## References

- [1] Mehta V, Cooper JS. Review and analysis of PEM fuel cell design and manufacturing. J Power Sources 2003;114:32–53.
- [2] Litster S, McLean G. PEM fuel cell electrodes. J Power Sources 2004;130:61–76.
- [3] Tawfik H, Hung Y, Mahajan D. Metal bipolar plates for PEM fuel cell A review. J Power Sources 2007;163:755-67.
- [4] Shao YY, Yin GP, Wang ZB, Gao YZ. Proton exchange membrane fuel cell from low temperature to high temperature: Material challenges. J Power Sources 2007;167:235-42.
- [5] Wee JH. Applications of proton exchange membrane fuel cell systems. Renew Sust Energ Rev 2007;11:1720–38.
- [6] Hamrock SJ, Yandrasits MA. Proton exchange membranes for fuel cell applications. Polym Rev 2006;46:219–44.
- [7] Erdinc O, Uzunoglu M. Recent trends in PEM fuel cell-powered hybrid systems: Investigation of application areas, design architectures and energy management approaches. Renew Sust Energ Rev n.d.;14:2874–84.
- [8] X. Cheng, B. Yi, M. Han, J. Zhang, Y. Qiao, Yu J. Investigation of platinum utilization and morphology in catalyst layer of polymer electrolyte fuel cells. J Power Sources 1999;79:75–81.
- [9] Y.-G. Chun, C.-S. Kim, D.-H. Peck, D.-R. Shin. Performance of a polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells at ultra-low platinum loading. J Power Sources 1998;71:174–8.
- [10] A. Lindermeir, G. Rosenthal, U. Kunz, U. Hoffmann. On the question of MEA preparation for DMFCs. J Power Sources 2004;129:180-7.
- [11] Liu C-Y, Sung C-C. A review of the performance and analysis of proton exchange membrane fuel cell membrane electrode assemblies. Journal of Power Sources 2012;220:348–53.
- [12] S. Gottesfeld, M. Wilson. Hign performance catalyzed membrane of ultra-low Pt loading for polymer electrolyte fuel cells. J Electrochem Soc 1992;139:L28–30.

- [13] S. Gottesfeld, T. Zawodzinski. Polymer electrolyte fuel cells. Adv Electrochem Sci Eng 1997;5:195–301.
- [14] Gottesfeld S, Wilson M. Thin film catayst layers for polymer electrolyte fuel cell electrodes. J Appl Electrochem 1992;22:1.
- [15] Gülzow E, Schulze M, Wagner N, Kaz T, Reissner R, Steinhilber G, et al. Dry layer preparation and characterization of polymer electrolyte fuel cell components. Journal of Power Sources 2000;86:352-62.
- [16] Th. Frey, M. Linardi. Effects of membrane electrode assembly preparation on the polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell performance. Electrochim Acta 2004;50:99–105.
- [17] Kim H, Subramanian NP, Popov BN. Preparation of PEM fuel cell electrodes using pulse electrodeposition. Journal of Power Sources 2004;138:14–24.
- [18] Wei ZD, Chan SH, Li LL, Cai HF, Xia ZT, Sun CX. Electrodepositing Pt on a Nafion-bonded carbon electrode as a catalyzed electrode for oxygen reduction reaction. Electrochimica Acta 2005;50:2279–87.
- [19] Xiong L, Manthiram A. High performance membrane-electrode assemblies with ultra-low Pt loading for proton exchange membrane fuel cells. Electrochimica Acta 2005;50:3200–4.
- [20] O'Hayre R, Lee S-J, Cha S-W, Prinz FB. A sharp peak in the performance of sputtered platinum fuel cells at ultra-low platinum loading. Journal of Power Sources 2002;109:483–93.
- [21] Tang Z, Poh CK, Chin KC, Chua DHC, Lin J, Wee ATS. Cobalt coated electrodes for high efficiency PEM fuel cells by plasma sputtering deposition. Journal of Applied Electrochemistry 2009;39:1821-6.
- [22] B. Millington, V. Whipple, Pollet BG. A novel method for preparing proton exchange membrane fuel cell electroldes by the ultrasonic-spray technique. J Power Sources 2011;196:8500–8.
- [23] T.-H. Huang, H.-L. Shen, T.-C. Jao, F.-B. Weng, Su A. Ultra-low Pt loading for proton exchange membrane fuel cell by catalyst coating technique with ultrasonic spray coating machine. Int J Hydrogen Energ 2012;in press:doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.04.108.

- [24] Zheng Q, Cheng X, Jao T-C, Weng F-B, Su A, Chiang Y-C. Fuel cell performances at optimized Nafion and Ru85/Se15 loadings in cathode catalyst layer. Journal of Power Sources 2012;201:151– 8.
- [25] Z. Qi, Kaufman A. Low Pt loading high performance cathodes for PEM fuel cells. J Power Sources 2003;113.
- [26] S. Gamburzev, Appleby AJ. Recent progress in performance improvement of the proton exchange membrane fuel cell. J Power Sources 2002;107:5–12.
- [27] E. Passalacqua, F. Lufrano, G. Squadrito, A. Patti, Giorgi L. Nafion content in the catalyst layer of polymer electrolyte fuel cells: effects on structure and performance. Electrochim Acta 2001;46:799– 805.
- [28] K. Punyawudho, D. A. Blom, J. W. Van Zee, Monnier JR. Comparison of different methods for determination of Pt surface site concentrations for supported Pt electrocatalysts. Electrochim Acta 2010;55:5349–56.
- [29] Marković NM, Grgur BN, Ross PN. Temperature-dependent hydrogen electrochemistry on platinum low-index single-crystal surfaces in acid solutions. Journal of Physical Chemistry B 1997;101:5405–13.

## List of tables

- Table 1. The volumes of 5% wt of Nafion solutions were added to catalyst inks, and the amount of 20 wt% Pt/C catalysts was kept constant at 34.5 mg (Pt loading of 0.3 mg/cm², and reactive area of 23 cm²). The density of 5%wt Nafion solution is 0.85 g/cm³; thus, the percentage of Nafion:

  Pt/C catalyst was calculated as weight ratio.
- Table 2. Mass fraction analysis of C, F, and Pt from the EDX analysis shown in Figure 6.
- Table 3. Summary of chemisorption data. No chemisorption activity observed for Nafion-coated Nafion membrane. For the 20 wt% Pt/C powdered catalyst, average Pt particle size is calculated to be 4.4 nm diameter to give a Pt dispersion of 25.8%. Dispersion is defined as the fraction of total Pt atoms in a particle that are present on the surface. Coated membrane was analyzed by chemisorption before (fresh) and after electrochemical surface area determined (used).
- Table 4. Summary of Electrochemical Surface Area (ESA) for an MEA of 49.3 wt% of Nafion : Pt/C by cyclic voltammetry using a scanning rate of 25 mV/sec. The mass of Pt was approximately 6.9 mg (0.3 mg<sub>Pt</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> for 23 cm<sup>2</sup> surface area for both anode and cathode); the Pt charge per surface area Pt =  $2.1 \text{ C/m}^2$ .

## List of figures

- Figure 1. Schematic of ultrasonic spraying system used to fabricate MEAs in this study.
- Figure 2. Polarization curves of four MEAs with percentages of Nafion: Pt/C catalyst at 37.0% (+), 49.3% (□), 61.6% (○), and 73.9% (Δ). The cell temperature was 75 °C, the humidity was 95%RH at both anode and cathode, the stoichiometric ratio of H<sub>2</sub>/air was set at 1.2/3.0, and back pressure was ambient pressure at both anode and cathode.
- Figure 3. Current density versus Nafion: Pt/C catalyst ratio at cell potential of 0.50, 0.65, and 0.80 V (from Figure 2).
- Figure 4. Cross sectional SEM images of MEA with 49.3% of Nafion: Pt/C; (a) CCL/electrolyte membrane/CCL at a magnification of 1,000 times, and (b) CCL/Electrolyte membrane interface at a magnification of 25,000 times.
- Figure 5. The TEM image of MEA (49.3% of Nafion: Pt/C) at the interface between CCL and electrolyte membrane (a) at a magnification of 4,000 and (b) magnification of 20,000. Figure 5(c) shows the catalyst-coated layer of the MEA at a magnification of 100,000. The black Pt particles are clearly visible in (c).
- Figure 6. Electron Diffraction X-Ray analysis (EDX) of a cross section of the MEA (49.3% of Nafion: Pt/C). Platinum, carbon, and fluorine (from Nafion) peaks from the highlighted portion of the SEM image are shown in the EDX spectrum.
- Figure 7. Chemisorption spectra of (a) electrolyte membrane coated with 400  $\mu$ L of 5% Nafion, (b) powdered 20%wt Pt/C catalyst, (c) 49.3 wt% Nafion : Pt/C, fresh MEA , and (d) 49.3 wt% Nafion : Pt/C, used MEA.

Figure 8. Cyclic voltammetry of MEA (49.3% Nafion : Pt/C) with surface area of 23 cm<sup>2</sup> scanned from open circuit voltage (OCV) to 1.14 V with scanning rate of 25 mV/sec, cell temperature of 75 °C, H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> (A/C) at 300/300 sccm, ambient pressure, and 95% RH both anode and cathode.