

การปรับปรุงการประเมินค่าความน่าจะเป็นของการซักตัวอย่างແຄາເຊີງຕິ່ງຈາກແບນສຸ່ມ

นายกฤษฎา สังขมงคล

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตร์มหาบัณฑิต

สาขาวิชาคณิตศาสตร์ ภาควิชาคณิตศาสตร์

คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

ปีการศึกษา 2552

ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

AN IMPROVEMENT OF PROBABILITY APPROXIMATION OF
RANDOMIZED ORTHOGONAL ARRAY SAMPLING

Mr. Kitsada Sungkamongkol

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science Program in Mathematics

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Chulalongkorn University

Academic Year 2009

Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title AN IMPROVEMENT OF PROBABILITY APPROXIMATION
OF RANDOMIZED ORTHOGONAL ARRAY SAMPLING

By Mr. Kitsada Sungkamongkol

Field of Study Mathematics

Thesis Advisor Professor Kritsana Neammanee, Ph.D.

Co-Advisor Kittipong Laipaporn, Ph.D.

Accepted by the Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University in Partial
Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree

S. Hannongbua Dean of the Faculty of Science
(Professor Supot Hannongbua, Dr.rer.nat.)

THESIS COMMITTEE

S. S. S. Chairman
(Assistant Professor Songkiat Sumetkijakan, Ph.D.)

K. Neammanee Advisor
(Professor Kritsana Neammanee, Ph.D.)

Kittipong Laipaporn Co-advisor
(Kittipong Laipaporn, Ph.D.)

Nattakarn Chaidee Examiner
(Nattakarn Chaidee, Ph.D.)

Kanit Teerapabolarn External Examiner
(Assistant Professor Kanit Teerapabolarn, Ph.D.)

กฤษฎา สังขมนคง : การปรับปรุงการประมาณค่าความน่าจะเป็นของการซักตัวอย่างแบบเชิงตั้ง
จากแบบสุ่ม(AN IMPROVEMENT OF PROBABILITY APPROXIMATION OF RANDOM-
IZED ORTHOGONAL ARRAY SAMPLING) อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก : ศ.ดร. กฤชจะ^๑
เนื่องนพี, อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์ร่วม : อ.ดร. กิตติพงษ์ ไหลภากรณ์, 29 หน้า.

ให้ X เป็นเวกเตอร์สุ่มที่มีการแจกแจงแบบสม่ำเสมอบน $[0,1]^3$ และกำหนดให้ f เป็นฟังก์ชัน
จาก \mathbb{R}^3 ไปยัง \mathbb{R} ซึ่งสามารถหาปริพันธ์ได้และนิยามให้

$$\mu = Ef(X) = \int_{[0,1]^3} f(x) dx$$

ตัวประมาณค่าอย่างง่ายตัวหนึ่งของ μ คือ

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)$$

โดยที่ X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n เป็นเวกเตอร์สุ่มที่เป็นอิสระต่อกันและมีการแจกแจงแบบสม่ำเสมอบน $[0,1]^3$
อย่างไรก็ตามมีวิธีในการสุ่มเลือก X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n อยู่หลายวิธี หนึ่งในนั้นคือ การสุ่มตัวอย่างแบบแอก
เชิงตั้งจากโดย ในปี ก.ศ. 1996 ลอร์ เป็นบุคคลแรกที่พิจารณาการประมาณค่าการแจกแจงของตัวแปรสุ่ม
 $W = \frac{\hat{\mu} - \mu}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{\mu})}}$ เมื่อ $Var(\hat{\mu}) > 0$ ด้วยการแจกแจงปกติและให้ขอบเขตแบบสม่ำเสมอ ในปี ก.ศ. 2008
เนื่องนพี และไอลภากรณ์ ได้ปรับปรุงอัตราการถูกเข้าของ ลอร์ ให้เป็น $O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ ด้วยสมมติฐานที่ว่า

ไม่มั่นคงที่ของ $f \circ X$ มีค่าจำกัด

ในวิทยานิพนธ์ฉบับนี้เราจะปรับปรุงผลลัพธ์ทั้งภายนอกให้ไม่มั่นคงที่สื่อของ $f \circ X$ มีค่าจำกัด ใน
ส่วนที่สองเราจะปรับปรุงอัตราความเสี่ยงแบบไม่สม่ำเสมอสำหรับการสุ่มตัวอย่างแบบแอกเชิงตั้งจาก
ซึ่งถูกให้โดย เนื่องนพี และไอลภากรณ์ ในปี ก.ศ. 2006

ภาควิชา.....คณิตศาสตร์.....	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต..... <u>ณัฐพงษ์</u>
สาขาวิชา.....คณิตศาสตร์.....	ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก..... <u>กฤชจะ</u>
ปีการศึกษา.....2552.....	ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์ร่วม..... <u>ไอลภากรณ์</u>

5072208523 : MAJOR MATHEMATICS

KEYWORDS : STEIN'S METHOD / ORTHOGONAL ARRAY

KITSADA SUNGKAMONGKOL :AN IMPROVEMENT OF PROBABILITY APPROXIMATION OF RANDOMIZED ORTHOGONAL ARRAY SAMPLING.
THESIS ADVISOR : PROF. KRITSANA NEAMMANEE, Ph.D., CO-ADVISOR:
KITTIPONG LAIPAPORN, Ph.D., 29 pp.

Let X be a random vector uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]^3$ and let f be an integrable function from \mathbb{R}^3 into \mathbb{R} and define

$$\mu = Ef(X) = \int_{[0,1]^3} f(x)dx.$$

A simple estimator of μ is

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)$$

where X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent random vectors and uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]^3$. However, there are many methods to choose the points X_i 's. One of those is the orthogonal array. In 1996, Loh was the first one who considered the normal approximation of $W = \frac{\hat{\mu} - \mu}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{\mu})}}$ where $Var(\hat{\mu}) > 0$ and gave a uniform bound. In 2008, Neammanee and Laipaporn improved the rate of convergence of Loh to be $O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ with the assumption that the sixth moment of $f \circ X$ is finite.

In this thesis we improve their results under the finiteness of the fourth moment of $f \circ X$. In the second part, we improve a non-uniform concentration inequality for a randomized orthogonal array which is given by Neammanee and Laipaporn in 2006.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร

Department ...Mathematics...
Field of study ...Mathematics...
Academic year2009.....

Student's signature.....*Kitsada*
Advisor's signature.....*Kriska Neammanee*
Co-advisor's signature.....*Kittipong Laipaporn*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am greatly indebted to Professor Dr. Kritsana Neammanee, my thesis advisor. Thanks are also due for generous comments provided by Dr. Kittipong Laipaporn, my thesis co-advisor who has helped improving my work. Furthermore, I am thankful for my thesis committee—Assistant Professor Dr. Songkiat Sumetkijakan, Dr. Nattakarn Chaidee, Assistant Professor Dr. Kanint Teerapabolarn for their suggestions to this thesis. I am also sincerely grateful to all of my teachers for my knowledge and skill, especially, Mr. Aisuriya Sudprasert, my undergraduate advisor.

Finally, I would like to thank my dear friends for giving me good experiences at Chulalongkorn university.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CONTENTS

	page
ABSTRACT IN THAI	iv
ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
CONTENTS	vii
CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION	1
II PRELIMINARIES	4
III AN IMPROVEMENT OF NORMAL APPROXIMATION OF RANDOMIZED ORTHOGONAL ARRAYS SAMPLING.....	11
IV AN IMPROVEMENT OF A NON-UNIFORM CONCENTRATION INEQUALITY FOR RANDOMIZED ORTHOGONAL ARRAY SAMPLING	17
REFERENCES	27
VITA	29

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

In many scientific fields, we are often confronted with the problem of estimating a value of integral over a high-dimensional domain. Among numerical integration techniques, Monte Carlo methods are especially useful and competitive for high-dimensional integration.

Let $f : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Our aim is to estimate

$$\mu = \int_{[0,1]^d} f(x)dx.$$

This is equivalent to finding the expectation of $f \circ X$ where X is a random vector uniformly distributed on a unit hypercube $[0, 1]^d$. The simple Monte Carlo method is to draw X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n independently and uniformly distributed from $[0, 1]^d$ and to use

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ X_i$$

as an estimator of μ . There are various alternative ways to select the point X_i 's. For examples, lattic sampling(see Patterson[18]), latin hypercube sampling(see, Owen[15]), the orthogonal array sampling(see, Loh[10], Owen[16], Tang[21]), scrambled net sampling(see, Owen[13] and [14]). In this work we investigate orthogonal array sampling.

Let d, n, q and t be positive integers with $t \leq d$ and $q \geq 2$. **An orthogonal array of strength t with index λ** ($\lambda \geq 1$) is an $n \times d$ matrix of n rows and d columns with elements taken from the set $\{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ such that in any $n \times t$ submatrix, each of the q^t possible rows occurs the same number of times of course $n = \lambda q^t$. The class of all such arrays is denoted by $OA(n, d, q, t)$ (see Raghavarao [19] for more details).

Loh(1996) considered the class $OA(n, 3, q, 2)$ when $n = q^2$ and constructed the sampling X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{q^2} on the unit cube $[0, 1]^3$ as follows: Let

- (a) π_1, π_2, π_3 be random permutations of $\{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$,
- (b) $U_{i_1, i_2, i_3, j}$ be $[0, 1]$ uniform random variables where $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$; and

(c) $U_{i_1, i_2, i_3, j}$'s and π_k 's be all stochastically independent.

An orthogonal array-based sample of size q^2 , $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{q^2}\}$, is defined to be

$$\{X(\pi_1(a_{i,1}), \pi_2(a_{i,2}), \pi_3(a_{i,3})) : 1 \leq i \leq q^2\},$$

where, for each $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$X(i_1, i_2, i_3) = (X_1(i_1, i_2, i_3), X_2(i_1, i_2, i_3), X_3(i_1, i_2, i_3)),$$

$$X_j(i_1, i_2, i_3) = \frac{i_j + U_{i_1, i_2, i_3, j}}{q},$$

and $a_{i,j}$ is the $(i, j)^{th}$ element of some arbitrary but fixed $A \in OA(q^2, 3, q, 2)$.

So the estimator $\hat{\mu}$ of μ can be expressed in the form of

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{i=1}^{q^2} f \circ X(\pi_1(a_{i,1}), \pi_2(a_{i,2}), \pi_3(a_{i,3})).$$

Assume that $Var(\hat{\mu}) > 0$, and define

$$W = \frac{\hat{\mu} - \mu}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{\mu})}}.$$

Note that

$$EW = 0 \text{ and } Var(W) = EW^2 = 1.$$

From now on, we let X be a uniform random vector on $[0, 1]^3$ and Φ the standard normal distribution. Loh(1996) gave a uniform bound on the normal approximation of W in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $E(f \circ X)^r < \infty$ for some even integer $r \geq 4$. Then

$$\sup \left\{ |P(W \leq w) - \Phi(w)| : -\infty < w < \infty \right\} = O(q^{\frac{2-r}{2r-2}}), \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Neammanee and Laipaporn([11]) improved the rate of convergence of Theorem 1.1 to be $O(\frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}})$ in Theorem 1.2 and they also investigated a non-uniform concentration inequality of W in Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $E(f \circ X)^6 < \infty$. Then

$$\sup \left\{ |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| : -\infty < z < \infty \right\} = O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 1.3. Assume that $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant C such that

$$P(z \leq W \leq z + \lambda) \leq \frac{C\lambda}{1+z} + \frac{1}{1+z} O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right), \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any nonnegative real numbers z, λ .

In this work, we relax the condition on the moment of $f \circ X$ in Theorem 1.2 to $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$ and improve the bound of Theorem 1.3. These are our main results.

Theorem 1.4. (A Uniform bound)

Suppose that $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$, then

$$\sup \left\{ |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| : -\infty < z < \infty \right\} = O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 1.5. (A Non-uniform concentration inequality)

Assume that $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant C such that

$$P(z \leq W \leq z + \lambda) \leq \frac{C\lambda}{(1+z)^3} + \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right), \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any nonnegative real numbers z, λ .

We organize our thesis as follows. In chapter 2 we give some basic concepts in probability theory, background of Stein's method and some useful properties of Stein's solution. In chapter 3 we give a uniform bound in normal approximation of randomized orthogonal array sampling. In chapter 4 we give a non-uniform concentration inequality for randomized orthogonal array sampling.

CHAPTER II

PRELIMINARIES

In this chapter, we give some basic concepts in probability which will be used in our work. The proof is omitted but can be found in [1, 17].

2.1 Probability Space and Random Variables

A **measurable space** (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a set Ω with a σ -algebra \mathcal{F} of subsets of Ω . A **probability measure** P is a measure on \mathcal{F} with $P(\Omega) = 1$. Then (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is called a **probability space**. The set Ω will be referred as a **sample space** and its elements are called **points** or **elementary events**. Member of \mathcal{F} are called **events**. For any event A , the value $P(A)$ is called the **probability of A** .

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space. A function $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a **random variable** if for every Borel set B in \mathbb{R} ,

$$X^{-1}(B) = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

A **random vector** $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)$ is a finite family of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k where $X_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and X_i is called **component** of the random vector. We shall usually use the notation $P(X \in B)$ in stead of $P(\{\omega \in \Omega | X(\omega) \in B\})$. In the case where $B = (-\infty, a]$ or $[a, b]$, $P(X \in B)$ is denoted by $P(X \leq a)$ or $P(a \leq X \leq b)$, respectively.

Let X be a random variable. A function $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is defined by

$$F(x) = P(X \leq x)$$

is called the **distribution function** of X .

Let X be a random variable with the distribution function F . X is said to be a **discrete random variable** if the image of X is countable and X is called a **continuous random variable** if F can be written in the form

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t)dt$$

for some nonnegative integrable function f on \mathbb{R} . In this case, we say that f is the **probability function** of X .

Now we will give some examples of random variables.

We say that X is a **normal** random variable with parameter μ and σ^2 , written as $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, if its probability function is defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right).$$

Moreover, if $X \sim N(0, 1)$ then X is said to be a **standard normal** random variable.

We say that a discrete random variable X is **uniform** with parameter n if there exist x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n such that $P(X = x_i) = \frac{1}{n}$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

If X is a continuous random variable with probability function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{if } a \leq x \leq b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we say that X is **uniform** on $[a, b]$.

We say that $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is a **continuous random vector** if and only if there are measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and **joint distribution function** F of X satisfying

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \int_{-\infty}^{x_2} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{x_n} f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n$$

for all $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we call the function, **joint probability function** of X .

2.2 Independence

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and \mathcal{F}_α are sub σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. We say that $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is **independent** if and only if for any subset $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\}$ of Λ ,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^k A_m\right) = \prod_{m=1}^k P(A_m)$$

where $A_m \in \mathcal{F}_{j_m}$ for $m = 1, \dots, k$.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. We say that $\{\mathcal{E}_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is **independent** if and only if $\{\sigma(\mathcal{E}_\alpha) | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is independent where $\sigma(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)$ is

the smallest σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{E}_α .

We say that the set of random variables $\{X_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is **independent** if $\{\sigma(X_\alpha) | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is independent, where $\sigma(X) = \{X^{-1}(B) | B \text{ is a Borel subset of } \mathbb{R}\}$. We say that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are **independent** if $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ is **independent**.

Theorem 2.1. *Random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are **independent** if and only if for any Borel sets B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n we have*

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{X_i \in B_i\}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \in B_i).$$

Proposition 2.2. *If $X_{ij}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, m_i$ are independent and $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{m_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable, then $\{f_i(X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots, X_{im_i}), i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is independent.*

2.3 Expectation, Variance and Conditional Expectation

Let X be any random variable on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

If $\int_{\Omega} |X| dP < \infty$, then we define its **expected value** to be

$$E(X) = \int_{\Omega} X dP.$$

Proposition 2.3.

1. If X is a discrete random variable and $\sum_{x \in \text{Im } X} |x| P(X = x) < \infty$, then

$$E(X) = \sum_{x \in \text{Im } X} x P(X = x),$$

2. If X is a continuous random variable with probability function f and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| f(x) dx < \infty$, then

$$E(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x f(x) dx.$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let X and Y be random variables such that $E(|X|) < \infty$ and $E(|Y|) < \infty$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have the followings:*

1. $E(aX + bY) = aE(X) + bE(Y)$,

2. If $X \leq Y$, then $E(X) \leq E(Y)$,

3. $|E(X)| \leq E(|X|)$.

Let X be a random variable which $E(|X|^k) < \infty$. Then $E(|X|^k)$ is called the **k -th moment** of X about the origin and $E[(X - E(X))^k]$ is called the **k -th moment** of X about the mean.

We call the second moment of X about the mean, the **variance** of X and denoted by $Var(X)$. Then

$$Var(X) = E[X - E(X)]^2.$$

We note that

1. $Var(X) = E(X^2) - E^2(X)$,
2. If $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ then $E(X) = \mu$ and $Var(X) = \sigma^2$.

Proposition 2.5. *If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and $E|X_i| < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then*

1. $E(X_1 X_2 \dots X_n) = E(X_1) E(X_2) \dots E(X_n)$,
2. $Var(a_1 X_1 + \dots + a_n X_n) = a_1^2 Var(X_1) + \dots + a_n^2 Var(X_n)$ for any real number a_1, \dots, a_n .

The following inequalities are useful in our work.

1. Hölder's inequality :

$$E(|XY|) \leq E^{\frac{1}{p}}(|X|^p) E^{\frac{1}{q}}(|Y|^q)$$

where $0 < p, q < 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $E(|X|^p) < \infty, E(|Y|^q) < \infty$.

2. Chebyshev's inequality :

$$P(\{|X - E(X)| \geq \varepsilon\}) \leq \frac{Var(X)}{\varepsilon^2} \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0$$

where $E(X^2) < \infty$.

Let X be a finite expected value random variable on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and \mathcal{D} a sub σ -algebra of \mathcal{F} . Define a probability measure $P_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$P_{\mathcal{D}}(E) = P(E)$$

and sign-measure $\mathcal{Q}_X : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}_X(E) = \int_E X dP \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Then, by definition of the integral implies \mathcal{Q}_X absolutely continuous with respect to $P_{\mathcal{D}}$ and there exists a unique measurable function $E^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D})$ such that

$$\int_E E^{\mathcal{D}}(X) dP_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{Q}_X(E) = \int_E X dP \quad \text{for any } E \in \mathcal{D}.$$

We will say that $E^{\mathcal{D}}(X)$ is the **conditional expectation** of X with respect to \mathcal{D} .

Moreover, for any random variables X and Y on the same probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that $E(|X|) < \infty$, we will denote $E^{\sigma(Y)}(X)$ by $E^Y(X)$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be a random variable on probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that $E(|X|) < \infty$, then the followings hold for any sub σ -algebra \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{F} .*

1. *If X is random variable on $(\Omega, \mathcal{D}, P_{\mathcal{D}})$, then $E^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = X$ a.s. $[P_{\mathcal{D}}]$,*
2. *$E^{\mathcal{F}}(X) = X$ a.s. $[P]$,*
3. *If $\sigma(X)$ and \mathcal{D} are independent, then $E^{\mathcal{D}}(X) = E(X)$ a.s. $[P_{\mathcal{D}}]$.*

Theorem 2.7. *Let X and Y be random variables on the same probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that $E(|X|)$ and $E(|Y|)$ are finite. Then for any sub σ -algebra \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{F} the followings hold.*

1. *If $X \leq Y$, then $E^{\mathcal{D}}(X) \leq E^{\mathcal{D}}(Y)$ a.s. $[P_{\mathcal{D}}]$,*
2. *$E^{\mathcal{D}}(aX + bY) = aE^{\mathcal{D}}(X) + bE^{\mathcal{D}}(Y)$ a.s. $[P_{\mathcal{D}}]$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Theorem 2.8. *Let X and Y be random variables on the same probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that $E(|XY|)$ and $E(|Y|)$ are finite and $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2$ any sub σ -algebra of \mathcal{F} . If X is a random variable with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 , then*

1. *$E^{\mathcal{D}_1}(XY) = XE^{\mathcal{D}_1}(Y)$ a.s. $[P_{\mathcal{D}_1}]$,*
2. *$E^{\mathcal{D}_2}(XY) = E^{\mathcal{D}_2}(XE^{\mathcal{D}_1}(Y))$ a.s. $[P_{\mathcal{D}_2}]$.*

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and \mathcal{D} a sub σ -algebra of \mathcal{F} . For any event A on \mathcal{F} , we defined the **conditional probability of A given \mathcal{D}** by

$$P(A|\mathcal{D}) = E^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{I}_A)$$

where \mathbb{I}_A is defined by

$$\mathbb{I}_A(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } w \notin A. \end{cases}$$

2.4 Stein's Method for Normal Approximation

The Stein's method for obtaining an explicit bound for the error in the normal approximation for dependent random variables was investigated in 1972. Stein's technique is free of Fourier methods and relied instead on the elementary differential equation. Stein's method has been applied with much success in the area of normal approximation. This method was adapted and applied to the Poisson approximation by Chen(1974, 1975). There are at least three approaches to use Stein's method when the limit distribution is normal, i.e., a concentration inequality approach, an inductive approach and a coupling approach.

In this section we give basic results on the Stein's equation and its solution.

Let Z be a standard normal distributed random variable and let \mathcal{C}_{bd} be the set of continuous and piecewise continuously differentiable functions $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $E|g'(Z)| < \infty$.

For $g \in \mathcal{C}_{bd}$ and any real valued function s with $E|s(Z)| < \infty$, the equation

$$g'(w) - wg(w) = s(w) - Es(Z) \quad (2.1)$$

is called **Stein's equation**.

If $s(w) = \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty, z]}(w)$, then the Stein's equation becomes

$$g'(w) - wg(w) = \mathbb{I}_{(-\infty, z]}(w) - \Phi(z). \quad (2.2)$$

Hence

$$E(g'(W) - Wg(W)) = P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z) \quad (2.3)$$

for any random variable W and the solution g_z of Stein's equation (2.2) is given by

$$g_z(w) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{w^2}{2}} \Phi(w)[1 - \Phi(z)] & \text{if } w \leq z \\ \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{w^2}{2}} \Phi(z)[1 - \Phi(w)] & \text{if } w \geq z. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

The following properties of g_z are used in this work.

Proposition 2.9. ([1], [20]) For all real numbers w, u, v, z , we have

1. $|g'_z(w)| \leq 1$,
2. $0 < g_z(w) \leq \min\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4}, \frac{1}{|z|}\right)$,
3. $g'_z(w+u) - g'_z(w+v) \leq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w+u \leq z, w+v > z \\ \left(|w| + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4}\right) (|u| + |v|) & \text{if } u \geq v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

CHAPTER III

AN IMPROVEMENT OF NORMAL APPROXIMATION OF RANDOMIZED ORTHOGONAL ARRAYS SAMPLING

In this chapter, we use the same notations as in the previous chapters. Loh([10]) used a random function $\rho_\pi : \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ defined by

$$(i_1, i_2, \rho_\pi(i_1, i_2)) = (\pi_1(a_{i,1}), \pi_2(a_{i,2}), \pi_3(a_{i,3}))$$

for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q^2\}$ and showed that W in chapter 1 can be rewritten as the sum

$$W = \sum_{i_1=0}^{q-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{q-1} Y(i_1, i_2, \rho_\pi(i_1, i_2))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y(i_1, i_2, i_3) &= \frac{1}{q^2 \sqrt{Var(\hat{\mu})}} \left[f \circ X(i_1, i_2, i_3) - \mu - \sum_{j=1}^3 \mu_j(i_j) - \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq 3} \mu_{k,l}(i_k, i_l) \right], \\ \mu_j(i_j) &= \frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{\substack{i_k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^{q-1} [\mu(i_1, i_2, i_3) - \mu], \\ \mu_{k,l}(i_k, i_l) &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{i_j=0 \\ j \neq k, l}}^{q-1} [\mu(i_1, i_2, i_3) - \mu - \mu_k(i_k) - \mu_l(i_l)], \\ \mu(i_1, i_2, i_3) &= Ef \circ X(i_1, i_2, i_3). \end{aligned}$$

He also gave a uniform bound of orthogonal array sampling designs in Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $E(f \circ X)^r < \infty$ for some even integer $r \geq 4$. Then

$$\sup \left\{ |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| : -\infty < z < \infty \right\} = O(q^{\frac{2-r}{2r-2}}), \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Neammanee and Laipaporn ([11]) improved the rate of convergence of Theorem 3.1 to be $O(\frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}})$ in Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $E(f \circ X)^6 < \infty$. Then

$$\sup \{ |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| : -\infty < z < \infty \} = O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this chapter, we relax the condition on the moment of $f \circ X$ from $E(f \circ X)^6 < \infty$ to $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$ as in Theorem 3.3. Here is our main result.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$. Then

$$\sup \{ |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| : -\infty < z < \infty \} = O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

To prove Theorem 3.3, we need the following lemmas and some notations. For each i, j and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$, we let I and K be uniformly distributed random variables on $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$, (I, K) uniformly distributed on $\{(i, k) | i, k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1, i \neq k\}$. Let

$$\widetilde{W} = W - S_1 - S_2 + S_3 + S_4$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y(I, j, \rho_\pi(I, j)), & S_2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y(K, j, \rho_\pi(K, j)), \\ S_3 &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y(I, j, \rho_\pi(K, j)), & S_4 &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} Y(K, j, \rho_\pi(I, j)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that (W, \widetilde{W}) is an exchangeable pair, i.e., for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$P(W \leq a, \widetilde{W} \leq b) = P(W \leq b, \widetilde{W} \leq a).$$

Lemma 3.4. ([11]) Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and piecewise continuously differentiable function. Then

$$EWg(W) = E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g'(W+t)M(t)dt - \Delta g(W) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$|\Delta g(W)| \leq \frac{1}{q-1} [Eg^2(W)]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$M(t) = \frac{q}{4}(\widetilde{W} - W) \left\{ \mathbb{I}(0 \leq t \leq \widetilde{W} - W) - \mathbb{I}(\widetilde{W} - W \leq t \leq 0) \right\},$$

$$\Delta g(W) = \frac{1}{q-1} Eg(W) \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} EY(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))$$

and \mathbb{I} is the indicator function.

Lemma 3.5. ([8]) If $E(f \circ X)^r < \infty$ for some positive even integer r , then

$$E(\widetilde{W} - W)^r \leq O(q^{-\frac{r}{2}}), \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 3.6. ([10]) If $E(f \circ X)^r < \infty$ for some positive even integer r , then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} EY^r(i, j, k) = O(q^{3-r}), \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 3.7. If $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$, Then $EW^4 = O(1)$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.4, if we choose $g(w) = w^3$ then we have

$$EW^4 = 3E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (W+t)^2 M(t) dt - \Delta W^3$$

$$= \frac{3q}{2} EW(\widetilde{W} - W)^3 + \frac{q}{4} E(\widetilde{W} - W)^4 + \frac{3q}{4} EW^2(\widetilde{W} - W)^2 - \Delta W^3 \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{and } \Delta W^3 = \frac{1}{q-1} EW^3 \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} EY(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)).$$

For convenience, we will use the notation $\bar{Y}(i, j)$ for $Y(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))$ and by Lemma 3.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_i \sum_j EY(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) \right)^4 \\ & \leq \sum_{i_1, j_1} \sum_{i_2, j_2} \sum_{i_3, j_3} \sum_{i_4, j_4} E|\bar{Y}(i_1, j_1)| E|\bar{Y}(i_2, j_2)| E|\bar{Y}(i_3, j_3)| E|\bar{Y}(i_4, j_4)| \\ & \leq \frac{q^6}{4} \left(\sum_{i_1, j_1} E|\bar{Y}(i_1, j_1)|^4 + \sum_{i_2, j_2} E|\bar{Y}(i_2, j_2)|^4 + \sum_{i_3, j_3} E|\bar{Y}(i_3, j_3)|^4 + \sum_{i_4, j_4} E|\bar{Y}(i_4, j_4)|^4 \right) \\ & = q^6 \sum_{i, j} E|Y(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))|^4 \\ & = q^5 \sum_{i, j, k} E|Y(i, j, k)|^4 \\ & = O(q^4) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the weighted A.M.-G.M. inequality,

$$x_1^{w_1} x_2^{w_2} \dots x_n^{w_n} \leq w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + \dots + w_n x_n$$

where $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n > 0$, $w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n = 1$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 0$, in the second inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta W^3| &\leq E\left(\frac{1}{3}W^4\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{q^4}O(1) \left| \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} EY(i,j, \rho_\pi(i,j)) \right|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}EW^4 + \frac{1}{q^4}O(1)E \left| \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} EY(i,j, \rho_\pi(i,j)) \right|^4 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}EW^4 + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Together with inequality (3.3) and Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} E|W||\widetilde{W} - W|^3 &= E\left(\frac{1}{q}|W|^4\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}(q^{\frac{1}{3}}|\widetilde{W} - W|^4)^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4q}E|W|^4 + \frac{3}{4}q^{\frac{1}{3}}E|\widetilde{W} - W|^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{4q}E|W|^4 + O\left(\frac{1}{q^{\frac{5}{3}}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} EW^2(\widetilde{W} - W)^2 &= E\left(\frac{1}{2q}W^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(2q|\widetilde{W} - W|^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4q}EW^4 + O\left(\frac{1}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$EW^4 \leq \frac{9}{16}EW^4 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) + O(1).$$

Hence $EW^4 = O(1)$ and the proof is complete. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3

Proof. We will prove our main result by using Stein's method. Let z be any real number. We replace a function g in equation(2.3) with the function g_z from(2.4) which implies that

$$P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z) = Eg'_z(W) - EWg_z(W).$$

Thus, it suffices to bound

$$|Eg'_z(W) - EWg_z(W)|$$

instead of

$$|P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)|.$$

By (3.1) we have,

$$EWg_z(W) = E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g'_z(W+t) M(t) dt - \Delta g_z(W) \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta g_z(W)| &\leq \frac{1}{q-1} \{ Eg_z^2(W) \}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where we have used Proposition 2.9(2) in the last equality.

Thus, from (3.4), (3.5) and Proposition 2.9(1),

$$\begin{aligned} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| &= |Eg'_z(W) - EWg_z(W)| \\ &\leq \left| E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{g'_z(W) - g'_z(W+t)\} M(t) dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| Eg'_z(W) E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(t) dt - Eg'_z(W) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(t) dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| Eg'_z(W) \right| \left| 1 - E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(t) dt \right| + |\Delta g_z(W)| \\ &\leq \left| E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{g'_z(W) - g'_z(W+t)\} M(t) dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| Eg'_z(W) E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(t) dt - Eg'_z(W) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(t) dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| 1 - E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(t) dt \right| + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From [10], p.1217 and p.1221, we know that

$$\left| 1 - E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(t) dt \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right), \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\left| Eg'_z(W) E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(t) dt - Eg'_z(W) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(t) dt \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right). \quad (3.8)$$

Hence, by (3.6)-(3.8)

$$|P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) + \left|E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{g'_z(W) - g'_z(W+t)\} M(t) dt\right|. \quad (3.9)$$

From Lemma 3.5, we note that

$$E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|M(t) dt = \frac{q}{8} E|\widetilde{W} - W|^3 \leq \frac{q}{8} \{E|\widetilde{W} - W|^4\}^{\frac{3}{4}} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right). \quad (3.10)$$

From [8] p.59, we know that

$$E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}(z-t \leq W \leq z) M(t) dt \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) \quad (3.11)$$

then, by Proposition 2.9(3), Lemma 3.7, (3.10) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|E \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{g'_z(W) - g'_z(W+t)\} M(t) dt\right| \\ & \leq E \int_{\substack{W \leq z \\ W+t > z}} M(t) dt + E \int_{t \leq 0} (|W| + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4})(0+|t|) M(t) dt \\ & \leq E \int_{t>0} \mathbb{I}(z-t \leq W \leq z) M(t) dt + E \int_{t \leq 0} |W||t|M(t) dt + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{4} E \int_{t \leq 0} |t|M(t) dt \\ & \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) + \frac{q}{4} E|W| \frac{|\widetilde{W} - W|^3}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) \\ & = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) + \frac{q}{8} (EW^4)^{\frac{1}{4}} (E|\widetilde{W} - W|^4)^{\frac{3}{4}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) \\ & \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right) + \frac{q}{8} O\left(\frac{1}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \\ & = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Hence, by (3.9) and (3.12), we have

$$|P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right).$$

□

CHAPTER IV

AN IMPROVEMENT OF A NON-UNIFORM CONCENTRATION INEQUALITY FOR RANDOMIZED ORTHOGONAL ARRAY SAMPLING

In this chapter we give a non-uniform concentration inequality of W defined in chapter 3 and write C instead of a positive value with possibly different values in different places. First of all we give the definitions of uniform and non-uniform concentration inequalities as follows:

Let X be a random variable. The function $Q_X : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which defined by

$$Q_X(\lambda) = \sup_x P(x \leq X \leq x + \lambda)$$

is called a **uniform (Lévy) concentration function** of X and the function $Q_X : \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which defined by

$$Q_X(x; \lambda) = P(x \leq X \leq x + \lambda)$$

is called a **non-uniform (Lévy) concentration function** of X .

The upper bounds of uniform and non-uniform concentration functions are called **uniform and non-uniform concentration inequalities** respectively.

Neammanee and Laipaporn([9]) gave a non-uniform concentration inequality for W in Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant C , such that*

$$P(z \leq W \leq z + \lambda) \leq \frac{C\lambda}{1+z} + \frac{1}{1+z} O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right), \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any nonnegative real numbers z, λ .

In this chapter, we improve the bound in Theorem 4.1. Here is our main result.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$P(z \leq W \leq z + \lambda) \leq \frac{C\lambda}{(1+z)^3} + \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right), \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any nonnegative real numbers z, λ .

To prove Theorem 4.2, we need the following lemmas and some notations. For each i, j and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$, and $z > 0$, we let I and K be uniformly distributed random variables on $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$, (I, K) uniformly distributed on $\{(i, k) | i, k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1, i \neq k\}$. Let

$$Y_z(i, j, k) = Y(i, j, k)\mathbb{I}(|Y(i, j, k)| > 1 + z),$$

$$\widehat{Y}_z(i, j, k) = Y(i, j, k)\mathbb{I}(|Y(i, j, k)| \leq 1 + z),$$

$$\widehat{Y} = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))$$

and

$$\widetilde{Y} = \widehat{Y} - \widehat{S}_{1,z} - \widehat{S}_{2,z} + \widehat{S}_{3,z} + \widehat{S}_{4,z}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}_{1,z} &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(I, j, \rho_\pi(I, j)), & \widehat{S}_{2,z} &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(K, j, \rho_\pi(K, j)), \\ \widehat{S}_{3,z} &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(I, j, \rho_\pi(K, j)), & \widehat{S}_{4,z} &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(K, j, \rho_\pi(I, j)). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. ([9]) Assume that $E(f \circ X)^r < \infty$ for some positive even integer r . Then

1. $E|\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y}|^r \leq O(\frac{1}{q^{\frac{r}{2}}})$, as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

2. For any positive integer n and t such that $n + t$ is an even number and

$$n + t \leq r,$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} E|Y_z^n(i, j, k)| = \frac{O(q^{3-n-t})}{(1+z)^t}, \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 4.4. ([8]) Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and piecewise continuously differentiable function, then

$$E\widehat{Y}g(\widehat{Y}) = E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g'(\widehat{Y} + t)K(t)dt + \tilde{\Delta}g(\widehat{Y}) \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$K(t) = \frac{q-1}{4} (\widetilde{Y} - Y) (\mathbb{I}(0 \leq t \leq \widetilde{Y} - Y) - \mathbb{I}(\widetilde{Y} - Y \leq t < 0))$$

and

$$\tilde{\Delta}g(\widehat{Y}) = \frac{1}{q} Eg(\widehat{Y}) \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, k).$$

Lemma 4.5. ([8]) If $E(f \circ X)^2 < \infty$, then $E(\hat{Y} - \tilde{Y})^2 = \frac{4}{q} + O(\frac{1}{q^2})$, as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 4.6. ([7]) If $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$, then

1. $E \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} Y(i, j, k) \right)^4 \leq O(q^2)$, as $q \rightarrow \infty$.
2. $E \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} Y_z(i, j, k) \right)^4 \leq \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O(\frac{1}{q^2})$, as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 4.7. Assume that $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$. Let $\gamma = \max \left(\frac{q(q-1)}{4(q-4)} E|\hat{Y} - \tilde{Y}|^3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \right)$ and

$$U_\gamma = \sum_{i \neq k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \left\{ \hat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) + \hat{Y}_z(k, j, \rho_\pi(k, j)) - \hat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(k, j)) - \hat{Y}_z(k, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) \right\} \right| \\ \times \min \left(\gamma, \sum_{i \neq k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \left\{ \hat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) + \hat{Y}_z(k, j, \rho_\pi(k, j)) - \hat{Y}_z(i, j, \rho_\pi(k, j)) - \hat{Y}_z(k, j, \rho_\pi(i, j)) \right\} \right| \right).$$

Then

1. $EU_\gamma \geq 3q + O(1)$, as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

2. $Var(U_\gamma) \leq \gamma^2 O(q^2)$, as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. (1.) By the fact that $\min(a, b) \geq b - \frac{b^2}{4a}$ for any $a, b > 0$,

$$E(U_\gamma) = q(q-1)E(\hat{Y} - \tilde{Y})\min(\gamma, |\hat{Y} - \tilde{Y}|) \\ \geq q(q-1)E(\hat{Y} - \tilde{Y})^2 - \frac{q(q-1)}{4\gamma}E|\hat{Y} - \tilde{Y}|^3 \\ \geq q(q-1)E(\hat{Y} - \tilde{Y})^2 - \frac{q(q-1)}{4 \left(\frac{q(q-1)}{4(q-4)} E|\hat{Y} - \tilde{Y}|^3 \right)} E|\hat{Y} - \tilde{Y}|^3.$$

By Lemma 4.5 we have

$$E(U_\gamma) \geq q(q-1) \left(\frac{4}{q} + O(\frac{1}{q^2}) \right) - (q-4) \\ = 3q + O(1).$$

(2.) For each $i, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ and random permutations β, α on $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ we let

$$s_\gamma[(i, k), (\beta, \alpha)] = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \{\widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \beta(j)) + \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \alpha(j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \alpha(j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \beta(j))\} \right|$$

$$\times \min \left(\gamma, \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \{\widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \beta(j)) + \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \alpha(j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(i, j, \alpha(j)) - \widehat{Y}_z(k, j, \beta(j))\} \right| \right)$$

$$\hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] = s[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] - Es[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(i, \cdot), \rho_\pi(k, \cdot))]$$

$$T_\gamma = \sum_{i \neq k} \hat{s}[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(i, \cdot), \rho_\pi(k, \cdot))]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_\gamma &= T_\gamma - \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{I}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, \cdot))] \\ &\quad - \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{L}, \bar{M}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{L}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{M}, \cdot))] \\ &\quad + \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{I}, \bar{K}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{L}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{M}, \cdot))] \\ &\quad + \hat{s}_\gamma[(\bar{L}, \bar{M}), (\rho_\pi(\bar{I}, \cdot), \rho_\pi(\bar{K}, \cdot))]. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $Var(U_\gamma) = ET_\gamma^2$.

By equations (2.24),(2.25) and (2.26) p.26 and p.27 of [9] we have

$$ET_\gamma^2 = \frac{q(q-1)}{4} E(\tilde{T}_\gamma - T_\gamma)^2 + \frac{1}{q(q-1)} \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E\hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] T_\gamma \quad (4.2)$$

$$E(\tilde{T}_\gamma - T_\gamma)^2 \leq \left\{ \gamma^2 O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) + \frac{\gamma^2}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) \right\} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{l \neq m} E\hat{s}_\gamma[(i, k), (\rho_\pi(l, \cdot), \rho_\pi(m, \cdot))] T_\gamma \leq \gamma^2 O(q^4). \quad (4.4)$$

Then by (4.2),(4.3) and (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} Var(U_\gamma) &= ET_\gamma^2 \\ &\leq \frac{q(q-1)}{4} \left\{ \gamma^2 O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) + \frac{\gamma^2}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) \right\} + \frac{1}{q(q-1)} \{\gamma^2 O(q^4)\} \\ &\leq \gamma^2 O(q^2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.8. If $E(f \circ X)^4 < \infty$, then $E\hat{Y}^4 = O(1)$.

Proof. First we note that

$$\begin{aligned} E\hat{Y}^4 &= E \left(\sum_{i,j} \hat{Y}_z(i,j, \rho_\pi(i,j)) \right)^4 \\ &= E \left(\sum_{i,j} Y(i,j, \rho_\pi(i,j)) - \sum_{i,j} Y_z(i,j, \rho_\pi(i,j)) \right)^4 \\ &\leq CEW^4 + CE \left(\sum_{i,j} Y_z(i,j, \rho_\pi(i,j)) \right)^4. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.7, we proved that $EW^4 = O(1)$, hence it remains to bound $E \left(\sum_{i,j} Y_z(i,j, \rho_\pi(i,j)) \right)^4$.

Note that $E \left(\sum_{i,j} Y_z(i,j, \rho_\pi(i,j)) \right)^4 = B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4 + B_5$

where

$$B_1 = \sum_{i,j} EY_z^4(i,j, \rho_\pi(i,j)),$$

$$B_2 = \sum_{i_1, j_1} \sum_{\substack{i_2, j_2 \\ (i_2, j_2) \neq (i_1, j_1)}} EY_z^3(i_1, j_1, \rho_\pi(i_1, j_1)) Y_z(i_2, j_2, \rho_\pi(i_2, j_2)),$$

$$B_3 = \sum_{i_1, j_1} \sum_{\substack{i_2, j_2 \\ (i_2, j_2) \neq (i_1, j_1)}} EY_z^2(i_1, j_1, \rho_\pi(i_1, j_1)) Y_z^2(i_2, j_2, \rho_\pi(i_2, j_2)),$$

$$B_4 = \sum_{i_1, j_1} \sum_{\substack{i_2, j_2 \\ (i_2, j_2) \neq (i_1, j_1)}} \sum_{\substack{i_3, j_3 \\ (i_3, j_3) \neq (i_1, j_1) \\ (i_3, j_3) \neq (i_2, j_2)}} EY_z^2(i_1, j_1, \rho_\pi(i_1, j_1)) Y_z(i_2, j_2, \rho_\pi(i_2, j_2)) Y_z(i_3, j_3, \rho_\pi(i_3, j_3)),$$

$$B_5 = \sum_{i_1, j_1} \sum_{\substack{i_2, j_2 \\ (i_2, j_2) \neq (i_1, j_1)}} \sum_{\substack{i_3, j_3 \\ (i_3, j_3) \neq (i_1, j_1) \\ (i_3, j_3) \neq (i_2, j_2)}} \sum_{\substack{i_4, j_4 \\ (i_4, j_4) \neq (i_1, j_1) \\ (i_4, j_4) \neq (i_2, j_2) \\ (i_4, j_4) \neq (i_3, j_3)}} EY_z(i_1, j_1, \rho_\pi(i_1, j_1)) Y_z(i_2, j_2, \rho_\pi(i_2, j_2)) Y_z(i_3, j_3, \rho_\pi(i_3, j_3)) Y_z(i_4, j_4, \rho_\pi(i_4, j_4)).$$

By Lemma 4.3(2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |B_1| &\leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i,j,k} E|Y_z^4(i,j,k)| = \frac{1}{q} O(q^{3-4}) = O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right), \\
 |B_2| &\leq \frac{1}{q^2} \left[\sum_{i_1,j_1,k_1} E|Y_z^3(i_1,j_1,k_1)| \right] \left[\sum_{i_2,j_2,k_2} E|Y_z(i_2,j_2,k_2)| \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{q^2(1+z)} O(q^{3-3-1}) \left(\frac{1}{1+z} \right) O(q^{3-1-1}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right), \\
 |B_3| &\leq \frac{1}{q^2} \left[\sum_{i,j,k} E|Y_z^2(i,j,k)| \right]^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{q^2} \left(\frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O(q^{3-2-2}) \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1+z)^4} O\left(\frac{1}{q^4}\right), \\
 |B_4| &\leq \frac{1}{q^3} \left[\sum_{i_1,j_1,k_1} E|Y_z^2(i_1,j_1,k_1)| \right] \left[\sum_{i_2,j_2,k_2} E|Y_z(i_2,j_2,k_2)| \right]^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{q^3(1+z)^2} O(q^{3-2-2}) \left(\frac{1}{1+z} O(q^{3-1-1}) \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1+z)^4} O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) \\
 \text{and } |B_5| &\leq \frac{1}{q^4} \left[\sum_{i,j,k} E|Y_z(i,j,k)| \right]^4 \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{q^4} \right) \left(\left(\frac{1}{(1+z)} \right) O(q^{3-1-1}) \right)^4 \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1+z)^4} O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $E \left(\sum_{i,j} Y_z(i,j, \rho_\pi(i,j)) \right)^4 < C$. Therefore $E \hat{Y}^4 = O(1)$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.2

Proof. Note that $P(z \leq W \leq z + \lambda) \leq P(W \neq \hat{Y}) + P(z \leq \hat{Y} \leq z + \lambda)$

and by Lemma 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(W \neq \widehat{Y}) &= P\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \mathbb{I}(|Y(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))| > 1+z) \geq 1\right) \\
&\leq E\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \mathbb{I}(|Y(i, j, \rho_\pi(i, j))| > 1+z)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} E \mathbb{I}(|Y(i, j, k)| > 1+z) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \frac{E|Y(i, j, k)|^4}{(1+z)^4} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{(1+z)^4} O(q^{3-4}) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1+z)^4} O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

If we can show that $P(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq z + \lambda) \leq \frac{C\lambda}{(1+z)^3} + \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right)$. Then we have Theorem 4.2.

Let γ be defined as in Lemma 4.7.

Case 1 $(1+z)^2\gamma \geq 1$.

By Lemma 4.8 and the fact that $\gamma \geq \frac{1}{(1+z)^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq z + \lambda) &\leq P(z \leq \widehat{Y}) \\
&= P(1+z \leq 1+\widehat{Y}) \\
&\leq \frac{E|\widehat{Y}+1|^4}{(1+z)^4} \\
&\leq C \frac{E|\widehat{Y}|^4}{(1+z)^4} + \frac{C}{(1+z)^4} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{(1+z)^4} \\
&\leq \frac{C\gamma}{(1+z)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 4.3(1), $E|\widetilde{Y} - \widehat{Y}|^3 \leq O\left(\frac{1}{q\sqrt{q}}\right)$. Then $\gamma = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right)$.

Hence $P(z \leq W \leq z + \lambda) \leq \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\right)$.

Case 2 $(1+z)^2\gamma < 1$.

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < z - \gamma, \\ (1+t+\gamma)^3(t-z+\gamma) & \text{if } z-\gamma \leq t \leq z+\lambda+\gamma, \\ (1+t+\gamma)^3(\lambda+2\gamma) & \text{if } t > z+\lambda+\gamma. \end{cases}$$

Then f is a non decreasing function satisfying

$$f'(t) \geq \begin{cases} (1+z)^3 & \text{for } z-\gamma < t < z+\lambda+\gamma, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since f is a continuous and piecewise continuously differentiable function, by Lemma 4.4 we have

$$E\widehat{Y}f(\widehat{Y}) = E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\widehat{Y}+t)K(t)dt + \tilde{\Delta}f(\widehat{Y}).$$

Let U_{γ} be defined as in Lemma 4.7, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} E\widehat{Y}f(\widehat{Y}) - \tilde{\Delta}f(\widehat{Y}) &= E \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\widehat{Y}+t)K(t)dt \\ &\geq (1+z)^3 E \mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq z+\lambda) \int_{|t| \leq \gamma} K(t)dt \\ &= \frac{(q-1)(1+z)^3}{4} E \mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq z+\lambda) |\widehat{Y} - \widetilde{Y}| \min(\gamma, |\widehat{Y} - \widetilde{Y}|) \\ &= \frac{(q-1)(1+z)^3}{4q(q-1)} E \mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq z+\lambda) U_{\gamma} \\ &\geq \frac{(1+z)^3}{4q} E \mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq z+\lambda) U_{\gamma} \mathbb{I}(U_{\gamma} \geq q) \\ &\geq \frac{(1+z)^3}{4} E \mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq z+\lambda) \mathbb{I}(U_{\gamma} \geq q) \\ &= \frac{(1+z)^3}{4} E \{ \mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq z+\lambda) - \mathbb{I}(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq z+\lambda, U_{\gamma} \leq q) \} \\ &\geq \frac{(1+z)^3}{4} \{ P(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq z+\lambda) - P(U_{\gamma} \leq q) \}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

By this fact, (4.5), Lemma 4.7(1,2) and Lemma 4.8,

$$\begin{aligned} P(z \leq \widehat{Y} \leq w) &\leq \frac{4}{(1+z)^3} E\widehat{Y}f(\widehat{Y}) - \frac{4}{(1+z)^3} \tilde{\Delta}f(\widehat{Y}) + P(U_{\gamma} \leq q) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{(1+z)^3} (\lambda + 2\gamma) E|\widehat{Y}| |1 + \gamma + \widehat{Y}|^3 \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{(1+z)^3} |\tilde{\Delta}f(\widehat{Y})| + P(EU_{\gamma} - U_{\gamma} \geq 3q + O(1) - q) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{C}{(1+z)^3}(\lambda+2\gamma)E|\hat{Y}||(1+\gamma)^3 + \hat{Y}^3| \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{(1+z)^3}|\tilde{\Delta}f(\hat{Y})| + P(EU_\gamma - U_\gamma \geq q) \\
&\leq \frac{C}{(1+z)^3}(\lambda+2\gamma)\left\{E|\hat{Y}| + E|\hat{Y}|^4\right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{(1+z)^3}|\tilde{\Delta}f(\hat{Y})| + \frac{1}{q^2}Var(U_\gamma) \\
&\leq \frac{C\lambda}{(1+z)^3} + \frac{C\gamma}{(1+z)^3} + \frac{4}{(1+z)^3}|\tilde{\Delta}f(\hat{Y})| + \frac{1}{q^2}\gamma^2O(q^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

By (4.6), $(1+z)^2\gamma < 1$ and the fact that $\gamma = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}})$,

$$P(z \leq \hat{Y} \leq z + \lambda) \leq \frac{C\lambda}{(1+z)^3} + \frac{4}{(1+z)^3}|\tilde{\Delta}f(\hat{Y})| + \frac{1}{(1+z)^2}O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}). \tag{4.7}$$

From, Lemma 4.6(1,2), Lemma 4.8 and the fact that $\gamma = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{\Delta}f(\hat{Y})| &= \left|\frac{1}{q}Ef(\hat{Y})\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\hat{Y}_z(i,j,k)\right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{q}(\lambda+2\gamma)E|(1+\gamma+\hat{Y})^3\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\hat{Y}_z(i,j,k)| \\
&\leq \frac{C}{q}(\lambda+2\gamma)E|(1+\gamma)^3\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\hat{Y}_z(i,j,k)| \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{q}(\lambda+2\gamma)E|\hat{Y}^3\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\hat{Y}_z(i,j,k)| \\
&\leq C(\lambda+2\gamma)(1+\gamma)^3\frac{1}{q}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}E\left|\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\hat{Y}_z(i,j,k)\right| \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{q}(\lambda+2\gamma)E\left|\hat{Y}^3\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}Y(i,j,k) - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}Y_z(i,j,k)\right)\right| \\
&\leq C(\lambda+2\gamma)(1+\gamma)^3E\left|\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\hat{Y}_z(i,j,\rho_\pi(i,j))\right| \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{q}(\lambda+2\gamma)E\left|\hat{Y}^3\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}Y(i,j,k)\right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{C}{q}(\lambda + 2\gamma)E|\hat{Y}^3 \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} Y(i, j, k)| \\
& \leq C(\lambda + 2\gamma)(1 + \gamma)^3 E|\hat{Y}| \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{q}(\lambda + 2\gamma)\{E\hat{Y}^4\}^{\frac{3}{4}}\{E(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} Y(i, j, k))^4\}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{q}(\lambda + 2\gamma)\{E\hat{Y}^4\}^{\frac{3}{4}}\{E(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} Y_z(i, j, k))^4\}^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \leq C(\lambda + 2\gamma)(1 + \gamma)^3 + \frac{C}{q}(\lambda + 2\gamma)O(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{q}(\lambda + 2\gamma)\frac{1}{(1+z)^{\frac{1}{2}}}O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\
& \leq C(\lambda + 2\gamma)\left(1 + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}})\right) \\
& \leq C\lambda + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}).
\end{aligned}$$

From this fact and (4.7), we can conclude that

$$P(z \leq \hat{Y} \leq z + \lambda) \leq \frac{C\lambda}{(1+z)^3} + \frac{1}{(1+z)^2}O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}).$$

□



REFERENCES

- [1] Barbour, A.D. and Chen, L.H.Y.: *an introduction to Stein's method.* Singapore University Press, Singapore, 2005.
- [2] Chen, L.H.Y. and Shao, Q.M.: a non-uniform Berry esseen bound via Stein's method. *Probab. Theory Relat. Field.* **120**, 236-254 (2001).
- [3] Davis, P.J. and Rabinowitz, P.: *methods of numerical integration*, 2nd ed. Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1984.
- [4] Evans, M. and Swartz, T.: *approximating integrals via Monte-Carlo and deterministic methods*, Oxford Univ, Press, 2000.
- [5] Ho, S.T. and Chen, L.H.Y.: an L_p bound for the remainder in a combinatorial central limit theorem. *Ann. Probab.* **6**, 231-249 (1978).
- [6] Hall, M.Jr.: *combinatorial theory*. Blaisdell publishing company, 1967.
- [7] Laipaporn, K. and Neammanee, K.: a non-uniform bound on normal approximation of randomized orthogonal array sampling designs. *Internationnal Mathematical Forum* **2**, 2347-2367 (2007).
- [8] Laipaporn, K.: *bound in a combinatorial central limit theorem for randomized orthogonal array sampling designs*. Ph.D. thesis, Chulalongkorn University 2006.
- [9] Laipaporn, K. and Neammanee, K.: a non-uniform concentration inequality for randomized orthogonal array sampling designs. *Thai Journal of Mathematics.* **4**, 11-34 (2006).
- [10] Loh, W.L.: a combinatorial central limit theorem for randomized orthogonal array sampling designs. *Ann. Statist.* **24**, 1290-1224 (1996).
- [11] Neammanee, K. and Laipaporn, K.: a uniform bound on a combinatorial central limit theorem for randomized orthogonal array sampling designs. *Stochastic Analysis and Application.* **26**, 243-255 (2008).
- [12] Neammanee, K. and Suntornchost, J.: a uniform bound in a combinatorial central limit theorem. *Stochastic Analysis and Application.* **23**, 1-20 (2005).
- [13] Owen, A.B.: Monte-Carlo variance of scrambled net quadrature. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **34**, 1884-1910 (1997a).
- [14] Owen, A.B.: scrambled net variance for integrals of smooth functions. *Ann. Statist.* **25**, 1541-1562 (1997b).
- [15] Owen, A.B.: a central limit theorem for latin hypercube sampling. *J.R.Statist. Soc. Ser.B* **54**, 541-551 (1992a).

- [16] Owen, A.B.: orthogonal array for computer experiments, integration and visualization. *Statist. Sinica.* **2**, 439-452 (1992b).
- [17] Petrov, V.V.: *limit theorem of probability theory sequences of independent random variables*. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1995.
- [18] Patterson, H.D.: the errors of lattice sampling. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B* **16**, 140-149 (1954).
- [19] Raghavarao, D.: *constructions and combinatorial problems in design of experiments*. John Wiley, New York 1971.
- [20] Stein, C.M.: *approximation computation of expectations*. IMS, Hayward, CA.
- [21] Tang, B.: orthogonal array-based latin hypercubes. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **88**, 1392-1397 (1993).

VITA

Name Mr. Kitsada Sungkamongkol

Date of Birth 16 Feburary 1985

Place of Birth Bangkok, Thailand

Education B.Sc.(Mathematics), University of the Thai Chamber
of Commerce, 2007.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย