Contents

บทคัดย่อ	I
Abstract	II
List of Figures	IV
List of Tables	VI
Chapter I Introduction	1
Chapter II Research Methodology	4
Chapter III Results and Discussion	20
- Geometric and Electronic structures	20
- Possibility of Silicene Device as a Gas Sensors	29
Conclusions	34
Acknowledgements	35
References	36
Output	41
Appendix	

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	The setup of the Landauer approach: the left and right leads (L,R) are connected to two reservoirs in equilibrium at two different electrochemical potentials (μ_L ,μ_R). Both leads are connected to the central region (C), in which scattering can take place. The electrochemical potential is almost flat inside the leads and the potential drop occurs across the junction.	13
Figure 2.2	Schematic illustration of a system containing a molecule sandwiched between left (L) and right (R) leads.	15
Figure 2.3	When the molecule is attached to semi-infinite leads, its energy levels are renormalized. The energy level broadening due to the coupling to the contact is given by **Γ**.	18
Figure 2.4	The schematic form of the self-consistency loop based on the NEGF+DGF method	19
Figure 3.1	a) Hexagonal 2D lattice with two atoms per unit cell for silicene; b) A parallelepiped unit cell with four atoms per unit cell and (c) supercell used in relaxations and also transport calculation.	22
Figure 3.2	The device setup is shown in the left panel for pristine (upper) and doped silicene (lower) where X marks the site for B and N doping. The central and right panels show the most stable congurations for the four gas species NO, NH_3, NO_2 and CO when adsorbed on either pristine, B-doped or N-doped silicene.	23
Figure 3.3	(a) Possible adsorption sites of gases on the hexagonal lattice of P-silicene: (I) hill, (II) valley, (III) bridge, and (IV) hollow sites. Different molecular orientations were examined for (b) CO and NO (diatomic gas molecules) with three possible orientations and (c) NH_3, and NO_2 (tri- and tetratomic gas molecules) with two initial orientations.	25

Figure 3.4	Charge density for (a) pristine silicene; (b) B-doped silicene and (c) N-doped silicene. The plotted isosurface corresponds to a value of 0.08 $e \times bohr^{-3}$ for all panels.	27
Figure 3.5	Transmittance as a function of energy, where the Fermi energy (E_F) is set at zero, is shown for (a) P-silicene; (b) B-silicene and (c) N-silicene. Red, green, orange and blue lines represent the transmittance for CO, NO, NH_3 and NO_2 adsorbed on the devices, respectively, while black dashed lines represent that of the reference system (P-,B- and N-silicene devices without gas).	29
Figure 3.6	(a) Sensitivity versus binding energy for NO (green), NO_2 (blue), NH_3 (orange) and CO (red) gas molecules on P-silicene (circle), B-silicene (square) and N-silicene (diamond) devices, respectively; (b) the four gas species on P-siliene (the inset shows the sensitivity comparison for P-silicene); (c) CO on three devices and (d) NH_3 on three devices. The insets of (c) and (d) are the sensitivity comparison for one gas on the three different devices.	30
Figure 3.7	Charge density difference for CO (upper) and NH_3 (lower panel) on P-, B-, and N-silicene devices for each type of nanosensor. Isosurfaces are plotted for isovalues of 0.0004 (0.002) $e \times bohr^{-3}$ for CO (NH_3) alongside contour plots. For the isosurface plots, green color represents negative charge density difference while yellow corresponds to a positive change in charge density.	32

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Calculated binding energy E_b, binding distance (D) and charge transfer from the silicene to molecules $\Delta Q(\|e\|)$	26