

fluids were significantly decreased by SP or AC treatment. In the caput fluids, the sperm concentrations after SP and AC treatments were, respectively, 0.13 ± 0.02 (n=8) and 0.18 ± 0.03 (n=6) $\times 10^9$ cells/ml compared to their corresponding control values of 0.43 ± 0.1 (n=7) and 0.38 ± 0.11 (n=7) $\times 10^9$ cells/ml, whereas in the cauda fluids the values after treatment were 0.96 ± 0.15 (n=15) and 0.76 ± 0.18 (n=9) $\times 10^9$ cells/ml compared to their corresponding control values of 1.77 ± 0.25 (n=17) and 1.45 ± 0.25 (n=9) $\times 10^9$ cells/ml, respectively. However, there appears to be no changes in protein concentration of fluid and protein contents of sperm in the caput or cauda of the treated groups. On the other hand, SDS-PAGE revealed a reduction in intensity of some minor protein bands of the caput fluid with MWs of 32K, 31K, 28K and 26.5K and the cauda fluid with MWs of 84K, 77K, 32K, 31K, 28K, 26.5K, 19K, 15.5K and 14.2K after both SP and AC treatments. However, SP and AC failed to cause any change in protein profiles of the caput sperm surface. Of particular interest was the increase of 3 additional bands with MWs of 85K, 44K and 28K from the cauda sperm extracts after SP treatment, whereas AC produce the reduction in intensity of proteins 50.5K and 49K, respectively. The results suggest that SP and AC may inhibit the fertilizing capacity of the rats spermatozoa directly by producing an alteration of proteins on the cauda sperm surface and/or indirectly by changing the secretion or absorption processes of some proteins in both caput and cauda epididymal fluids.