	0.03	103.5	33.4
1/12/2010	102.50	0.03	108.7	32.5
2/12/2010	101.91	0.03	102.8	29.7
3/12/2010	100.88	0.01	98.3	30.2
4/12/2010	104.26	21.49	99.3	33.4

Table A2 Characteristics of the experiment R0.75(75) in reactor2

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
7/12/2010	103.09	0.03	49.2	16.1
8/12/2010	96.62	0.03	59.1	17.1
9/12/2010	100.15	17.90	61.5	20.9
11/12/2010	98.82	0.10	60.4	0.8
12/12/2010	100.00	0.01	60.7	11.9
13/12/2010	87.50	0.00	56.3	11.5
14/12/2010	98.82	0.02	55.2	12.1
15/12/2010	105.59	0.01	57.3	11.3
16/12/2010	98.53	0.02	55.2	10.1
17/12/2010	110.88	0.02	48.6	9.3
18/12/2010	105.15	0.01	58.0	10.9
19/12/2010	103.68	0.01	64.6	14.9
20/12/2010	108.38	0.00	73.0	15.7
21/12/2010	104.12	0.01	71.5	15.5
22/12/2010	104.12	0.07	73.9	16.7
24/12/2010	104.12	0.01	73.9	16.9
25/12/2010	101.03	0.01	66.2	16.5
26/12/2010	103.38	0.03	73.0	15.1
28/12/2010	103.38	0.03	73.0	13.8
29/12/2010	105.88	0.03	63.0	13.0
31/12/2010	105.88	0.02	63.0	13.1
1/1/2011	103.82	0.03	72.1	22.1
2/1/2011	100.29	0.04	74.1	20.2
4/1/2011	100.29	0.04	74.1	23.7
5/1/2011	106.32	0.03	71.6	18.5
6/1/2011	105.44	1.11	73.6	19.4
7/1/2011	102.50	0.07	74.3	15.4
8/1/2011	106.18	0.07	75.4	15.6
9/1/2011	107.65	14.75	74.4	12.9
10/1/2011	106.18	21.16	74.4	12.2
11/1/2011	102.79	10.81	73.6	12.0
12/1/2011	100.59	29.26	73.6	11.1
13/1/2011	101.18	16.11	73.6	30.5
14/1/2011	101.32	52.21	74.6	41.3
15/1/2011	101.76	36.38	74.4	38.8
16/1/2011	102.35	62.23	74.6	37.7
17/1/2011	100.14	59.97	77.1	35.4
18/1/2011	100.14	73.31	73.6	55.2
19/1/2011	102.03	81.89	73.7	58.2
20/1/2011	99.73	82.53	74.3	53.6
21/1/2011	101.35	84.05	74.0	63.1
22/1/2011	102.03	94.32	74.9	58.3
23/1/2011	100.81	85.81	74.9	52.7
24/1/2011	98.92	90.61	76.7	49.0
25/1/2011	101.62	88.24	75.7	50.0

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
26/1/2011	100.41	92.36	74.8	56.2
27/1/2011	0.00	93.18	75.9	54.3
28/1/2011	0.00	47.26	75.9	35.2
29/1/2011	0.00	14.19	49.0	35.0
30/1/2011	0.00	0.56	62.7	9.5
31/1/2011	99.19	8.24	68.6	7.2
1/2/2011	102.03	9.96	68.2	5.0
2/2/2011	104.05	13.41	74.3	5.8
3/2/2011	100.68	13.12	73.2	36.1
4/2/2011	102.43	13.31	75.0	34.7
5/2/2011	100.68	11.05	76.0	43.3
6/2/2011	100.95	10.81	74.5	44.3
7/2/2011	103.51	12.23	74.4	40.6



Table A3 Characteristics of the experiment R0.5(75) in reactor2

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
23/3/2011	101.82	0.01	74.3	28.4
24/3/2011	123.24	0.01	75.1	24.2
25/3/2011	123.65	0.02	74.0	23.0
26/3/2011	123.10	0.01	75.0	27.7
27/3/2011	124.76	0.01	75.6	27.6
28/3/2011	150.32	0.02	75.5	24.2
29/3/2011	151.42	0.02	75.9	22.3
30/3/2011	150.59	0.02	75.8	22.8
31/3/2011	150.73	0.03	76.2	21.0
1/4/2011	150.87	0.01	76.3	21.7
2/4/2011	151.01	0.01	76.0	21.1
3/4/2011	150.32	0.01	75.8	21.1
4/4/2011	150.87	0.00	76.3	19.0
5/4/2011	150.18	0.00	75.9	19.5
6/4/2011	152.67	0.01	76.3	19.8
7/4/2011	151.70	0.00	76.0	18.8
8/4/2011	152.53	0.00	75.6	17.9
9/4/2011	152.25	0.01	75.1	16.6
10/4/2011	150.46	0.01	75.5	17.0
11/4/2011	151.84	0.01	74.3	17.1
12/4/2011	149.49	0.01	75.1	18.9
13/4/2011	151.56	0.01	78.8	19.1
14/4/2011	152.25	0.01	75.6	19.3
15/4/2011	150.73	0.01	74.5	19.3
16/4/2011	152.80	0.01	74.5	18.7
17/4/2011	152.53	0.01	74.6	19.9
18/4/2011	151.98	0.00	75.3	19.9
19/4/2011	150.87	0.00	74.9	19.3
20/4/2011	150.04	0.01	75.0	20.1
21/4/2011	150.32	0.01	75.6	18.8
22/4/2011	150.46	0.01	75.0	19.2
23/4/2011	151.28	0.01	74.9	19.7
24/4/2011	149.90	0.01	75.6	19.4
25/4/2011	150.18	0.02	76.0	20.1
26/4/2011	149.77	0.01	75.4	20.2
27/4/2011	150.70	0.02	75.8	19.8
28/4/2011	149.58	0.01	75.8	19.3
29/4/2011	149.44	0.08	74.9	20.6
30/4/2011	149.02	0.01	74.5	19.8
1/5/2011	152.67	0.01	74.4	20.1
2/5/2011	152.81	0.02	74.4	20.0
3/5/2011	152.67	0.02	74.4	19.4
4/5/2011	152.67	0.02	74.6	19.3
5/5/2011	152.25	0.02	74.5	18.1
6/5/2011	151.83	0.02	75.6	18.2

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
7/5/2011	151.54	0.02	76.9	18.8
8/5/2011	150.70	0.02	74.6	18.8
9/5/2011	150.98	0.02	74.9	18.4
10/5/2011	150.00	0.01	74.9	20.4
12/5/2011	151.40	0.05	75.6	22.6
13/5/2011	149.86	0.02	75.5	21.5
14/5/2011	149.58	0.02	75.6	21.5
15/5/2011	151.40	0.00	76.4	21.8
16/5/2011	150.70	0.01	74.1	21.7
17/5/2011	150.42	0.01	74.6	21.8
18/5/2011	149.58	0.01	75.2	20.9
19/5/2011	150.00	0.01	74.6	21.7
20/5/2011	150.84	0.01	75.6	21.6
21/5/2011	150.56	0.01	74.3	20.6

Table A4 Characteristics of the experiment R1(100)-1 in reactor1

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
4/11/2010	70.46	0.01	73.1	3.6
5/11/2010	108.28	26.40	75.1	32.7
6/11/2010	106.74	0.09	84.1	5.0
7/11/2010	106.74	19.76	84.1	0.3
10/11/2010	106.74	12.56	84.1	14.0
11/11/2010	106.23	0.40	112.9	60.8
12/11/2010	108.11	0.00	105.0	39.5
13/11/2010	100.19	0.02	113.3	46.8
14/11/2010	127.18	0.00	135.4	51.6
15/11/2010	93.61	0.94	139.5	27.5
16/11/2010	94.28	0.03	128.5	48.7
17/11/2010	107.98	0.02	152.5	41.8
18/11/2010	109.99	0.03	110.2	39.6
19/11/2010	109.05	0.04	109.0	36.3
20/11/2010	106.23	0.03	112.8	35.8
21/11/2010	106.37	0.03	106.1	34.1
22/11/2010	106.10	0.01	110.9	31.1
23/11/2010	89.44	0.01	101.9	32.5
24/11/2010	91.46	0.03	106.1	27.0
25/11/2010	93.34	0.03	93.4	27.3
26/11/2010	101.62	0.03	101.7	37.5
27/11/2010	105.88	0.01	110.6	31.1
28/11/2010	101.91	0.01	104.0	32.4
29/11/2010	99.26	0.01	108.7	33.4
30/11/2010	101.91	0.01	103.5	32.4
1/12/2010	102.50	0.04	108.7	31.6
2/12/2010	101.91	0.01	102.8	29.0
3/12/2010	100.88	0.01	98.3	28.4
4/12/2010	104.26	23.05	99.3	31.1



Table A5 Characteristics of the experiment R0.5(50) in reactor1

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
7/12/2010	101.76	0.01	32.0	10.5
8/12/2010	100.74	0.01	38.5	9.0
9/12/2010	100.29	21.79	37.5	16.2
11/12/2010	100.51	0.04	37.8	7.9
12/12/2010	100.29	0.01	37.9	3.7
13/12/2010	90.74	0.00	35.2	2.2
14/12/2010	99.71	0.01	37.6	1.6
15/12/2010	103.01	0.00	38.5	0.9
16/12/2010	100.22	0.05	33.2	0.0
17/12/2010	99.26	0.01	33.8	0.0
18/12/2010	106.62	0.00	34.8	0.6
19/12/2010	106.10	0.01	39.6	0.4
20/12/2010	109.78	0.00	48.6	0.5
21/12/2010	103.97	0.00	49.3	0.6
22/12/2010	104.41	0.01	48.1	0.8
24/12/2010	104.41	0.00	48.1	0.6
25/12/2010	101.40	0.00	47.5	0.6
26/12/2010	103.68	0.01	48.4	0.6
28/12/2010	103.68	0.02	48.4	0.7
29/12/2010	106.25	0.00	43.5	0.8
31/12/2010	106.25	0.00	43.5	0.8
1/1/2011	102.79	0.01	50.5	0.8
2/1/2011	96.91	0.01	52.3	0.7
4/1/2011	96.91	0.01	52.3	0.9
5/1/2011	104.71	0.01	49.0	0.6
6/1/2011	105.81	0.01	51.3	0.9
7/1/2011	100.15	0.16	48.1	1.0
8/1/2011	102.87	0.01	50.5	0.9
9/1/2011	106.18	16.19	49.8	0.5
10/1/2011	102.87	14.16	48.7	0.6
11/1/2011	102.13	0.03	50.2	0.7
12/1/2011	103.38	0.02	51.5	1.3
13/1/2011	103.01	0.03	51.0	0.6
14/1/2011	101.54	0.05	51.8	1.3
15/1/2011	102.13	0.00	51.3	1.6
16/1/2011	101.18	0.00	51.7	1.3
17/1/2011	99.86	0.00	50.8	1.6
18/1/2011	100.81	1.31	53.5	2.2
19/1/2011	102.91	0.01	51.8	1.2
20/1/2011	100.54	0.02	51.4	2.3
21/1/2011	99.19	1.20	50.9	14.6
22/1/2011	99.66	1.35	48.7	12.5
23/1/2011	100.74	0.01	50.0	10.4
24/1/2011	100.20	0.01	49.7	9.1
25/1/2011	100.20	0.01	50.6	7.3

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
26/1/2011	101.28	0.02	50.0	10.5
27/1/2011	100.61	0.95	46.7	11.6
28/1/2011	101.55	0.05	50.5	10.2
29/1/2011	103.24	0.02	46.5	3.9
30/1/2011	103.31	6.54	49.4	6.9
31/1/2011	103.11	13.28	50.6	6.0
1/2/2011	100.68	15.54	51.1	5.1
2/2/2011	102.57	21.32	51.0	4.9
3/2/2011	104.53	21.07	49.7	20.5
4/2/2011	103.45	22.15	53.6	24.9
5/2/2011	102.77	23.65	54.3	28.6
6/2/2011	103.58	25.30	54.3	29.3
7/2/2011	103.38	28.04	52.3	28.2
8/2/2011	102.91	30.91	50.2	30.6
9/2/2011	104.19	34.16	51.2	28.0
10/2/2011	104.73	34.97	50.6	27.6
11/2/2011	103.58	46.69	50.3	29.6
13/2/2011	102.91	64.70	51.1	33.7
14/2/2011	102.03	65.30	51.0	36.3
15/2/2011	104.12	65.57	51.0	37.0
16/2/2011	105.34	82.77	50.4	24.9
17/2/2011	104.80	83.72	49.4	52.7
18/2/2011	104.26	89.59	50.0	49.9
19/2/2011	104.66	91.55	51.5	50.9
20/2/2011	104.73	84.86	50.5	42.4
22/2/2011	104.12	83.38	50.6	43.5
23/2/2011	0.00	92.16	0.0	50.4
24/2/2011	0.00	82.84	0.0	48.5
25/2/2011	0.00	75.47	0.0	41.7
26/2/2011	0.00	75.95	0.0	46.1
27/2/2011	0.00	48.45	31.5	42.6
28/2/2011	0.00	30.81	22.7	31.5
1/3/2011	0.00	10.02	20.7	36.4
2/3/2011	0.00	0.35	11.2	22.5

Table A6 Characteristics of the experiment R1(100)-3 in reactor1

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
3/3/2011	102.38	14.99	103.0	31.3
4/3/2011	100.99	0.02	104.9	28.8
5/3/2011	103.07	0.00	102.2	31.9
6/3/2011	102.38	0.00	103.0	35.6
7/3/2011	101.55	0.00	102.9	36.7
8/3/2011	102.93	0.01	99.7	38.3
9/3/2011	101.55	0.01	99.7	38.3
10/3/2011	103.21	0.01	100.4	37.7
11/3/2011	101.27	0.01	99.7	42.2
12/3/2011	102.10	0.01	99.3	41.9
13/3/2011	102.24	0.01	100.4	43.2
14/3/2011	101.41	0.02	100.8	39.8
15/3/2011	102.24	0.01	99.3	41.9
16/3/2011	101.69	0.02	99.3	38.4
17/3/2011	102.10	0.02	100.1	41.5
18/3/2011	101.27	0.00	99.9	37.8
19/3/2011	102.10	0.00	100.4	39.3
20/3/2011	100.99	0.01	98.8	42.5
21/3/2011	101.55	0.01	98.8	44.7
22/3/2011	102.79	0.01	98.4	42.3

Table A7 Characteristics of the experiment R0.75(100) in reactor1

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
23/3/2011	102.51	0.01	99.3	42.3
24/3/2011	131.39	0.02	99.2	40.3
25/3/2011	132.77	0.01	99.1	39.3
26/3/2011	133.19	0.01	98.6	43.5
27/3/2011	133.19	0.01	101.9	44.6
28/3/2011	132.91	0.01	101.6	42.8
29/3/2011	131.94	0.01	102.9	39.5
30/3/2011	132.63	0.01	102.8	43.4
31/3/2011	132.08	0.02	102.1	41.2
1/4/2011	133.32	0.01	102.1	42.4
2/4/2011	132.91	0.01	102.5	40.9
3/4/2011	132.22	0.01	102.5	36.3
4/4/2011	133.05	0.01	103.3	37.6
5/4/2011	132.36	0.01	102.7	39.1
6/4/2011	134.57	0.01	101.9	42.4
7/4/2011	131.53	0.01	102.5	41.0
8/4/2011	133.88	0.02	100.9	40.2
9/4/2011	134.71	0.03	100.5	40.4
10/4/2011	132.08	0.03	101.3	39.9
11/4/2011	132.91	0.04	101.6	39.6
12/4/2011	130.56	0.03	101.2	47.1
13/4/2011	133.05	0.03	105.7	45.9
14/4/2011	132.63	0.02	102.9	45.3
15/4/2011	133.05	0.02	101.9	42.5
16/4/2011	133.46	0.03	101.9	40.9
17/4/2011	133.74	0.02	101.4	43.4
18/4/2011	133.05	0.03	100.8	43.3
19/4/2011	133.05	0.02	101.1	41.6
20/4/2011	134.98	0.02	101.4	41.5
21/4/2011	133.32	0.03	101.0	38.6
22/4/2011	132.91	0.02	100.8	39.2
23/4/2011	132.91	0.02	101.7	42.3
24/4/2011	132.63	0.02	101.0	41.2
25/4/2011	132.08	0.04	101.5	41.4
26/4/2011	132.91	0.02	101.3	44.7
27/4/2011	133.29	0.03	101.1	43.9
28/4/2011	132.72	0.07	101.1	42.2
29/4/2011	133.15	0.08	100.7	45.1
30/4/2011	132.58	0.08	100.8	45.0
1/5/2011	133.15	0.08	102.5	43.4
2/5/2011	134.27	0.07	102.5	42.3
3/5/2011	133.57	0.08	102.0	43.1
4/5/2011	133.29	0.07	102.1	42.4
5/5/2011	133.29	0.08	101.8	41.2
6/5/2011	133.71	0.07	101.3	41.6

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
7/5/2011	133.71	0.08	101.0	41.8
8/5/2011	134.27	0.08	102.1	42.3
9/5/2011	133.71	0.08	101.5	41.9
10/5/2011	133.43	0.07	101.7	41.9
12/5/2011	134.55	0.19	102.9	44.6
13/5/2011	133.71	0.08	100.5	37.5
14/5/2011	133.15	0.09	100.8	37.4
15/5/2011	133.99	0.02	100.3	35.7



Table A8 Characteristics of the experiment R0.5(100) in reactor1

Date	Influent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Effluent NO ₂ ⁻ (mg N/L)	Influent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)	Effluent NH ₄ ⁺ (mg N/L)
16/5/2011	150.42	0.08	100.6	44.2
17/5/2011	203.09	0.34	100.5	40.6
18/5/2011	202.25	0.09	100.9	40.0
19/5/2011	203.23	0.08	99.8	39.8
20/5/2011	201.83	0.07	100.6	39.9
21/5/2011	200.98	0.08	98.8	39.5
22/5/2011	201.54	25.84	100.3	42.2
23/5/2011	201.40	49.37	100.5	44.4
24/5/2011	202.81	50.84	100.3	46.0
25/5/2011	202.25	60.67	101.2	49.7
26/5/2011	201.40	69.10	100.9	57.7
27/5/2011	200.70	69.73	101.5	60.9
28/5/2011	200.42	56.18	102.7	58.4
29/5/2011	201.26	45.29	102.4	57.2
30/5/2011	201.12	27.63	100.2	51.8
31/5/2011	200.56	27.95	100.6	49.2
1/6/2011	199.58	27.28	101.2	48.6

Table A9 N₂O concentration of the experiment R1(100) with 2.5 mL of sample and 100 ppm of standard

Date	Average area of standard	Area of sample	ppm of sample
16/3/2011	31,646,200	483,212	1.53
	31,646,200	260,594	0.82
	35,859,044	483,212	1.35
	35,859,044	260,594	0.73
17/3/2011	31,646,200	374,443	1.18
	31,646,200	192,999	0.61
	31,646,200	458,180	1.45
	35,859,044	374,443	1.04
	35,859,044	192,999	0.54
	35,859,044	458,180	1.28
Average			1.05

Table A10 N₂O concentration of the experiment R0.75(75) with 2.5 mL of sample and 100 ppm of standard

Date	Average area of standard	Area of sample	ppm of sample
15/3/2011	31,646,200	249,098	1.57
	35,859,044	249,098	1.39
17/3/2011	31,646,200	222,580	0.70
	31,646,200	185,907	0.59
	31,646,200	204,464	0.65
	35,859,044	222,580	0.62
	35,859,044	185,907	0.52
	35,859,044	204,464	0.57
Average			0.83

Table A11 N₂O concentration of the experiment R0.75(100) with 2.5 mL of sample and 100 ppm of standard

Date	Average area of standard	Area of sample	ppm of sample
1/5/2011	4,256,020	76,327	1.79
2/5/2011	4,256,020	6,087	0.14
3/5/2011	4,256,020	70,921	1.67
4/5/2011	4,256,020	73,614	1.73
5/5/2011	4,256,020	85,111	2.00
6/5/2011	4,256,020	83,540	1.96
7/5/2011	4,256,020	123,877	2.91
8/5/2011	4,256,020	94,873	2.23
9/5/2011	4,256,020	166,603	3.91
Average			2.04

Table A12 N₂O concentration of the experiment R0.5(75) with 2.5 mL of sample and 100 ppm of standard

Date	Average area of standard	Area of sample	ppm of sample
1/5/2011	4,256,020	328,347	7.71
4/5/2011	4,256,020	380,176	8.93
6/5/2011	4,256,020	695,188	16.33
7/5/2011	4,256,020	947,617	22.27
8/5/2011	4,256,020	284,998	6.70
9/5/2011	4,256,020	738,898	17.36
17/5/2011	4,256,020	191,536	4.50
18/5/2011	4,256,020	733,722	17.24
Average			12.63

Table A13 N₂O concentration of the experiment R0.5(100) with 2.5 mL of sample and 100 ppm of standard

Date	Average area of standard	Area of sample	ppm of sample
24/5/2011	4,256,020	7,395,344	173.76
29/5/2011	4,256,020	8,556,383	201.04
30/5/2011	4,256,020	9,625,651	226.17
31/5/2011	4,256,020	8,564,454	201.23
Average			200.55

APPENDIX B

Analytical Method

Fluorescence *In Situ* Hybridization (FISH) Technique (Ahn *et al.*, 2002)

1. Reagents and Equipment

2.1 Fixative Reagent (Paraformaldehyde 4%)

Fixative reagent was used for fixation of bacterial cells to preserve ribosomal RNA. Fixative reagent was prepared by adding 2 g paraformaldehyde slowly into 33 mL of distilled water (add one drop of 10 M NaOH into distilled water). The mixture was heated gently to 60°C and stirred until dissolved. 3X PBS (16.5 mL) was added into paraformaldehyde solution and cooled down the solution on ice. The pH was adjusted to 7.2 with 1 M NaOH and filtered sterile through a disposable filter. The fixative reagent was kept on ice and used within 24 hours.

2.2 Acid Alcohol

Acid alcohol was used for cleaning slides before poly-L-lysine coating. Acid alcohol was prepared by adding 1% HCl (1 mL) into 70% ethanol (99 mL).

2.3 3xPBS Buffer

3X PBS buffer (390 mM of NaCl in 30 mM of NaPO₄ buffer) was prepared by adding 23.4 mL of 5 M NaCl to 18.0 mL of 0.5 M NaPO₄ buffer. The pH was adjusted to 7.2 and final volume was adjusted with distilled water to 300 mL.

0.5 M NaPO₄ buffer was prepared by mixing 28 mL of 0.5 M NaH₂PO₄ with 0.5 M Na₂HPO₄.

2.4 Hybridization Buffer

Hybridization buffer contained 0.9 M NaCl, 0.01% Dodecyl sulfate sodium salt (SDS), 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2), and 5-40 % formamide.

20 mM Tris-HCl was prepared by mixing Tris-(hydroxymethyl) aminometane [NH₂C(CH₂OH)₃] 24.23 g in distilled water 150 mL and adjusted pH at 7.2 by HCl.

2.5 Washing Buffer

The washing buffer contained 0.9 M NaCl, 0.01% SDS and 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2).

2.6 DAPI Solution

4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) was used for counterstaining after whole cell hybridization. Stock solution was prepared by adding distilled water or dimethylformamide (DMF) to 100 μ M. The stock solution was stored at 4°C. For counterstaining protocol, the DAPI stock solution was diluted to 300 nM in PBS. The working solution (300 nM) was added on the fixed cells and incubation at room temperature (5 min). After that, slides were rinsed by distilled water.

2. Procedure

2.1 Sample Fixation

Sample cells (1 mL) were harvested by centrifugation at 7,000 rpm for 5 min and removed 750 μ L of supernatant. The cells were mixed thoroughly by 750 μ L of fixative reagent and incubated cells at 4°C for 1-3 hours. The cell pellet was done by centrifugation (2 min, 700 rpm) and discarded supernatant, then washed twice with 1XPBS buffer by diluted 3XPBS buffer and discarded supernatant. The sample were stored in 1:1 mixture of 1XPBS buffer and 96% ice cold ethanol at -20°C.

2.2 Slide Preparation

Slide was cleaned in acid alcohol (1-2% HCl in 70% ethanol) for 5 min and placed slide into poly-L-lysine (0.01% v/v) at room temperature for 5 min. The coated slide was dried at 60°C for 1 hour or overnight at room temperature and was ready for use.

2.3 *In Situ* Hybridization

Fixed samples cells were spotted onto glass slides (3-5 μ L/sample) and air dried at 37°C or room temperature for 10-15 min. Dried slides were dehydrated with ethanol series (50, 80, and 96%; 3 min/each), then air dried at room temperature. After that, samples were hybridized at 46°C for 1.5-2 hours with 9 μ L of

hybridization buffer and 1 μL of probes (50 ng). Hybridization stringency was adjusted by adding formamide to hybridization buffer (5-40%). After hybridization, the slides were washed at 48°C for 15 min in washing buffer.

Washing buffer was removed with distilled water, then the slides were air dried. After that, the fixed samples were covered with 10-15 μL of DAPI for 15 min at room temperature and following with twice distilled water, then the slides were air dried and mounted with antifading (supplied by Molecular Probes). The slides were viewed on an Olympus microscope BX60 with appropriated filters.

3. Calculation

The fraction of microorganisms is calculated from the amount of cells after being hybridized with the target probe divided by the amount of cells after being hybridized with DAPI.

APPENDIX C

Analytical Method

Gas Chromatography (GC) Analysis of Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) Production

1. Gas Chromatography Instrument

GC-ECD Shimudsu 14B used the following conditions in analysis:

Column: 200×0.3 cm of porapack Q and porapack N

Column temp.: 65°C

Injection temp.: 150°C

Detector temp.: 300°C

Carrier gas: 99.99% of N₂ and 5% of CH₄ in Ar



2. Gas collection

2.1 Gas sampling

420 mL gas sample from the headspace of reactor sucked into gas bag at steady state by syringe of 60 mL. The gas bag of each gas sample was injected into GC-ECD Shimudsu 14B instrument for N₂O analysis. The 10% of N₂O gas standard (100,000 ppm of N₂O) was injected into GC-ECD before the gas sample injection then compared the gas sample concentration with 100 ppm of N₂O gas standard averaged.

2.2 Dilution N₂O gas standard

Gas-tight syringe of 2.5 mL was sucked 10% of N₂O gas standard (100,000 ppm of N₂O). Gas-tight syringe filled 2.5 mL with the gas standard and the gas in the gas-tight syringe was released to 0.25 mL to 10-fold of gas-tight syringe volume. After that, filled and released the gas standard in gas-tight syringe 3 times then get 100 ppm of N₂O gas standard in the final.

