

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT (IN THAI)	i
ABSTRACT (IN ENGLISH)	v
DEDICATION	ix
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	x
LIST OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1. Rationale and Background	1
2. Objectives of Study	3
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
1. Introduction	5
2. Sugarcane production	6
3. Ultisols in Northeast of Thailand	9
4. Sandy soil degradation	10
5. Soil improvement in degraded soil in sugarcane production system	16
6. Efficiency of organic material amendment in degraded soil	17
7. Efficiency of clay material amendment in degraded soil	22
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	25
1. Conceptual frame work	25
2. Experimental methods	25
3. Data collection	31
4. Data and statistical analysis	39
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS	41
1. Properties of amendment materials and soil in the experiment	41
2. Soil properties in experimental site after amendments	43
3. Sugarcane growth	75

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont.)

	Page
4. Correlation and regression analysis	96
CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION	105
1. Soil properties before amendment	105
2. Properties of amendment materials	106
3. Soil properties and plant growth after amendment	107
4. Best amendment method and type of ameliorant determination	129
5. General discussion	143
6. Recommendation	156
7. New knowledge	157
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION	159
1. Experimental conclusion	159
2. General conclusion	160
3. Suggestion for further study	162
REFERENCES	165
APPENDICES	179
RESEARCH PUBLICATONS	239
VITAE	241

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1 The soil suitability classification before sugarcane planting	26
Table 2 Treatments in two field experiments in different methods and types of ameliorants	29
Table 3 The chemical properties of ameliorants	42
Table 4 Soil properties in the experimental site before amendments	42
Table 5 Stool biomass at harvest in broadcast trial	83
Table 6 Millable cane, cane yield and sugar yield in broadcast trial	84
Table 7 Nutrients concentration in third visible dewlap leaf at 8 month of broadcast trial	85
Table 8 Stool biomass at harvest in banding trial with different ameliorants	95
Table 9 Millable cane, cane yield and sugar yield in banding trial	95
Table 10 Nutrients concentration in third visible dewlap leaf at 8 months after planting in banding trial	96
Table 11 Soil suitability classification for sugarcane production after organic and clay material amendment in broadcast trial	132
Table 12 Soil suitability classification for sugarcane production after organic and clay material amendment in banding trial	133
Table 13 The ranking of properties to determine appropriate materials at 0-30 cm soil of broadcasting trial	135
Table 14 The ranking of properties to determine appropriate materials at 30-60 cm soil of broadcasting trial	136
Table 15 The average values ranking in appropriate materials determination Of broadcasting trial	137
Table 16 The ranking of properties to determine appropriate materials at 0-30 cm soil of banding trial	138
Table 17 The ranking of properties to determine appropriate materials at 30-60 cm soil of banding trial	139

LIST OF TABLES (Cont.)

	Page
Table 18 The average values ranking in appropriate ameliorants determination of banding trial	139
Table 19 The average values ranking to determine appropriate application method	140
Table 20 Cost and benefit analysis in broadcast trial	141
Table 21 Cost and benefit analysis in banding trial	143

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1 The factors affecting on sugarcane productivity	26
Figure 2 Rainfall pattern during February 2003 to February 2004 of Mitr Kalasin mill station	28
Figure 3 Diagram of soil sampling in broadcast trial in each treatment of plant cane	32
Figure 4 Diagram of soil sampling in banding trial in each subplot of plant cane	32
Figure 5 Soil sampling in broadcast trial in each treatment in ratoon cane	34
Figure 6 Soil sampling in subplot of banding trial in ratoon cane	35
Figure 7 Soil sampling according to transition zone in ratoon cane	35
Figure 8 Bulk density at 0-30 cm in broadcast amendment	44
Figure 9 Bulk density at 30-60 cm in broadcasting trial	44
Figure 10 Particle size distribution in broadcast trial	46
Figure 11 Mean weight diameter, degree of aggregation and aggregate stability of broadcast trial	47
Figure 12 Soil moisture at 0-30 cm in broadcast trial	48
Figure 13 Soil moisture at 30-60 cm in broadcast trial	48
Figure 14 Soil pH (1:5H ₂ O) at 0-30 cm soil in broadcast trial	49
Figure 15 Soil pH (1:5H ₂ O) at 30-60 cm soil in broadcast trial	50
Figure 16 Electrical conductivity (1:5H ₂ O) at 0-30 cm soil in broadcast trial	51
Figure 17 Electrical conductivity (1:5H ₂ O) at 30-60 cm soil in broadcast trial	51
Figure 18 Organic matter at 0-30 cm soil before and after broadcast amendment	52
Figure 19 Organic matter at 30-60 cm soil before and after broadcast amendment	52
Figure 20 Available phosphorus at 0-30 cm soil in broadcast trial	53
Figure 21 Available phosphorus at 30-60 cm soil in broadcast trial	54
Figure 22 Exchangeable potassium at 0-30 cm soil in broadcast trial	54

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont.)

	Page
Figure 23 Exchangeable potassium at 30-60 cm soil in broadcast trial	55
Figure 24 Exchangeable calcium at 0-30 cm soil in broadcast trial	56
Figure 25 Exchangeable calcium at 30-60 cm soil in broadcast trial	56
Figure 26 Exchangeable magnesium at 0-30 cm soil in broadcast trial	57
Figure 27 Exchangeable magnesium at 30-60 cm soil in broadcast trial	57
Figure 28 Cation exchange capacity at 0-30 cm soil in broadcast trial	58
Figure 29 Cation exchange capacity at 30-60 cm soil in broadcast trial	59
Figure 30 Bulk density at 0-30 cm in banding trial	59
Figure 31 Bulk density at 30-60 cm soil in banding trial	60
Figure 32 Particle size distribution in banding trial	62
Figure 33 MWD, degree of aggregation and aggregate stability in banding trial	63
Figure 34 Soil moisture at 0-30 cm in banding trial	64
Figure 35 Soil moisture at 30-60 cm in banding trial	64
Figure 36 Soil pH (1:5H ₂ O) at 0-30 cm soil in banding trial	65
Figure 37 Soil pH (1:5H ₂ O) at 30-60 cm soil in banding trial	66
Figure 38 Electrical conductivity at 0-30 cm soil in ameliorants banding trial	67
Figure 39 Electrical conductivity at 30-60 cm soil in ameliorants banding trial	67
Figure 40 Soil organic matter at 0-30 cm soil in banding trial	68
Figure 41 Soil organic matter at 30-60 cm soil in banding trial	68
Figure 42 Available phosphorus at 0-30 cm soil in banding trial	69
Figure 43 Available phosphorus at 30-60 cm soil in banding trial	70
Figure 44 Exchangeable potassium at 0-30 cm soil in banding trial	71
Figure 45 Exchangeable potassium at 30-60 cm soil in banding trial	71
Figure 46 Exchangeable calcium at 0-30 cm soil in banding trial	72
Figure 47 Exchangeable calcium at 30-60 cm soil in banding trial	72
Figure 48 Exchangeable magnesium at 0-30 cm soil in banding trial	73
Figure 49 Exchangeable magnesium at 30-60 cm soil in banding trial	73
Figure 50 Cation exchange capacity at 0-30 cm soil in banding trial	74

LIST OF FIGURES (Cont.)

	Page
Figure 51 Cation exchange capacity at 30-60 cm soil in banding trial	75
Figure 52 The germination percentage in ameliorants broadcast trial	76
Figure 53 Stool density in broadcast trial	76
Figure 54 Tiller density in broadcast trial	77
Figure 55 The number of tiller per selected stool in broadcast trial	78
Figure 56 The plant height in broadcast trial	78
Figure 57 The stalk length in broadcast trial	79
Figure 58 The stalk diameter in broadcast trial	80
Figure 59 The stalk weight in broadcast trial	80
Figure 60 The brix of cane in broadcast trial	81
Figure 61 The CCS of cane in broadcast trial	82
Figure 62 The germination percentage in banding trial	86
Figure 63 Stool density in banding trial	87
Figure 64 Tiller density in banding trial	87
Figure 65 Tiller per selected stool in banding trial	88
Figure 66 Plant height in banding trial	89
Figure 67 Stalk length in banding trial	89
Figure 68 The stalk diameter in banding trial	90
Figure 69 The stalk weight in banding trial	91
Figure 70 The brix of cane in banding trial	91
Figure 71 The CCS in banding trial	92
Figure 72 Path diagram showing causal relationship between soil and crop productivity	98
Figure 73 Relationship between 14 agronomic traits and cane yield	116
Figure 74 Sugarcane productivity degradation process	146
Figure 75 Rehabilitation process in organic and clay material amendment	146

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AS	Aggregate stability
BD	Bulk density
BG	Bagasse
C/N	Carbon : Nitrogen ratio
Ca	Calcium
CCS	Commercial Cane Sugar
CEC	Cation Exchange Capacity
CF	Chemical Fertilizer
CM	Cattle Manure
CS	Clay Soil
EC	Electrical Conductivity
FC	Filter Cake
K	Potassium
Mg	Magnesium
MWD	Mean weight diameter
N	Nitrogen
OM	Organic Matter
P	Phosphorus
S	Sulfur