

Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol. 38 (4), 449-451, Jul. - Aug. 2016



Original Article

# Knowledge space theory and union-closed sets conjecture

Chatchawan Panraksa\*

Division of Science, Mahidol University International College, Phutthamonthon, Nakhon Pathom, 73170 Thailand.

Received: 28 September 2015; Accepted: 13 January 2016

### Abstract

The knowledge space theory provides a framework for knowledge management. One of major problems is to find core information for a body of knowledge. Union closed set conjecture, if true, guarantees that for a given knowledge space, there is an information that is linked to at least half of the knowledge units. This paper deals with a variant problem, where the knowledge space is also a topological space and possibly infinite. We prove that there is a point belonging to as many open sets as of the topological space itself.

Keywords: knowledge space, union-closed sets conjecture, topological space

### 1. Introduction

The knowledge space theory is based on the idea of visualizing knowledge as a directed graph of skill units (Doignon and Falmagne, 1985). To reach the terminal skill, students need to master certain number of prior skills. The theory proposes that there are many paths of the directed graph leading to the terminal skill. We can optimize the learning process by assessing student's skills and personalizing the learning path for each student. This will help us to assess students' skills and personalize their study plans. In this study, we consider the problem dealing with knowledge management. Specifically, we study the so called union-closed sets conjecture: "For any finite union-closed family of finite sets, other than the family consisting only of the empty set, there exists an element that belongs to at least half of the sets in the family." (Frankl, 1979). The above is a conjecture made by Frankl in 1979; it is still an open problem (Poonen, 1992; Roberts and Simson, 2010). In this study we will consider the case of infinite knowledge space with a topological structure (Dugundji, 1966; Munkres, 2000). The

\* Corresponding author.

Email address: chatchawan.pan@mahidol.edu

continuum hypothesis plays a key role in our investigation. Specifically, we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an infinite,  $2^{nd}$  countable and Hausdorff topological space. Then there is x "X such that  $|\tau_x| = |\tau|$ . where  $\tau_x$  is the set of all open sets in  $\tau$  containing x.

# 2. Main Results

**Definition 1.** A tuple (X, K) consisting of a non-empty set X and a set K of subsets from X is called a **knowledge space** if K contains the empty set and X, and it is closed under union.

**Definition 2.** Let (X, S) be a knowledge space. An element  $x \in X$  is called a **core unit** of *S* if *x* belongs to at least half of the elements in *S* when  $|S| < \infty$  or  $|S_x| = |S|$  if *S* is infinite, where  $S_x = \{K \in S : x \in K\}$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $x \in X$ . The **subtopological space of**  $\tau$  **containing** x is the set  $\{\mathcal{O} \in \tau : x \in \mathcal{O}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ . We denote it by  $\tau_x$ . It is easy to see that, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\tau_x$  is a subtopological space of  $\tau$ . In this paper, we assume the continuum hypothesis. It states that there is no set T for which

$$\aleph_0 < |T| < 2^{\aleph_0}$$
, where  $\aleph_0 = |\mathbb{N}|$ .

By assuming the axiom of choice, the continuum hypothesis is equivalent to

$$2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$$
, where  $\aleph_1 = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let *S* be an infinite countable collection of nonempty sets. Then there is a choice function  $\phi: S \to \bigcup S$  such that

$$\left|\phi(S)\right| = \aleph_0 = \left|S\right|.$$

**Proof.** Assume that S is an infinite collection of nonempty sets. Let  $S_{\infty}$  be a set defined by  $S_{\infty} = \{A \in S : |A| = \infty\}$ . We consider two cases, depending on whether  $S_{\infty}$  is infinite or finite.

**Case 1**  $S_{\infty}$  is infinite.

We can enumerate elements in  $S_{\infty}$  as  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$ . Let  $a_1 \in A_1$ . Then for  $k \ge 1$ , choose  $a_k \in A_k \setminus \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ . We can see that  $S_{\infty} \{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is an infinite sequence and  $a_k$ 's are all different. Fix  $a \in A$ , define  $\phi : S \to \bigcup S$  by

$$\phi(A) = \begin{cases} a_k & \text{if } A = A_k, \text{ for some } k \\ a & \text{if } A \text{ is not in } S_{\infty} \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $|\phi(S)| \ge |\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}| = \aleph_0$ . Since  $|S| = \aleph_0$  and  $\phi$  is a function,  $|\phi(S)| \le \aleph_0$ . Thus,  $|\phi(S)| = |S|$ .

# **Case 2** $S_{\infty}$ is finite.

Let  $S - S_{\infty} = \{A_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Note that  $S - S_{\infty}$  is an infinite set. We claim that there is a sequence  $\{a_{k_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $a_{k_i} \in A_{k_i}$  with  $a_{k_i} \{a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, a_{k_3}, \ldots, a_{k_{i-1}}\}$ . Let  $a_1 \in A_{k_i} := A_1$ . Assume that the above statement is true for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$  but false for all choices when i = j+1. Thus, for each choice of  $A_{k_{j+1}}$  and  $a_{k_{j+1}} \in A_{k_{j+1}}$ , we have that  $a_{k_{j+1}} \in \{a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, a_3, \ldots, a_{k_i}\} \in P(\bigcup_{i=1}^j A_{k_i}) \subseteq P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{j+1} A_i)$ . Thus,  $A_r \in P(\bigcup_{i=1}^j A_{k_i})$  for each  $r \ge k_j$ .

It implies that  $A_r \in P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_j} A_i\right)$ , for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $S - S_{\infty} \subseteq P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_j} A_i\right)$ . However,  $A_i$  is finite for each *i*. Thus,  $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_j} A_i\right)$ , is finite. Thus, *S* is finite, a contradiction. We can conclude that there is a one-to-one sequence  $\left\{a_{k_i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  in  $S - S_{\infty}$  such that  $a_{k_i} \in A_{k_i}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix some  $a \in A$ . Define  $\phi: S \to \bigcup S$  by

$$\phi(A) = \begin{cases} a_{k_i} & \text{if } A = A_{k_i}, \text{ for some } i \\ a \in A & \text{if } A \neq A_{k_i}, \text{ for all } i \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can have that  $|\phi(S)| = |S|$ .

If a knowledge space  $(X, \tau)$  has a finite topology (X is not necessarily finite), then we can prove that, for each  $x \in X$ , x is a core unit of K.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space where  $\tau$  is finite. Then for every  $x \in X$ ,

 $|\tau_{r}| = |\tau|/2.$ 

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X$ . Let  $G_x = \{\mathcal{U} \in \tau : x \notin \mathcal{U}\}$ . It follows that  $\tau_x \cup G_x = \tau$ . We can see that  $G_x = \{\mathcal{U} \in \tau : \mathcal{OV} = \backslash \{x\} \text{ for some } V \in \tau_x$ . Thus,  $|\tau_x| = |G_x|$ . Since  $\hat{o}$  is a disjoint union of  $\tau_x$  and  $G_y$ ,  $\tau_y = |\tau|/2$ .

In the following theorem, we will prove that for a given infinite topological space with certain properties, there is a core unit.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an infinite,  $2^{nd}$  countable and Hausdorff topological space. Then there is  $x \in X$  such that  $|\tau_x| = |\tau|$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{B_i, B_2, \dots\}$  be a countable topological basis of  $\tau$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $2^{nd}$  countable, we also have that  $|\tau| \le \aleph_1$ . Let  $x_i \in B_i$  for each *i*. Claim that there is  $i_0$  such that  $|\tau_{xi0}| = |\tau|$ . Assume to the contrary that for each *i*,  $|\tau_{xi}| < |\tau| \le \aleph_1$ .

Case 1  $|\tau| = \aleph_1$ 

By the assumption,  $|\tau_{xi}| < |\tau| = \aleph_1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . By continuum hypothesis,  $|\tau_{xi}| \le \aleph_0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $\aleph_1 = |\tau| = |\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_{x_i}| \le \aleph_0 < \aleph_1$ , a contradiction.

Case 2  $|\tau| < \aleph_1$ 

Then, by assuming continuum hypothesis,  $|\tau| = \aleph_0$ . By the assumption that  $|\tau_x| \le |\tau|$  for all  $x \in X$ , we have that  $\tau_{v}$  is finite for all  $x \in X$ . It follows that  $\tau \setminus \tau_{v}$  is infinite for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\tau \setminus \tau_{x_0} = \{ \mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3, \dots \}$ . Let  $y_r \in \mathcal{O}_r$  for each  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Without loss of generality and by Lemma 2.1, we can assume that there are infinitely many such  $y_r$ 's and they are all different. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is Hausdorff, there are  $\mathcal{U}_r, \mathcal{V}_r \in \tau$  such that  $x_0 \in \mathcal{U}_r, y_r \in \mathcal{V}_r$  and  $\mathcal{U}_r \cap \mathcal{V}_r = \emptyset$ for each  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $|\tau_{x_0}| = n_o \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\mathcal{U}_s = \bigcap_{r=1}^s \mathcal{U}_r$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{U}_s \cap \mathcal{V}_r = \emptyset$  for all  $s \ge r$  and  $y_r \in \mathcal{V}_r$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is Hausdorff, we can redefine  $\mathcal{V}_s$  (if necessary) for  $1 \le s \le n_0 + 1$  so that  $\mathcal{V}_s, \cap \mathcal{V}_s = \emptyset$  for  $s' \ne s$ . This can be done since  $y_r$ 's are all different and we repeat the process finitely many times. Therefore,  $\{\mathcal{U}_r \cup \mathcal{V}_r | r = 1, ..., n_0 + 1\}$  is a set of  $n_0 + 1$  different open sets containing  $x_0$ , a contradiction.

From the above 2 cases, we can conclude that there is  $x \in X$  such that  $|\tau_x| = |\tau|$ .

Note that there exists a non-Hausdorff space without any core unit. For example, Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$  and a topology  $\tau$  on defined by  $\tau = \{\{n, n+1, n+2, ...\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ . We can see that for any  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are no  $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}_2 \in \tau$  with the properties  $n_1 \in \mathcal{O}_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{O}_2$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$  is not Hausdorff. Moreover, any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are only *n* elements in containing. However,  $\tau$  is an infinite set. Thus, there is no core unit in  $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$ .

#### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the Seed Grant Research Fund of Mahidol University International College. He would also like to thank referees for their valuable suggestions.

## References

- Doignon, J.P. and Falmagne, J.Cl. 1985. Spaces for the assessment of knowledge. International Journal of Man-Machine Studies. 23(2), 175-19.
- Dugundji, J. 1966. Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, U.S.A.
- Frankl, P. 1979. Families of finite sets satisfying a union condition. Discrete Mathematics. 26(2), 111-118.
- Munkres, J. 2000. Topology (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition), Prentice Hall, New Jersey, U.S.A., pp. 1-537.
- Poonen, B. 1992. Union-closed families. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A. 59(2), 253-268.
- Roberts, I. and Simpson, J. 2010. A note on the union-closed sets conjecture. The Australasian Journal of Combinatorics. 47, 265-267.