ABSTRACT

This research study is conducted to examine explicit focus on form corrective recast. According to the review of related literature and the real situation happening in the language classroom, the controversial issue of how to correct students' errors is underlined and discussed. This question, therefore, arises:

Does explicit focus on form affect the linguistic accuracy of a group of M.5 Triam Udom Suksa students' oral production at the intermediate level of language proficiency in comparison with another group receiving implicit focus on form through corrective recast at the same level of language proficiency?

So as to compare the effectiveness of the two forms of error reaction, 40 participants of the same level of language proficiency from eleventh grade, Triam Udom Suksa School were put into experimental and compared groups. The former received explicit focus on form as the reaction to erroneous forms while the latter are exposed to corrective recast whenever they made grammatical errors through a structured interview. The interview emphasized six targeted grammatical structures which were *present perfect*, *I wish*, three forms of *causative clause*, *conditional sentences*, and *should have* + *past participle* and *reported speech*.

In order to compare the accuracy gains of experimental and comparison group, the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied. On account of the research findings, the one-sided alternative hypothesis was accepted. The experimental group significantly outperformed the comparison group.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The success of this Independent Study can be attributed to the extensive

support and assistance from my advisor Ajarn Edward Geerson to whom I deeply

thank for his invaluable supervision and useful suggestions throughout this

independent study. His continuous guidance enabled me to complete my work.

This work would not have been plausible without care and moral support from

my mother, Mrs. Pornpan Sa-Ngaphan who has been "the wind beneath my wings"

throughout my life. I also wish to thank my dearest sister, Miss Mingkwan Sa-

Ngaphan for doing the housework for two years.

It is a pleasure to pay tribute also to all subjects in this independent study, my

beloved M.5 students at Triam Udom Suksa who were willing to skip their lunch and

be my interviewees.

Special thanks are extended to my friends at TEFL 10 at Thammasat

University, who are always there to share love and experiences.

My final words of appreciation go to all teachers in the TEFL program who

have been giving me valuable knowledge in the field of teaching and being my role

models. I hope to continue, in my own small way, the noble mission to which they

give their lives to be dedicated teachers.

Thammasat University

Sukanda Sa-Ngaphan

Bangkok, Thailand

March, 2009

iii

CONTENTS

	PAGE
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
CONTENTS	iv
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	. 1
1.1 Background and Rationale	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	2
1.3 Significance of the Study	2
1.4 Scope of the Study	3
1.5 Definitions of Terms.	3
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	. 6
2.1 Focus on Form Background	6
2.2 Strategies of Focus on Form	. 9
2.3 Related Issues	11
2.3.1 What Form Is to Be Focused?	11
2.3.2 When and How to Correct	. 12
2.3.3 Feedback During Oral Work	14
2.3.4 Feedback During Accuracy Work	. 15
2.3.5 Short-Term Memory Retention	16
3. METHODOLOGY	. 18
3.1 Subjects	18
3.2 Instrument	18
3.3 Procedures	. 19
3.4 Test Construction	. 19
3.4 Data Analysis	21

4. RESULTS
4.1 General Background of the Subjects
4.2 Independent Sample T-Test
4.3 Descriptive Statistics of Each Aimed Structure
5. CONCLUSION, DISCUSSION, & RECOMMENDATIONS 32
5.3 Conclusion
5.2 Discussion
5.4 Recommendations for Further Research
REFERENCES
APPENDIXES
Examples of Students' Errors on Conditions
Examples of Students' Errors on Unreal Present
Examples of Students' Errors on Should have+ Past participle 40
Example of Students' Errors on causative
Example of Students' Errors on Reported Speech