

CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|---|-------------|
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | v |
| CONTENTS | vi |
| LIST OF TABLES | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | ix |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | x |
| LIST OF TECHNICAL VOCABULARY AND ABBREVIATIONS | xi |
| CHAPTER | |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Overview | 1 |
| 1.2 Objectives | 2 |
| 1.3 Scopes of this Thesis | 2 |
| 1.4 The Proposed Procedures | 2 |
| 1.5 Outline of this Thesis | 2 |
| 2. LITERATURE SURVEY | 3 |
| 3. RELATED THEORY | 9 |
| 3.1 The Basic of OTA | 9 |
| 3.2 Artificial Neural Network (ANN) | 9 |
| 3.2.1 Feed-forward Backpropagation | 10 |
| 3.2.2 Neuron Model (logsig, tansig, purelin) | 11 |
| 3.3 BIQUAD Filter | 12 |
| 4. PROPOSED METHOD | 15 |
| 4.1 Experimental Process | 15 |
| 4.2 Utilizing an Artificial Neural Network to be Applied | 16 |
| 4.3 Data Collection | 16 |
| 4.3.1 Pre-process Collection | 16 |
| 4.3.2 Initializing the Training Set | 17 |
| 4.4 Sequential Training and Utilization | 17 |
| 4.5 Tuning Scheme | 19 |
| 5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS | 20 |
| 5.1 BIQUAD Filter Experiment | 20 |
| 5.2 Setting Experiment Parameters | 24 |
| 5.3 Sample Tuning | 25 |
| 5.4 Massive Tuning | 26 |
| 5.4.1 Effect of the Sizes of Training Set | 26 |
| 5.4.2 Feasibility Analysis | 27 |
| 5.5 Comparison Experiments | 29 |
| 5.5.1 Sequentially Trained ANN versus the Generally Trained ANN | 29 |
| 5.5.2 Sequentially Trained ANN versus the PSO | 31 |
| 6. CONCLUSION | 32 |

| | |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| REFERENCES | 33 |
| APPENDIX PUBLICATIONS | 34 |
| CURRICULUM VITAE | 43 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| 2.1 Requirement of bandpass response (GA based ANN) | 5 |
| 2.2 Specifications of bandpass response (Generally trained ANN) | 6 |
| 2.3 Specifications of bandpass response (PSO) | 7 |
| 3.1 Second-order Filter Function | 12 |
| 4.1 Key parameters of sampled tuning scheme | 19 |
| 5.1 Transistor dimensions | 24 |
| 5.2 Performances of the OTA at specified bias current | 25 |
| 5.3 Specification of bandpass response | 25 |
| 5.4 The response of varying the training set | 26 |
| 5.4 The response of varying the training set (Cont.) | 27 |
| 5.5 One-way ANOVA: Average error versus size | 27 |
| 5.6 Summation of performance on threshold = 10% | 29 |
| 5.7 The percentage deviations of the Sequentially Trained ANN versus the Generally Trained ANN with the same random BIQUAD specifications | 30 |
| 5.8 The compared performances between the generally trained model and the sequentially trained model of ANN | 31 |
| 5.9 The compared performances between the Sequentially Trained ANN and PSO | 31 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| 2.1 (a) A first-order lowpass circuit and (b) an alternative circuit | 3 |
| 2.2 Two alternative first-order highpass circuits | 3 |
| 2.3 Active compensated Tow-Thomas BIQUAD filter | 3 |
| 2.4 Experimental plots of amplitude and phase response of 20 dB non-inverting amplifier with various active compensation schemes | 4 |
| 2.5 Experimental plots of variation of quality factor Q_o as a function of resonant frequency ω_o of Tow-Thomas BIQUAD | 4 |
| 2.6 OTA simulations of resistors | 4 |
| 2.7 OTA simulations of inductor: (a) grounded and (b) floating | 5 |
| 2.8 The response of obtained bandpass of GA based ANN | 6 |
| 2.9 The response of obtained bandpass of ANN-generated parameter | 7 |
| 2.10 The response of obtained bandpass of PSO-based tuning | 8 |
| 3.1 Single-stage OTA with plus (a) and minus (b) outputs | 9 |
| 3.2 Construction of Neural Network | 10 |
| 3.3 Model of backpropagation | 10 |
| 3.4 Equation of output | 11 |
| 3.5 Architecture of the backpropagation network | 11 |
| 3.6 Low pass filter | 12 |
| 3.7 High pass filter | 13 |
| 3.8 Band pass filter | 13 |
| 3.9 Band reject filter | 13 |
| 4.1 The experimental process | 15 |
| 4.2 Predefined bias points | 17 |
| 4.3 The matching BIQUAD parameter | 17 |
| 4.4 The sequentially trained data set | 18 |
| 5.1 The experiment of BIQUAD circuit | 20 |
| 5.2 OTA simplifications with C-Miller | 20 |
| 5.3 Miller's theorem divided capacitors | 21 |
| 5.4 OTA-C selections from circuit experiment | 22 |
| 5.5 OTA simplifications without C-Miller. | 22 |
| 5.6 The response of bandpass obtained from sequentially tuned ANN | 26 |
| 5.7 The responded tuning of training set is 10 | 28 |
| 5.8 The responded tuning of training set is 20 | 28 |
| 5.9 The responded tuning of training set is 30 | 29 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| SYMBOL | UNIT |
|-------------------------------|------|
| V_{DD} | V |
| I_0 | A |
| I_i | A |
| Q_p | |
| ω_p | Hz |
| K | dB |
| g_m | S |
| f_b | Hz |
| R_o | A |
| F_{ANN} | |
| Δ_D | |
| L_Q | |
| positive supply voltage | |
| bias current | |
| input current | |
| Q-factor | |
| pole frequency | |
| gain | |
| transconductance | |
| opened loop bandwidth | |
| output resistance | |
| function of ANN | |
| desired quantizing resolution | |
| quantizing level | |

LIST OF TECHNICAL VOCABULARY AND ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|----------|---|---|
| A | = | ampere |
| A/D | = | analog/digital |
| ANN | = | Artificial Neural Network |
| ANOVA | = | analysis of variance |
| CF | = | cascade-forward |
| CMOS | = | complementary MOS |
| CT | = | continuous-time |
| D/A | = | digital/analog |
| DC | = | direct current |
| dB | = | decibel |
| G | = | giga |
| GA | = | Genetic Algorithm |
| GHz | = | gigahertz |
| Hz | = | hertz |
| IC | = | Integrated Circuit |
| k | = | kilo |
| M | = | mega |
| MHz | = | megahertz |
| MOSFET | = | metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor |
| mV | = | millivolt |
| NMOS | = | n-channel MOSFET |
| OTA | = | operational transconductance amplifier |
| PMOS | = | p-channel MOSFET |
| PSO | = | Particle Swarm Optimization |
| rad/s | = | radian per second |
| V | = | volt |
| α | = | alpha |
| β | = | beta |
| Ω | = | ohm |
| μ | = | micro |
| μ A | = | micro ampere |