

## Table of Contents

Chapter	Title	Page
	Signature Page	i
	Acknowledgement	ii
	Abstract	iii
	Table of Contents	iv
	List of Figures	vi
	List of Tables	viii
1.	Introduction	1
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Automated structural design of buildings	5
	1.3 Optimization methods	7
	1.4 Statement of problems	8
	1.5 Objectives	10
	1.6 Scope of this study	10
2.	Literature Reviews	11
	2.1 Conceptual structural design	11
	2.2 Preliminary structural design	16
3.	Genetic Algorithms	22
	3.1 Objective and fitness functions	22
	3.2 Coding and decoding	23
	3.2.1 Binary coding	23
	3.2.2 Decoding and mapping	24
	3.3 Genetic operators	24
	3.3.1 Reproduction	25
	3.3.2 Crossover	25
	3.3.3 Mutation	27
	3.4 Criteria	28
	3.5 Elitism	28
	3.6 Penalty functions	28
	3.7 Fitness scaling	29
	3.8 Adaptive penalty functions	33
4.	Layout Design of Beam-Slab Floors using a GA	36
	4.1 Primary representation of beam-slab layouts	36
	4.2 Geometrically invalid layout	36
	4.3 Proposed coding scheme	37
	4.4 Problem formation	44
	4.4.1 Objective function	44
	4.4.2 Design constraints	45
	4.4.3 Elitism	47
	4.5 Algorithm	49

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
5.	Examples and results	52
	5.1 Problem 1	52
	5.2 Problem 2	57
	5.3 Problem 3	61
	5.4 Problem 4	65
	5.5 Problem 5	70
	5.6 Problem 6	76
	5.7 Problem 7	80
	5.8 Problem 8	86
	5.9 Problem 9	92
6.	Conclusion	98
	References	99

## List of Figures

Figure	Title	Page
1.1	Types of slab. (a) Flat-plate floor. (b) Beam-slab floor. (c) Joist-girder floor. (d) Waffle floor or beam-grid floor	2
1.2	An example of square column grid	3
1.3	An example of beam-slab layout	4
3.1	Linear scaling	30
3.2	Difficulty of the linear scaling	32
3.3	Modified linear scaling	32
3.4	Bilinear scaling	33
3.5	Bilinear scaling for the adaptive penalty scheme	35
4.1	A rectilinear floor. (a) An example of a floor plan. (b) A grid. (c) A beam-slab layout. (d) The corresponding code	37
4.2	Examples of all defined invalid beams	38
4.3	(a) An example of geometrically invalid beam-slab layout of a rectangular floor and the derived geometrically valid beam-slab layout. (b) Steps of deriving the derived geometrically valid beam-slab layout of a rectangular floor	39
4.4	(a) An example of geometrically invalid beam-slab layout of a rectilinear floor and the derived geometrically valid beam-slab layout. (b) Steps of deriving the derived geometrically valid beam-slab layout of a rectilinear floor	40
4.5	(a) The grid. (b) The special individual that contains all possible beam segments	41
4.6	Example illustrating the concept of the proposed coding scheme	42
4.7	Crossover and mutation processes	43
4.8	Examples of slabs with different scores	45
4.9	Examples of fitness and constraint calculations	47
4.10	The number of beams	48
4.11	Flow chart of the proposed GA	51
5.1	Problem 1. (a) The given floor plan. (b) The grid. (c) The best solution	53
5.2	Problem 1: a typical evolution of solution	55
5.3	Problem 1: a typical development of the fitness and the total beam length	56
5.4	Problem 2. (a) The given floor plan. (b) The grid. (c) The best solution	57
5.5	Problem 2: a typical evolution of solution	59
5.6	Problem 2: a typical development of the fitness and the total beam length	60
5.7	Problem 3. (a) The given floor plan. (b) The grid. (c) The best solution	61
5.8	Problem 3: a typical evolution of solution	63
5.9	Problem 3: a typical development of the fitness and the total beam length	64

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
5.10	Problem 4. (a) The given floor plan. (b) The 0.5-m grid. (c) The 1-m grid. (d) The best solution	65
5.11	Problem 4: a typical evolution of solution	68
5.12	Problem 4: a typical development of the fitness and the total beam length for the 0.5-m grid	69
5.13	Problem 5: (a) The real architectural floor plan. (b) The real structural floor plan	70
5.14	Problem 5: (a) The simplified architectural floor plan. (b) The grid. (b) The best solution	72
5.15	Problem 5: a typical evolution of solution	73
5.16	Problem 5: a typical development of the fitness and the total beam length	75
5.17	Problem 6. (a) The given floor plan. (b) The grid. (c) The best solution	77
5.18	Problem 6: a typical evolution of solutions	78
5.19	Problem 6: a typical development of the fitness and the total beam length	79
5.20	Problem 7. (a) The real architectural floor plan. (b) The real structural floor plan	80
5.21	Problem 7. (a) The grid. (c) The best solution	82
5.22	Problem 7: a typical evolution of solutions	83
5.23	Problem 7: a typical development of the fitness and the total beam length	85
5.24	Problem 8: (a) The real architectural floor plan. (b) The real structural floor plan	86
5.25	Problem 8: (a) The simplified architectural floor plan. (b) The grid. (b) The best solution	88
5.26	Problem 8: a typical evolution of solutions	89
5.27	Problem 8: a typical development of the fitness and the total beam length	91
5.28	Problem 9: (a) The real architectural floor plan. (b) The real structural floor plan	92
5.29	Problem 9: (a) The simplified architectural floor plan. (b) The grid. (b) The best solution	94
5.30	Problem 9: a typical evolution of solutions	95
5.31	Problem 9: a typical development of the fitness and the total beam length	97

## **List of Tables**

<b>Table</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.1	Tasks in structural design of buildings	6
1.2	Automated structural design of buildings	6
1.3	Roles of engineers and computers in structural design of buildings	9
5.1	Problem 1: statistics of the results	54
5.2	Problem 2: statistics of the results	58
5.3	Problem 3: statistics of the results	62
5.4	Problem 4: statistics of the results for the 0.5-m grid	66
5.5	Problem 4: statistics of the results for the 1-m grid	66
5.6	Problem 5: statistics of the results	71
5.7	Problem 6: statistics of the results	76
5.8	Problem 7: statistics of the results	81
5.9	Problem 8: statistics of the results	87
5.10	Problem 9: statistics of the results	93