

Chapter 6

Conclusions and Recommendations

6.1 Generator Maintenance Scheduling of EDL Region I Power System

Optimization technique using Dynamic Programming (DP) is applied to generator maintenance scheduling of a major hydro power system. Case study (EDL Region I system) are compared to the existing EDL generator maintenance scheduling method, the proposed method offers the following benefits.

6.1.1 Revenue

In all study cases, the proposed method result in revenue increases ranging from 1 to 26 million Baht or 0.1 to 20 percents. Major factors influencing revenue are inflow and load. Maximum revenue is during high inflow and low demand. However, maximum revenue increase is during normal inflow and high load suggesting effectiveness of the proposed method in maximizing revenue under limited water surplus.

6.1.2 Reserve Capacity

In all cases, the proposed method offer better results. If EDL reserve capacity is higher than 40 MW (set level), the proposed method will be lower. However, if the EDL reserve capacity is lower than the set level, the proposed method will be higher and over minimum reserve requirement.

6.2 Recommendations

6.2.1 Maintenance crew management

EDL region I generator maintenance crew could be limited to 45, the maximum crew required for major overhaul. The proposed scheduling method shows that one maintenance team can provide the service to all generating units in the system as there is no overlapped schedule. However EDL can limit the existing number of maintenance crew for supporting new power stations due to the difficulty of personal reduction and high demand growth of EDL system.

6.2.2 Generation Planning and Dispatching

As the proposed maintenance scheduling method included generation planning in the process, it is recommended that operation of power plants in real time should be in accordance with the generation scheduling obtained from the study. This is to ensure that the maintenance scheduled is effectively implemented.

6.2.3 Increasing Minimum Reserve

From the study, it is noted that due to increase in demand during 2003 and 2004, reserve capacity has decreased near 40 MW (The largest unit). These could be a problem in near future as demand will continue to increase. It is recommended that further investigation be carried out.

References

1. Arther, R.B. and Vijay Vittal. *Power System Analysis*, 2nd edition : Prentice Hall, 2000.
2. Wang, X., and McDonald, J.R. : “Maintenance Scheduling of Generating Units in a Power System.” in *Modern Power System Planning*, McGraw-Hill International (UK) Limited, pp. 247-307, 1994.
3. Leite da Silva A.M., Anders G.J., and Manso L.A.F., “Generator Maintenance Scheduling to Maximize Reliability and Revenue”, IEEE Porto Power Tech Conference, 10th-13th September 2001.
4. Mantawy A.H., Soliman S.A., and El-Hawary M.E., “The Long-term Hydro-scheduling Problem, a new algorithm”, ELSEVIER Electric Power System Research, Vol. 64, Issue 1, pp. 67-72, 2002.
5. Chattopadhyay D., Bhattacharya K., and Jyoti Parikh, “A Systems Approach to Least-Cost Maintenance Scheduling for an Interconnected Power System”, IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, Vol. 10, No. 4, pp. 2002-2007, November 1995.
6. Quan Chen, “A Comparative Study of Power Generation System Reliability Including the Considering of Energy Limited Units”, IEEE Third International Conference on Probabilistic Methods Applied to Electric Power Systems, pp. 20-25, 1991.
7. Rattanachuen, W. “Generator maintenance Scheduling with Consideration of Energy Limited Units”. M.Eng. Thesis, Chulalongkorn University, 1997.
8. José Luis Martínez Ramos, Alicia Troncoso Lora, Jesús Riquelme Santos, and Antonio Gómez Expósito, “Short-term Hydro-Thermal Coordination Based on Interior Point Nonlinear Programming and Genetic Algorithms”, IEEE Porto Power Tech Conference, 10th-13th September 2001.
9. Dahal K.P. and McDonald J.R., “Generator Maintenance Scheduling of Electric Power Systems Using Genetic Algorithms with Integer Representation”, IEE Second International Conference on Genetic Algorithms in Engineering Systems : Innovation and Application, Publication No. 446, pp. 456-461, 1997.
10. Mromliński L.R., “Scheduling of Generating Units Maintenance under uncertainty”, IEEE Third International Conference on Probabilistic Methods Applied to Electric Power System, pp. 246-249, 1991.
11. Marwali M.K.C. and Shahidehpour S.M., “Integrated Generation and Transmission Maintenance Scheduling with Network Constraints”, IEEE Transactions on Power System, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 37-43, August 1998.
12. Chen L. and Toyoda J., “Optimal Generating Unit Maintenance Scheduling for Multi-Area System with Network Constraints”, IEEE Transaction on Power System, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 1168-1174, August 1991.
13. Wang Y. and Handschin E., “Unit Maintenance Scheduling in Open Systems Using Genetic Algorithm”, IEEE Transmission and Distribution Conference, Vol. 1, pp. 334-339, 1991.
14. Electricité du Laos. “Annual Report 2000”, Lao P.D.R.
15. Electricité du Laos. 2001. “Power Development Plan 2001–2010”, Lao P.D.R.

16. Kabisama, H.W. *Electrical Power Engineering* : McGraw-Hill Inc., pp. 4-7, 1993.
17. Rao, S.S. *Optimization Theory and Application*, 2nd edition : Wiley Eastern Limited, 1984.
18. Wood, A.J. and Wollenberg, B.F. *Power Generation Operation and Control*, 2nd edition : John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1996.