

REFERENCES



REFERENCES

- [1] Labianca, R., G. Beretta, G. Gatta, F. de Braud, and J. Wils. (2004). Colon cancer. **Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology**, 51(2), 145-170.
- [2] Khuhaprema, T. and P. Srivatanakul. (2008). Colon and rectum cancer in Thailand: an overview. **Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology**, 38(4), 237-243.
- [3] van Breda, S.G., T.M. de Kok, and J.H. van Delft. (2007). Mechanisms of colorectal and lung cancer prevention by vegetables: a genomic approach. **Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry**, 19(3), 139-157.
- [4] Johnson, I.T. (2004). New approaches to the role of diet in the prevention of cancers of the alimentary tract. **Mutation Research**, 551(1-2), 9-28.
- [5] Rogers, A.E., S.H. Zeisel, and J. Groopman. (1993). Diet and carcinogenesis. **Carcinogenesis**, 14(11), 2205-2217.
- [6] Campos, F.G., A.G. Logullo Waitzberg, D.R. Kiss, D.L. Waitzberg, A. Habr-Gama, and J. Gama-Rodrigues. (2005). Diet and colorectal cancer: current evidence for etiology and prevention. **Nutricion Hospitalaria**, 20(1), 18-25.
- [7] Levi, F., C. Pasche, F. Lucchini, and C. La Vecchia. (2001). Dietary fibre and the risk of colorectal cancer. **European Journal of Cancer**, 37(16), 2091-2096.
- [8] Wogan, G.N. (1985). Diet and nutrition as risk factors for cancer. **Princess Takamatsu Symposia**, 16, 3-10.
- [9] Zhaorigetu, S., M. Sasaki, H. Watanabe, and N. Kato. (2001). Supplemental silk protein, sericin, suppresses colon tumorigenesis in 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-treated mice by reducing oxidative stress and cell proliferation. **Bioscience Biotechnology and Biochemistry**, 65(10), 2181-2186.
- [10] Zhaorigetu, S., M. Sasaki, and N. Kato. (2007). Consumption of sericin suppresses colon oxidative stress and aberrant crypt foci in 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-treated rats by colon undigested sericin. **Journal of Nutrition Science and Vitaminology (Tokyo)**, 53(3), 297-300.
- [11] Terada, S., M. Sasaki, K. Yanagihara, and H. Yamada. (2005). Preparation of silk protein sericin as mitogenic factor for better mammalian cell culture. **Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering**, 100(6), 667-671.

- [12] Ishida, H., H. Miwa, M. Tatsuta, S. Masutani, H. Imamura, J. Shimizu, et al. (2004). Ki-67 and CEA expression as prognostic markers in Dukes' C colorectal cancer. **Cancer Letters**, 207(1), 109-115.
- [13] Jemal, A., F. Bray, M.M. Center, J. Ferlay, E. Ward, and D. Forman. (2011). Global cancer statistics. **CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians**, 61(2), 69-90.
- [14] Parkin, D.M., F.I. Bray, and S.S. Devesa. (2001). Cancer burden in the year 2000. The global picture. **European Journal of Cancer**, 37(8), 4-66.
- [15] Kwak, E.L. and D.C. Chung. (2007). Hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes: an overview. **Clinical Colorectal Cancer**, 6(5), 340-344.
- [16] Labianca, R., G.D. Beretta, B. Kildani, L. Milesi, F. Merlin, S. Mosconi, et al. (2010). Colon cancer. **Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology**, 74(2), 106-133.
- [17] Singh, P.N. and G.E. Fraser. (1998). Dietary risk factors for colon cancer in a low-risk population. **American Journal of Epidemiology**, 148(8), 761-774.
- [18] Norat, T., A. Lukanova, P. Ferrari, and E. Riboli. (2002). Meat consumption and colorectal cancer risk: dose-response meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. **International Journal of Cancer**, 98(2), 241-256.
- [19] Giovannucci, E. and B. Goldin. (1997). The role of fat, fatty acids, and total energy intake in the etiology of human colon cancer. **American Journal of Clinical Nutrition**, 66(6), 1564S-1571S.
- [20] Sesink, A.L., D.S. Termont, J.H. Kleibeuker, and R. Van der Meer. (1999). Red meat and colon cancer: the cytotoxic and hyperproliferative effects of dietary heme. **Cancer Research**, 59(22), 5704-5709.
- [21] Larsson, S.C. and A. Wolk. (2006). Meat consumption and risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. **International Journal of Cancer**, 119(11), 2657-2664.
- [22] Larsson, S.C., J. Rutegard, L. Bergkvist, and A. Wolk. (2006). Physical activity, obesity, and risk of colon and rectal cancer in a cohort of Swedish men. **European Journal of Cancer**, 42(15), 2590-2597.
- [23] Bianchini, F., R. Kaaks, and H. Vainio. (2002). Overweight, obesity, and cancer risk. **Lancet Oncology**, 3(9), 565-574.

- [24] Akhter, M., S. Kuriyama, N. Nakaya, T. Shimazu, K. Ohmori, Y. Nishino, et al. (2007). Alcohol consumption is associated with an increased risk of distal colon and rectal cancer in Japanese men: the Miyagi Cohort Study. **European Journal of Cancer**, 43(2), 383-390.
- [25] Seitz, H.K., B. Maurer, and F. Stickel. (2005). Alcohol consumption and cancer of the gastrointestinal tract. **Digestive Diseases**, 23(3-4), 297-303.
- [26] Salaspuro, M.P. (2003). Alcohol consumption and cancer of the gastrointestinal tract. **Best Practice & Research in Clinical Gastroenterology**, 17(4), 679-694.
- [27] Slattery, M.L., K.M. Boucher, B.J. Caan, J.D. Potter, and K.N. Ma. (1998). Eating patterns and risk of colon cancer. **American Journal of Epidemiology**, 148(1), 4-16.
- [28] Bingham, S.A., N.E. Day, R. Luben, P. Ferrari, N. Slimani, T. Norat, et al. (2003). Dietary fibre in food and protection against colorectal cancer in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): an observational study. **Lancet**, 361(9368), 1496-1501.
- [29] Seddon, J.M., W.C. Willett, F.E. Speizer, and S.E. Hankinson. (1996). A prospective study of cigarette smoking and age-related macular degeneration in women. **The Journal of the American Medical Association**, 276(14), 1141-1146.
- [30] Ernest Hawk, R.L.P.L. (1999). Chemoprevention in hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes. **Cancer**, 86(11), 2551-2563.
- [31] Clinton, S.K., P.B. Imrey, H.J. Mangian, S. Nandkumar, and W.J. Vissek. (1992). The combined effects of dietary fat, protein, and energy intake on azoxymethane-induced intestinal and renal carcinogenesis. **Cancer Research**, 52(4), 857-865.
- [32] Gross, M.D. (2005). Vitamin D and calcium in the prevention of prostate and colon cancer: new approaches for the identification of needs. **Journal of Nutrition**, 135(2), 326-331.
- [33] Verhoeven, D.T., R.A. Goldbohm, G. van Poppel, H. Verhagen, and P.A. van den Brandt. (1996). Epidemiological studies on brassica vegetables and cancer risk. **Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention**, 5(9), 733-748.

- [34] Smith, T.K., E.K. Lund, and I.T. Johnson. (1998). Inhibition of dimethylhydrazine-induced aberrant crypt foci and induction of apoptosis in rat colon following oral administration of the glucosinolate sinigrin. **Carcinogenesis**, 19(2), 267-273.
- [35] Peter C , H.H. and W.A. Ilja C (2000). Flavonols, flavones and flavanols - nature, occurrence and dietary burden. **Journal of Science of Food and Agriculture**, 80(7), 1081-1093.
- [36] Gee, J.M., H. Hara, and I.T. Johnson. (2002). Suppression of intestinal crypt cell proliferation and aberrant crypt foci by dietary quercetin in rats. **Nutrition and Cancer**, 43(2), 193-201.
- [37] Gee, J.M. and I.T. Johnson. (2001). Polyphenolic compounds: interactions with the gut and implications for human health. **Current Medicinal Chemistry**, 8(11), 1245-1255.
- [38] Janne, P.A. and R.J. Mayer. (2000). Chemoprevention of Colorectal Cancer. **New England Journal of Medicine**, 342(26), 1960-1968.
- [39] Mirvish, S.S. (1986). Effects of vitamins C and E on n-nitroso compound formation, carcinogenesis, and cancer. **Cancer**, 58(8 Suppl), 1842-1850.
- [40] Gustin, D.M. and D.E. Brenner. (2002). Chemoprevention of colon cancer: current status and future prospects. **Cancer and Metastasis Reviews**, 21(3-4), 323-348.
- [41] Kleibeuker, J.H., R. van der Meer, and E.G.E. de Vries. (1995). Calcium and vitamin d: possible protective agents against colorectal cancer? **European Journal of Cancer**, 31(7-8), 1081-1084.
- [42] Pence, B.C. (1993). Role of calcium in colon cancer prevention: experimental and clinical studies. **Mutation Research-Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis**, 290(1), 87-95.
- [43] Lipkin, M. and H. Newmark. (1985). Effect of added dietary calcium on colonic epithelial-cell proliferation in subjects at high risk for familial colonic cancer. **New England Journal of Medicine**, 313(22), 1381-1384.
- [44] Ganther, H.E. (1999). Selenium metabolism, selenoproteins and mechanisms of cancer prevention: complexities with thioredoxin reductase. **Carcinogenesis**, 20(9), 1657-1666.

- [45] Rudolf, E., V. Kralova, and M. Cervinka. (2008). Selenium and colon cancer--from chemoprevention to new treatment modality. **Anticancer Agents Med Chem**, 8(6), 598-602.
- [46] Longtin, R. (2003). Selenium for prevention: eating your way to better DNA repair? **Journal of the National Cancer Institute**, 95(2), 98-100.
- [47] Connelly-Frost, A., C. Poole, J.A. Satia, L.L. Kupper, R.C. Millikan, and R.S. Sandler. (2009). Selenium, folate, and colon cancer. **Nutrition and Cancer**, 61(2), 165-178.
- [48] Ferguson, L.R. (2005). Does a diet rich in dietary fibre really reduce the risk of colon cancer? **Digestive and Liver Disease**, 37(3), 139-141.
- [49] Sengupta, S., J.J. Tjandra, and P.R. Gibson. (2001). Dietary fiber and colorectal neoplasia. **Diseases of the Colon and Rectum**, 44(7), 1016-1033.
- [50] Freeman, H.J., G.A. Spiller, and Y.S. Kim. (1980). A double-blind study on the effects of differing purified cellulose and pectin fiber diets on 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-induced rat colonic neoplasia. **Cancer Research**, 40(8), 2661-2665.
- [51] Zoran, D.L., N.D. Turner, S.S. Taddeo, R.S. Chapkin, and J.R. Lupton. (1997). Wheat bran diet reduces tumor incidence in a rat model of colon cancer independent of effects on distal luminal butyrate concentrations. **Journal of Nutrition**, 127(11), 2217-2225.
- [52] Takahashi, T., M. Satou, N. Watanabe, Y. Sakaitani, A. Takagi, K. Uchida, et al. (1999). Inhibitory effect of microfibril wheat bran on azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis in CF1 mice. **Cancer Letters**, 141(1-2), 139-146.
- [53] Kritchevsky, D. (1999). Protective role of wheat bran fiber: preclinical data. **American Journal of Medicine**, 106(1), 28-31.
- [54] Berlau, J., M. Gleib, and B.L. Pool-Zobel. (2004). Colon cancer risk factors from nutrition. **Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry**, 378(3), 737-743.
- [55] Hawrylewicz, E.J., J.J. Zapata, and W.H. Blair. (1995). Soy and experimental cancer: animal studies. **Journal of Nutrition**, 125(3), 698S-708S.
- [56] Hakkak, R., S. Korourian, M.J. Ronis, J.M. Johnston, and T.M. Badger. (2001). Soy protein isolate consumption protects against azoxymethane-induced colon tumors in male rats. **Cancer Letters**, 166(1), 27-32.

- [57] Badger, T.M., M.J. Ronis, R.C. Simmen, and F.A. Simmen. (2005). Soy protein isolate and protection against cancer. **Journal of the American College of Nutrition**, 24(2), 146S-149S.
- [58] Kahlon, T.S. and C.L. Woodruff. (2002). *In vitro* binding of bile acids by soy protein, pinto beans, black beans and wheat gluten. **Food Chemistry**, 79(4), 425-429.
- [59] Liu, Z., W. Ishikawa, X. Huang, H. Tomotake, J. Kayashita, H. Watanabe, et al. (2001). A buckwheat protein product suppresses 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-induced colon carcinogenesis in rats by reducing cell proliferation. **Journal of Nutrition**, 131(6), 1850-1853.
- [60] Belobrajdic, D.P., G.H. McIntosh, and J.A. Owens. (2003). Whey proteins protect more than red meat against azoxymethane induced ACF in Wistar rats. **Cancer Letters**, 198(1), 43-51.
- [61] Hakkak, R., S. Korourian, M.J.J. Ronis, J.M. Johnston, and T.M. Badger. (2001). Dietary whey protein protects against azoxymethane-induced colon tumors in male rats. **Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention**, 10(5), 555-558.
- [62] Xiao, R., J.A. Carter, A.L. Linz, M. Ferguson, T.M. Badger, and F.A. Simmen. (2006). Dietary whey protein lowers serum c-peptide concentration and duodenal SREBP-1c mRNA abundance, and reduces occurrence of duodenal tumors and colon aberrant crypt foci in azoxymethane-treated male rats. **The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry**, 17(9), 626-634.
- [63] Bird, R.P. (1995). Role of aberrant crypt foci in understanding the pathogenesis of colon cancer. **Cancer Letters**, 93(1), 55-71.
- [64] Bruce, W.R. and D.E. Corpet. (1996). The colonic protein fermentation and insulin resistance hypotheses for colon cancer etiology: experimental tests using precursor lesions. **European Journal of Cancer Prevention**, 5(2), 41-47.
- [65] Takayama, T., S. Katsuki, Y. Takahashi, M. Ohi, S. Nojiri, S. Sakamaki, et al. (1998). Aberrant crypt foci of the colon as precursors of adenoma and cancer. **New England Journal of Medicine**, 339(18), 1277-1284.

- [66] Bird, R.P. and C.K. Good. (2000). The significance of aberrant crypt foci in understanding the pathogenesis of colon cancer. **Toxicology Letters**, 112-113, 395-402.
- [67] Whiteley, L.O. (1999). Colonic mucosal aberrant crypt foci: are they useful intermediate endpoints for predicting and understanding the development of colonic mucosal neoplasia? **Toxicologic Pathology**, 27(6), 643-644.
- [68] Bird, R.P. (1981). Role of aberrant crypt foci in understanding the pathogenesis of colon cancer. **Cancer Letters**, 93, 55-71.
- [69] Tanaka, T. (2009). Colorectal carcinogenesis: review of human and experimental animal studies. **Journal of Carcinogenesis**, 8, 5.
- [70] Corpet, D.E. and F. Pierre. (2005). How good are rodent models of carcinogenesis in predicting efficacy in humans? a systematic review and meta-analysis of colon chemoprevention in rats, mice and men. **European Journal of Cancer**, 41(13), 1911-1922.
- [71] Perse, M. and A. Cerar. (2011). Morphological and molecular alterations in 1,2 dimethylhydrazine and azoxymethane induced colon carcinogenesis in rats. **Journal of Biomedicine & Biotechnology**, 2011, 473964.
- [72] Mori, H., Y. Yamada, T. Kuno, and Y. Hirose. (2004). Aberrant crypt foci and [beta]-catenin accumulated crypts; significance and roles for colorectal carcinogenesis. **Mutation Research**, 566(3), 191-208.
- [73] Ochiai, M., M. Ushigome, K. Fujiwara, T. Ubagai, T. Kawamori, T. Sugimura, et al. (2003). Characterization of dysplastic aberrant crypt foci in the rat colon induced by 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine. **American Journal of Pathology**, 163(4), 1607-1614.
- [74] Caderni, G., A.P. Femia, A. Giannini, A. Favuzza, C. Luceri, M. Salvadori, et al. (2003). Identification of mucin-depleted foci in the unsectioned colon of azoxymethane-treated rats: correlation with carcinogenesis. **Cancer Research**, 63(10), 2388-2392.

- [75] Yamada, Y., N. Yoshimi, Y. Hirose, K. Kawabata, K. Matsunaga, M. Shimizu, et al. (2000). Frequent beta-catenin gene mutations and accumulations of the protein in the putative preneoplastic lesions lacking macroscopic aberrant crypt foci appearance, in rat colon carcinogenesis. **Cancer Research**, 60(13), 3323-3327.
- [76] Marian, B. (2002). *In vitro* models for the identification and characterization of tumor-promoting and protective factors for colon carcinogenesis. **Food and Chemical Toxicology**, 40(8), 1099-1104.
- [77] McLellan, E.A. and R.P. Bird. (1988). Aberrant crypts: potential preneoplastic lesions in the murine colon. **Cancer Research**, 48(21), 6187-6192.
- [78] Bertram, J.S. (2000). The molecular biology of cancer. **Molecular Aspects of Medicine**, 21(6), 167-223.
- [79] Sun, S.Y., N. Hail, Jr., and R. Lotan. (2004). Apoptosis as a novel target for cancer chemoprevention. **Journal of the National Cancer Institute**, 96(9), 662-672.
- [80] Sporn, M.B. (1976). Approaches to prevention of epithelial cancer during the preneoplastic period. **Cancer Research**, 36(7), 2699-2702.
- [81] Sato, M. and D.J. Ahnen. (1992). Regional variability of colonocyte growth and differentiation in the rat. **Anatomical Record**, 233(3), 409-414.
- [82] Deschner, E.E. (1982). Early proliferative changes in gastrointestinal neoplasia. **American Journal of Gastroenterology**, 77(4), 207-211.
- [83] Wyllie, A.H. (1985). The biology of cell death in tumours. **Anticancer Research**, 5(1), 131-136.
- [84] Kerr, J.F., A.H. Wyllie, and A.R. Currie. (1972). Apoptosis: a basic biological phenomenon with wide-ranging implications in tissue kinetics. **British Journal of Cancer**, 26(4), 239-257.
- [85] Elmore, S. (2007). Apoptosis: a review of programmed cell death. **Toxicologic Pathology**, 35(4), 495-516.
- [86] Yan, N. and Y. Shi. (2005). Mechanisms of apoptosis through structural biology. **Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology**, 21(1), 35-56.

- [87] Hockenbery, D.M., M. Zutter, W. Hickey, M. Nahm, and S.J. Korsmeyer. (1991). BCL2 protein is topographically restricted in tissues characterized by apoptotic cell death. **Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America**, 88(16), 6961-6965.
- [88] Novack, D.V. and S.J. Korsmeyer. (1994). Bcl-2 protein expression during murine development. **American Journal of Pathology**, 145(1), 61-73.
- [89] Oltvai, Z.N., C.L. Millman, and S.J. Korsmeyer. (1993). Bcl-2 heterodimerizes *in vivo* with a conserved homolog, Bax, that accelerates programmed cell death. **Cell**, 74(4), 609-619.
- [90] Hague, A., M. Moorthen, D. Hicks, M. Chapman, and C. Paraskeva. (1994). Bcl-2 expression in human colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. **Oncogene**, 9(11), 3367-3370.
- [91] Paul-Samojedny, M., D. Kokocinska, A. Samojedny, U. Mazurek, R. Partyka, Z. Lorenz, et al. (2005). Expression of cell survival/death genes: Bcl-2 and Bax at the rate of colon cancer prognosis. **Biochimica et Biophysica Acta**, 1741(1-2), 25-29.
- [92] Sinicrope, F.A., S.B. Ruan, K.R. Cleary, L.C. Stephens, J.J. Lee, and B. Levin. (1995). Bcl-2 and p53 oncoprotein expression during colorectal tumorigenesis. **Cancer Research**, 55(2), 237-241.
- [93] Miyashita, T., M. Harigai, M. Hanada, and J.C. Reed. (1994). Identification of a p53-dependent negative response element in the bcl-2 gene. **Cancer Research**, 54(12), 3131-3135.
- [94] Watson, A.J., A.J. Merritt, L.S. Jones, J.N. Askew, E. Anderson, A. Becciolini, et al. (1996). Evidence of reciprocity of bcl-2 and p53 expression in human colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. **British Journal of Cancer**, 73(8), 889-895.
- [95] Vermeulen, K., D.R. Van Bockstaele, and Z.N. Berneman. (2003). The cell cycle: a review of regulation, deregulation and therapeutic targets in cancer. **Cell Proliferation**, 36(3), 131-149.
- [96] Schafer, K.A. (1998). The cell cycle: a review. **Veterinary Pathology**, 35(6), 461-478.

- [97] Wang, W., L. Heideman, C.S. Chung, J.C. Pelling, K.J. Koehler, and D.F. Birt. (2000). Cell-cycle arrest at G2/M and growth inhibition by apigenin in human colon carcinoma cell lines. **Molecular Carcinogenesis**, 28(2), 102-110.
- [98] Joe, A.K., H. Liu, M. Suzui, M.E. Vural, D. Xiao, and I.B. Weinstein. (2002). Resveratrol induces growth inhibition, S-phase arrest, apoptosis, and changes in biomarker expression in several human cancer cell lines. **Clinical Cancer Research**, 8(3), 893-903.
- [99] Foster, I. (2008). Cancer: A cell cycle defect. **Radiography**, 14(2), 144-149.
- [100] Upasani, C.D., A. Khera, and R. Balaraman. (2001). Effect of lead with vitamin E, C, or Spirulina on malondialdehyde, conjugated dienes and hydroperoxides in rats. **Indian Journal of Experimental Biology**, 39(1), 70-74.
- [101] Offringa, R. (2005). Tumour immunology exploitation of the weapon of immune destruction for cancer therapy: taking aim before firing. **Current Opinion in Immunology**, 17(2), 159-162.
- [102] Dalerba, P., C. Maccalli, C. Casati, C. Castelli, and G. Parmiani. (2003). Immunology and immunotherapy of colorectal cancer. **Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology**, 46(1), 33-57.
- [103] Dunn, G.P., A.T. Bruce, H. Ikeda, L.J. Old, and R.D. Schreiber. (2002). Cancer immunoediting: from immunosurveillance to tumor escape. **Nature Immunology**, 3(11), 991-998.
- [104] Shunyakov, L., C.K. Ryan, D.M. Sahasrabudhe, and A.A. Khorana. (2004). The influence of host response on colorectal cancer prognosis. **Clinical Colorectal Cancer**, 4(1), 38-45.
- [105] Sica, A., A. Saccani, and A. Mantovani. (2002). Tumor-associated macrophages: a molecular perspective. **International Immunopharmacology**, 2(8), 1045-1054.
- [106] Mantovani, A., S. Sozzani, M. Locati, P. Allavena, and A. Sica. (2002). Macrophage polarization: tumor-associated macrophages as a paradigm for polarized M2 mononuclear phagocytes. **Trends in Immunology**, 23(11), 549-555.

- [107] Coca, S., J. Perez-Piqueras, D. Martinez, A. Colmenarejo, M.A. Saez, C. Vallejo, et al. (1997). The prognostic significance of intratumoral natural killer cells in patients with colorectal carcinoma. **Cancer**, 79(12), 2320-2328.
- [108] Natascha, C.N., J.S. Barbara, P. Martina, and K.N. Andreas. (2007). Reduced NK-cell activity in patients with metastatic colon cancer. **Excli Journal**.
- [109] Bourgeois, C. and C. Tanchot. (2003). Mini-review CD4 T cells are required for CD8 T cell memory generation. **European Journal of Immunology**, 33(12), 3225-3231.
- [110] Atreya, I. and M.F. Neurath. (2008). Immune cells in colorectal cancer: prognostic relevance and therapeutic strategies. **Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy**, 8(4), 561-572.
- [111] Terzic, J., S. Grivennikov, E. Karin, and M. Karin. (2010). Inflammation and colon cancer. **Gastroenterology**, 138(6), 2101-2114.
- [112] Waldner, M., C.C. Schimanski, and M.F. Neurath. (2006). Colon cancer and the immune system: the role of tumor invading T cells. **World Journal of Gastroenterology**, 12(45), 7233-7238.
- [113] Shimizu, J., S. Yamazaki, and S. Sakaguchi. (1999). Induction of tumor immunity by removing CD25⁺CD4⁺ T cells: a common basis between tumor immunity and autoimmunity. **Journal of Immunology**, 163(10), 5211-5218.
- [114] Nishida, A., M. Yamada, T. Kanazawa, Y. Takashima, K. Ouchi, and H. Okada. (2011). Sustained-release of protein from biodegradable sericin film, gel and sponge. **International Journal of Pharmaceutics**, 407(1-2), 44-52.
- [115] Takasu, Y., H. Yamada, and K. Tsubouchi. (2002). Isolation of three main sericin components from the cocoon of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. **Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry**, 66(12), 2715-2718.
- [116] Kundu, S.C., B.C. Dash, R. Dash, and D.L. Kaplan. (2008). Natural protective glue protein, sericin bioengineered by silkworms: potential for biomedical and biotechnological applications. **Progress in Polymer Science**, 33(10), 998-1012.
- [117] Kweon, H.Y. and C.S. Cho. (2001). Biomedical applications of silk protein. **International Journal of Industrial Entomology**, 3, 1-6.

- [118] Tao, W., M. Li, and R. Xie. (2005). Preparation and structure of porous silk sericin materials. **Macromolecular Materials and Engineering**, 290, 188-194.
- [119] Zhang, Y.Q. (2002). Applications of natural silk protein sericin in biomaterials. **Biotechnology Advances**, 20(2), 91-100.
- [120] Mahesh, N.P., P.P. Atmaram, V.D. Aarti, and K.R. Mahadik. (2005). Silk sericin as a moisturizer: an *in vivo* study. **Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology**, 4(4), 250-257.
- [121] Kato, N., S. Sato, A. Yamanaka, H. Yamada, N. Fuwa, and M. Nomura. (1998). Silk protein, sericin, inhibits lipid peroxidation and tyrosinase activity. **Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry**, 62(1), 145-147.
- [122] Mondal, M., K. Trivedy, and S. Nirmal Kumar. (2007). The silk proteins, sericin and fibroin in silkworm, *bombyx mori* Linn.,- a review. **Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences**, 5, 63-76.
- [123] Suzuki, N., A. Fujimura, T. Nagai, I. Mizumoto, T. Itami, H. Hatate, et al. (2004). Antioxidative activity of animal and vegetable dietary fibers. **Biofactors**, 21(1-4), 329-333.
- [124] Tamada, Y., M. Sano, K. Niwa, T. Imai, and G. Yoshino. (2004). Sulfation of silk sericin and anticoagulant activity of sulfated sericin. **Journal of Biomaterials Science, Polymer Edition**, 15(8), 971-980.
- [125] Dash, R., M. Mandal, S.K. Ghosh, and S.C. Kundu. (2008). Silk sericin protein of tropical tasar silkworm inhibits UVB-induced apoptosis in human skin keratinocytes. **Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry**, 311(1-2), 111-119.
- [126] Aramwit, P., S. Kanokpanont, W. De-Eknamkul, and T. Srichana. (2009). Monitoring of inflammatory mediators induced by silk sericin. **Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering**, 107(5), 556-561.
- [127] Panilaitis, B., G.H. Altman, J. Chen, H.-J. Jin, V. Karageorgiou, and D.L. Kaplan. (2003). Macrophage responses to silk. **Biomaterials**, 24(18), 3079-3085.
- [128] Dewair, M., X. Baur, and K. Ziegler. (1985). Use of immunoblot technique for detection of human IgE and IgG antibodies to individual silk proteins. **Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology**, 76(4), 537-542.

- [129] Zaoming, W., R. Codina, E. Fernandez-Caldas, and R.F. Lockey. (1996). Partial characterization of the silk allergens in mulberry silk extract. **Journal of Investigational Allergology and Clinical Immunology**, 6(4), 237-241.
- [130] Li, Y.G., D.F. Ji, T.B. Lin, S. Zhong, G.Y. Hu, and S. Chen. (2008). Protective effect of sericin peptide against alcohol-induced gastric injury in mice. **Chinese Medical Journal**, 121(20), 2083-2087.
- [131] Sasaki, M., H. Yamada, and N. Kato. (2000). A resistant protein, sericin improves atropine-induced constipation in rats. **Food Science and Technology Research**, 6(4), 280-283.
- [132] Sasaki, M., H. Yamada, and N. Kato. (2000). Consumption of silk protein, sericin elevates intestinal absorption of zinc, iron, magnesium and calcium in rats. **Nutrition Research**, 20(10), 1505-1511.
- [133] Veronese, F.M. and M. Morpurgo. (1999). Bioconjugation in pharmaceutical chemistry. **Farmaco**, 54(8), 497-516.
- [134] Zhang, Y.-Q., Y. Ma, Y.-Y. Xia, W.-D. Shen, J.-P. Mao, and R.-Y. Xue. (2006). Silk sericin-insulin bioconjugates: synthesis, characterization and biological activity. **Journal of Controlled Release**, 115(3), 307-315.
- [135] Terada, S., T. Nishimura, M. Sasaki, H. Yamada, and M. Miki. (2002). Sericin, a protein derived from silkworms, accelerates the proliferation of several mammalian cell lines including a hybridoma. **Cytotechnology**, 40(1-3), 3-12.
- [136] Takahashi, M., K. Tsujimoto, H. Yamada, H. Takagi, and S. Nakamori. (2003). The silk protein, sericin, protects against cell death caused by acute serum deprivation in insect cell culture. **Biotechnology Letters**, 25(21), 1805-1809.
- [137] Manoj, G., B.S.H. Thampi, S. Leelamma, and P.V.G. Menon. (2001). Effect of dietary fiber on the activity of intestinal and fecal beta-glucuronidase activity during 1,2-dimethylhydrazine induced colon carcinogenesis. **Plant Foods for Human Nutrition (Formerly Qualitas Plantarum)**, 56(1), 13-21.
- [138] Furtado, R.A., E.P. Rodrigues, F.R. Araujo, W.L. Oliveira, M.A. Furtado, M.B. Castro, et al. (2008). Ursolic acid and oleanolic acid suppress preneoplastic lesions induced by 1,2-dimethylhydrazine in rat colon. **Toxicologic Pathology**, 36(4), 576-580.

- [139] Ferreira, C.G., M. Epping, F.A. Kruyt, and G. Giaccone. (2002). Apoptosis: target of cancer therapy. **Clinical Cancer Research**, 8(7), 2024-2034.
- [140] Wang, Z.B., Y.Q. Liu, and Y.F. Cui. (2005). Pathways to caspase activation. **Cell Biology International**, 29(7), 489-496.
- [141] Stennicke, H.R. and G.S. Salvesen. (1998). Properties of the caspases. **Biochimica et Biophysica Acta**, 1387(1-2), 17-31.
- [142] Shi, Y. (2002). Mechanisms of caspase activation and inhibition during apoptosis. **Molecular Cell**, 9(3), 459-470.
- [143] Orrenius, S., V. Gogvadze, and B. Zhivotovsky. (2007). Mitochondrial oxidative stress: implications for cell death. **Annual Review of Pharmacology**, 47(1), 143-183.
- [144] Cory, S. and J.M. Adams. (2002). The Bcl2 family: regulators of the cellular life-or-death switch. **Nature Reviews Cancer**, 2(9), 647-656.
- [145] Gross, A., J.M. McDonnell, and S.J. Korsmeyer. (1999). Bcl-2 family members and the mitochondria in apoptosis. **Genes and Development**, 13(15), 1899-1911.
- [146] Rong, Y. and C.W. Distelhorst. (2008). Bcl-2 Protein Family Members: Versatile Regulators of Calcium Signaling in Cell Survival and Apoptosis. **Annual Review of Physiology**, 70(1), 73-91.
- [147] Chiu, S.J., T.S. Hsu, and J.I. Chao. (2006). Opposing securin and p53 protein expression in the oxaliplatin-induced cytotoxicity of human colorectal cancer cells. **Toxicology Letters**, 167(2), 122-130.
- [148] He, Y.J., B.H. Liu, D.B. Xiang, Z.Y. Qiao, T. Fu, and Y.H. He. (2006). Inhibitory effect of caffeic acid phenethyl ester on the growth of SW480 colorectal tumor cells involves beta-catenin associated signaling pathway down-regulation. **World Journal of Gastroenterology**, 12(31), 4981-4985.
- [149] Bird, R.P. (1987). Observation and quantification of aberrant crypts in the murine colon treated with a colon carcinogen: preliminary findings. **Cancer Letters**, 37, 147 - 151.
- [150] Oravec, C.T., C.A. Jones, and E. Huberman. (1986). Activation of the colon carcinogen 1,2-dimethylhydrazine in a rat colon cell-mediated mutagenesis assay. **Cancer Research**, 46(10), 5068-5071.

- [151] Celik, C., D.A. Lewis, and A. Mittleman. (1983). Induction of colon mucosal beta-glucuronidase production as a mechanism for 1,2-dimethylhydrazine colon carcinogenesis. **Journal of Surgical Oncology**, 24(3), 209-211.
- [152] McLellan, E.A., A. Medline, and R.P. Bird. (1991). Dose response and proliferative characteristics of aberrant crypt foci: putative preneoplastic lesions in rat colon. **Carcinogenesis**, 12(11), 2093-2098.
- [153] Maskens, A.P. and R.M. Dujardin-Loits. (1981). Kinetics of tissue proliferation in colorectal mucosa during post-natal growth. **Cell and Tissue Kinetics**, 14(5), 467-477.
- [154] Jen, J., S.M. Powell, N. Papadopoulos, K.J. Smith, S.R. Hamilton, B. Vogelstein, et al. (1994). Molecular determinants of dysplasia in colorectal lesions. **Cancer Research**, 54(21), 5523-5526.
- [155] Pretlow, T.P., M.A. O'Riordan, G.A. Somich, S.B. Amini, and T.G. Pretlow. (1992). Aberrant crypts correlate with tumor incidence in F344 rats treated with azoxymethane and phytate. **Carcinogenesis**, 13(9), 1509-1512.
- [156] Fenoglio-Preiser, C.M. and A. Noffsinger. (1999). Aberrant crypt foci: a review. **Toxicologic Pathology**, 27, 632-642.
- [157] Dudeja, P.K. and T.A. Brasitus. (1990). 1,2-Dimethylhydrazine-induced alterations in lipid peroxidation in preneoplastic and neoplastic colonic tissues. **Biochem et Biophysica Acta**, 1046(3), 267-270.
- [158] Huang, Y.L., J.Y. Sheu, and T.H. Lin. (1999). Association between oxidative stress and changes of trace elements in patients with breast cancer. **Clinical Biochemistry**, 32(2), 131-136.
- [159] Samir, M. and N.M. el Kholy. (1999). Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances in patients with laryngeal cancer. **Clinical Otolaryngology and Allied Sciences**, 24(3), 232-234.
- [160] Devasena, T., V.P. Menon, and K.N. Rajasekharan. (2006). Prevention of 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-induced circulatory oxidative stress by bis-1,7-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-hepta-1,6-diene-3,5-dione during colon carcinogenesis. **Pharmacological Reports**, 58(2), 229-235.

- [161] Newmark, H.L., M. Lipkin, and N. Maheshwari. (1991). Colonic hyperproliferation induced in rats and mice by nutritional-stress diets containing four components of a human Western-style diet (series 2). **American Journal of Clinical Nutrition**, 54(1), 209S-214S.
- [162] Flohil, C.C., P.A. Janssen, and F.T. Bosman. (1996). Expression of Bcl-2 protein in hyperplastic polyps, adenomas, and carcinomas of the colon. **Journal of Pathology**, 178(4), 393-397.
- [163] Meterissian, S.H., M. Kontogiannia, M. Al-Sowaidi, A. Linjawi, F. Halwani, B. Jamison, et al. (2001). Bcl-2 is a useful prognostic marker in Dukes' B colon cancer. **Annals of Surgical Oncology**, 8(6), 533-537.
- [164] Boise, L.H., M. Gonzalez-Garcia, C.E. Postema, L. Ding, T. Lindsten, L.A. Turka, et al. (1993). bcl-x, a bcl-2-related gene that functions as a dominant regulator of apoptotic cell death. **Cell**, 74(4), 597-608.
- [165] Roller, M., A. Pietro Femia, G. Caderni, G. Rechkemmer, and B. Watzl. (2004). Intestinal immunity of rats with colon cancer is modulated by oligofructose-enriched inulin combined with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and *Bifidobacterium lactis*. **British Journal of Nutrition**, 92(6), 931-938.
- [166] Schultze, J., L.M. Nadler, and J.G. Gribben. (1996). B7-mediated costimulation and the immune response. **Blood Reviews**, 10(2), 111-127.
- [167] Ohtani, H., Y. Naito, K. Saito, and H. Nagura. (1997). Expression of costimulatory molecules B7-1 and B7-2 by macrophages along invasive margin of colon cancer: a possible antitumor immunity? **Laboratory Investigation**, 77(3), 231-241.
- [168] McMillan, D.C., G.D. Fyffe, H.A. Wotherspoon, T.G. Cooke, and C.S. McArdle. (1997). Prospective study of circulating T-lymphocyte subpopulations and disease progression in colorectal cancer. **Diseases of the Colon and Rectum**, 40(9), 1068-1071.
- [169] Olsson, L. (1982). Malignant tumors and immunity : the impact of tumor heterogeneity, the importance of autoreactivity, and the effect of immune adjuvants. **Cancer Biological Reviews**, 3, 97-151.

- [172] Springer, T.A. (1990). Adhesion receptors of the immune system. **Nature**, 346(6283), 425-434.
- [173] Ebert, E.C., R.E. Brolin, and A.I. Roberts. (1989). Characterization of activated lymphocytes in colon cancer. **Clinical Immunology and Immunopathology**, 50(1), 72-81.
- [174] Keilholz, U., J. Weber, J.H. Finke, D.I. Gabrilovich, W.M. Kast, M.L. Disis, et al. (2002). Immunologic monitoring of cancer vaccine therapy: results of a workshop sponsored by the society for biological therapy. **Journal of Immunotherapy**, 25(2), 97-138.
- [175] Pierre, F., P. Perrin, E. Bassonga, F. Bornet, K. Meflah, and J. Menanteau. (1999). T cell status influences colon tumor occurrence in min mice fed short chain fructo-oligosaccharides as a diet supplement. **Carcinogenesis**, 20(10), 1953-1956.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX A EVALUATION OF CELL VIABILITY OF SW480 AND FHC CELLS BY MTT ASSAY

Table 16 Effect of various concentrations of sericin on SW480 cell viability after incubation for 24 h.

Sericin concentration (µg/ml)	Cell viability (% of control)		
	Sericin A	Sericin B	Sericin C
0	91.67 ± 7.29	97.19 ± 5.62	105.04 ± 6.52
25	94.59 ± 1.84	98.89 ± 5.49	108.68 ± 2.86
50	91.08 ± 5.64	108.43 ± 10.55	103.55 ± 5.68
100	85.35 ± 2.60	111.28 ± 16.43	101.29 ± 6.49
200	90.62 ± 5.19	94.84 ± 13.13	87.93 ± 7.60
400	79.13 ± 7.00	87.81 ± 8.79	82.85 ± 7.46*
800	95.48 ± 11.55	87.71 ± 10.82	86.90 ± 12.01
1,600	88.22 ± 3.42	86.75 ± 7.72	80.21 ± 9.38

Source: Values are mean ± SEM of 4 experiments

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control cells (PBS-treated cells)

Table 17 Effect of various concentrations of sericin on SW480 cell viability after incubation for 48 h

Sericin concentration (µg/ml)	Cell viability (% of control)		
	Sericin A	Sericin B	Sericin C
0	101.28 ± 3.95	103.33 ± 4.68	101.81 ± 2.18
25	99.84 ± 2.92	103.47 ± 5.90	97.84 ± 3.30
50	100.89 ± 3.96	91.35 ± 3.65	93.07 ± 3.16*
100	100.10 ± 7.32	89.63 ± 2.01*	87.89 ± 2.58*
200	106.33 ± 9.18	84.87 ± 2.43*	82.97 ± 5.41*
400	99.16 ± 10.31	80.80 ± 2.04*	77.98 ± 3.63*
800	97.09 ± 11.68	77.35 ± 7.28*	74.24 ± 2.65*
1,600	92.26 ± 9.52	77.30 ± 10.44*	70.96 ± 5.81*

Source: Values are mean ± SEM of 4 experiments

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control cells (PBS-treated cells)

Table 18 Effect of various concentrations of sericin on SW480 cell viability after incubation for 72 h

Sericin concentration (µg/ml)	Cell viability (% of control)		
	Sericin A	Sericin B	Sericin C
0	102.06 ± 5.18	96.27 ± 2.36	91.19 ± 3.79
25	88.96 ± 7.02	91.74 ± 4.82	89.76 ± 10.22
50	86.68 ± 10.67	89.52 ± 10.83	96.95 ± 6.61
100	84.55 ± 4.12*	81.98 ± 3.35*	84.79 ± 7.37
200	84.84 ± 4.03*	74.10 ± 5.53*	84.87 ± 8.21
400	80.34 ± 8.99*	73.59 ± 5.49*	71.20 ± 4.37*
800	64.80 ± 1.03*	70.22 ± 3.37*	68.98 ± 10.53
1,600	69.78 ± 6.08*	62.55 ± 6.71*	61.77 ± 6.35*

Source: Values are mean ± SEM of 4 experiments

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control cells (PBS-treated cells)

Table 19 Effect of various concentrations of sericin on FHC cell viability after incubation for 24 h

Sericin concentration (µg/ml)	Cell viability (% of control)		
	Sericin A	Sericin B	Sericin C
0	97.46 ± 0.46	105.97 ± 9.01	107.32 ± 3.10
25	90.05 ± 3.60	98.08 ± 2.21	93.23 ± 6.72
50	100.36 ± 6.25	99.30 ± 7.21	97.03 ± 14.16
100	102.07 ± 5.80	97.87 ± 14.76	84.89 ± 9.29*
200	95.33 ± 7.93	93.79 ± 14.93	88.35 ± 5.34*
400	89.88 ± 11.29	96.65 ± 15.78	84.32 ± 6.10*
800	89.51 ± 12.52	93.64 ± 13.51	85.40 ± 14.30
1600	86.23 ± 15.03	82.96 ± 8.75	83.33 ± 11.13

Source: Values are mean ± SEM of 4 experiments

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control cells (PBS-treated cells)

Table 20 Effect of various concentrations of sericin on FHC cell viability after incubation for 48 h

Sericin concentration ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	Cell viability (% of control)		
	Sericin A	Sericin B	Sericin C
0	97.66 ± 1.00	93.35 ± 2.61	96.62 ± 1.64
25	$87.83 \pm 1.91^*$	$84.89 \pm 4.02^*$	$85.22 \pm 4.10^*$
50	$90.73 \pm 1.13^*$	$85.75 \pm 2.29^*$	$88.48 \pm 1.32^*$
100	$89.50 \pm 2.19^*$	$82.60 \pm 4.90^*$	$84.61 \pm 0.33^*$
200	89.69 ± 4.80	$79.25 \pm 2.18^*$	$80.76 \pm 2.64^*$
400	$85.50 \pm 4.90^*$	$75.64 \pm 4.24^*$	$78.72 \pm 2.11^*$
800	$84.63 \pm 3.94^*$	$75.00 \pm 5.30^*$	$74.91 \pm 4.67^*$
1600	$86.25 \pm 2.09^*$	$74.92 \pm 6.32^*$	$73.43 \pm 3.71^*$

Source: Values are mean $\pm$ SEM

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control cells (PBS-treated cells)

Table 21 Effect of various concentrations of sericin on FHC cell viability after incubation for 72 h

Sericin concentration (µg/ml)	Cell viability (% of control)		
	Sericin A	Sericin B	Sericin C
0	97.46 ± 0.46	94.01 ± 3.50	94.81 ± 3.70
25	84.89 ± 7.93	85.47 ± 7.39	84.66 ± 3.37
50	84.60 ± 9.37	81.73 ± 4.93	83.21 ± 4.59
100	80.50 ± 7.50*	75.24 ± 5.18*	79.77 ± 5.79
200	78.56 ± 7.46*	70.89 ± 1.85*	75.37 ± 8.65
400	76.86 ± 7.60*	67.59 ± 2.01*	70.95 ± 5.89*
800	80.51 ± 7.84	68.45 ± 1.59*	69.47 ± 4.93*
1600	81.16 ± 7.44	66.71 ± 1.97*	69.09 ± 4.42*

Source: Values are mean ± SEM

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control cells (PBS-treated cells)

APPENDIX B EVALUATION OF CELL APOPTOSIS OF SW480 AND FHC CELLS BY FLOW CYTOMETER

Table 22 Effect of various concentrations of sericin on SW480 cell apoptosis

Treatment	Percentage of cells		
	Early apoptosis	Late apoptosis	Necrosis
SW480 cells	2.72 ± 1.54	4.81 ± 1.27	2.38 ± 1.47
PBS	3.04 ± 2.47	5.85 ± 3.26	2.66 ± 2.05
Sericin A	1.37 ± 1.17	10.96 ± 2.38	3.12 ± 2.46
Sericin B	1.16 ± 0.98	10.83 ± 2.49*	2.44 ± 1.66
Sericin C	2.78 ± 1.36	5.92 ± 2.32*	2.44 ± 2.22

Source: Values are mean ± SD

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control cells (DMEM/F-12-treated cells)

Table 23 Effect of various concentrations of sericin on FHC cell apoptosis

Treatment	Percentage of cells		
	Early apoptosis	Late apoptosis	Necrosis
FHC cells	3.08 ± 1.83	2.84 ± 0.37	2.98 ± 2.20
PBS	3.30 ± 1.74	2.94 ± 0.38	2.83 ± 2.03
Sericin A	2.22 ± 1.37	2.24 ± 0.90	2.32 ± 1.68
Sericin B	2.33 ± 1.47	2.11 ± 0.83	2.75 ± 2.10
Sericin C	2.64 ± 1.43	2.27 ± 0.76	2.26 ± 1.43

Source: Values are mean ± SD

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control cells (DMEM/F-12-treated cells)

APPENDIX C EVALUATION OF CELL APOPTOSIS OF SW480 AND FHC CELLS BY CASPASE-3 ASSAY

Table 24 Effect of sericin on caspase-3 activity

Treatment	Caspase-3 activity (pmol AMC/min/mg protein)
SW480 cells	610.30 ± 132.21
PBS	604.35 ± 231.23
Sericin A	884.78 ± 491.51
Sericin B	1522.53 ± 296.52*
Sericin C	856.53 ± 211.30

Source: Values are mean ± SD

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control cells (DMEM/F-12-treated cells)

APPENDIX D EVALUATION OF CELL APOPTOSIS OF SW480 CELLS BY WESTERN BLOTTING

Table 25 Effect of sericin on Bcl-2 expression

Treatment	Density (% of control)
PBS	107.71 ± 19.02
Sericin A	90.97 ± 2.59
Sericin B	85.10 ± 9.68
Sericin C	82.27 ± 8.86*

Source: Values are mean ± SD

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control cells (PBS-treated cells)



Table 26 Effect of sericin Bax expression

Treatment	Density (% of control)
PBS	92.46 ± 9.02
Sericin A	90.20 ± 13.10
Sericin B	87.19 ± 16.37
Sericin C	90.43 ± 12.39

Source: Values are mean ± SD

APPENDIX D EVALUATION OF CELL CYCLE OF SW480 AND FHC CELLS BY FLOW CYTOMETER

Table 27 Effect of sericin on cell cycle of SW480 cells

Treatment	SW480 cells		
	G0/G1	S	G2/M
Untreated	60.46 ± 6.17	19.22 ± 6.33	16.49 ± 8.09
PBS	66.20 ± 2.67	13.54 ± 3.08	20.11 ± 5.90
Sericin A	67.91 ± 1.41	11.00 ± 2.13	20.21 ± 0.66
Sericin B	72.37 ± 5.58	11.11 ± 2.61	17.01 ± 5.09
Sericin C	68.65 ± 1.67	9.81 ± 4.57	18.95 ± 1.30

Source: Values are mean ± SD

Table 28 Effect of sericin on cell cycle of FHC cells

Treatment	FHC cells		
	G0/G1	S	G2/M
Untreated	69.79 ± 4.04	7.64 ± 1.01	17.08 ± 3.02
PBS	68.56 ± 5.12	7.90 ± 2.60	17.06 ± 3.72
Sericin A	67.23 ± 8.01	10.02 ± 1.57	15.02 ± 2.89
Sericin B	68.43 ± 8.10	10.24 ± 2.90	13.57 ± 1.32
Sericin C	71.51 ± 4.80	9.61 ± 0.37*	12.65 ± 4.36

Source: Values are mean ± SD

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control un-treated cells.

APPENDIX E CHEMOPREVENTIVE EFFECT OF SERICIN IN ANIMAL MODEL

Table 29 Effect of sericin on body weight

Month	Treatment				
	G1	G2	G3	G4	G5
	Casein diet	Sericin diet	Casein diet + DMH	Sericin diet + DMH	Post sericin + DMH
1	237.38 ± 11.61	234.67 ± 30.53	228.54 ± 37.24	206.04 ± 25.24	229.00 ± 35.06
2	352.96 ± 18.86	336.92 ± 53.01	342.83 ± 42.14	339.54 ± 28.61	343.67 ± 45.30
3	425.88 ± 27.40	411.17 ± 35.07	423.04 ± 31.32	413.17 ± 26.64	405.83 ± 55.70
4	477.75 ± 29.36	455.83 ± 37.84	473.29 ± 29.85	458.85 ± 21.44	446.65 ± 50.64
5	502.75 ± 28.25	488.83 ± 40.81	498.08 ± 29.73	483.375 ± 24.84	486.83 ± 48.42

Source: Values are mean ± SD

Table 30 Effect of sericin on food consumption

Month	Treatment				
	G1	G2	G3	G4	G5
	Casein diet	Sericin diet	Casein diet + DMH	Sericin diet + DMH	Post sericin + DMH
1	10.73 ± 2.76	12.52 ± 2.40	11.84 ± 2.70	8.41 ± 3.00	12.37 ± 2.80
2	17.90 ± 2.00	18.88 ± 2.30	19.07 ± 1.90	17.51 ± 3.40	17.78 ± 4.40
3	19.37 ± 0.90	20.10 ± 1.60	19.51 ± 0.90	21.97 ± 3.10	19.90 ± 1.90
4	21.59 ± 2.70	22.21 ± 1.60	24.62 ± 4.00	22.11 ± 1.20	21.89 ± 0.50
5	18.21 ± 0.90	19.37 ± 2.70	22.38 ± 2.70*	21.89 ± 2.70*	21.21 ± 1.00*

Source: Values are mean ± SD

* $p \leq 0.05$, compared to control casein diet (group 1)

Table 31 Effect of sericin on ACF formation

Treatment	No. of rats	Treatment				
		Proximal	Middle	Distal	Total ACF	Total ACF/cm ²
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	0.00	11.00	9.00	20.00	26.14
	2	0.00	0.00	30.00	30.00	39.22
	3	9.00	9.00	27.00	45.00	58.82
	4	1.00	13.00	57.00	71.00	92.81
	5	0.00	49.00	73.00	122.00	159.48
	6	2.00	46.00	69.00	117.00	152.94
	Mean	3.00	29.25	56.50	88.75	116.01
	SD	4.08	21.17	20.81	37.12	48.52
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	0.00	2.00	55.00	57.00	74.51
	2	0.00	35.00	50.00	85.00	111.11
	3	0.00	18.00	36.00	54.00	70.59
	4	0.00	4.00	21.00	25.00	32.68
	5	0.00	7.00	77.00	84.00	109.80
	6	0.00	31.00	31.00	62.00	81.05
	Mean	0.00	16.20	45.00	61.17	79.96
	SD	0.00	14.20	20.00	22.21	29.04
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	0.00	53.00	62.00	115.00	150.33
	2	0.00	5.00	51.00	56.00	73.20
	3	0.00	2.00	19.00	21.00	27.45
	4	0.00	1.00	56.00	57.00	74.51
	5	0.00	25.00	33.00	58.00	75.82
	6	0.00	45.00	26.00	71.00	92.81
	Mean	0.00	21.80	41.20	63.00	82.38
	SD	0.00	22.90	17.50	30.47	39.83

Table 32 Effect of sericin on distribution of ACF in proximal part of colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Distribution of crypt in ACF/cm ²				
		1	2	3	4	≥5
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	3	1.00	3.00	2.50	1.00	1.50
	4	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00
	Mean	0.50	0.75	0.63	0.25	0.88
	SD	0.58	1.50	1.25	0.50	1.03

Table 33 Effect of sericin on distribution of ACF in middle part of colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Distribution of crypt in ACF/cm ²				
		1	2	3	4	≥5
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	0.00	3.90	2.60	0.00	5.19
	2	0.00	2.60	2.60	5.19	28.57
	3	1.30	7.79	1.30	1.30	23.38
	4	5.19	5.19	16.88	2.60	44.16
	5	3.90	24.68	11.69	16.88	37.66
	6	15.58	10.39	9.09	9.09	45.45
	Mean	6.49	12.01	9.74	7.47	37.66
	SD	6.27	8.70	6.49	7.14	10.12
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	0.00	5.19	6.49	3.90	55.84
	2	5.19	14.29	9.09	2.60	33.77
	3	14.29	10.39	6.49	3.90	7.79
	4	0.00	6.49	1.30	3.90	15.58
	5	16.88	32.47	19.48	6.49	24.68
	6	0.00	5.19	2.60	1.30	31.17
	Mean	6.06	12.34	7.58	3.68	28.14
	SD	7.69	10.48	6.49	1.73	16.69
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	18.18	24.68	14.29	6.49	16.88
	2	9.09	9.09	22.08	3.90	22.08
	3	0.00	7.79	3.90	2.60	10.39
	4	2.60	12.99	5.19	14.29	37.66
	5	5.19	9.09	2.60	5.19	20.78
	6	0.00	3.90	9.09	0.00	20.78
	Mean	5.84	11.26	9.52	5.41	21.43
	SD	6.96	7.19	7.47	4.89	9.03

Table 34 Effect of sericin on distribution of ACF in distal part of colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Distribution of crypt in ACF/cm ²				
		1	2	3	4	≥5
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	0.00	3.90	2.60	0.00	5.19
	2	0.00	2.60	2.60	5.19	28.57
	3	1.30	7.79	1.30	1.30	23.38
	4	5.19	5.19	16.88	2.60	44.16
	5	3.90	24.68	11.69	16.88	37.66
	6	15.58	10.39	9.09	9.09	45.45
	Mean	6.49	12.01	9.74	7.47	37.66
	SD	6.27	8.70	6.49	7.14	10.12
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	0.00	5.19	6.49	3.90	55.84
	2	5.19	14.29	9.09	2.60	33.77
	3	14.29	10.39	6.49	3.90	7.79
	4	0.00	6.49	1.30	3.90	15.58
	5	16.88	32.47	19.48	6.49	24.68
	6	0.00	5.19	2.60	1.30	31.17
	Mean	6.06	12.34	7.58	3.68	28.14
	SD	7.69	10.48	6.49	1.73	16.69
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	18.18	24.68	14.29	6.49	16.88
	2	9.09	9.09	22.08	3.90	22.08
	3	0.00	7.79	3.90	2.60	10.39
	4	2.60	12.99	5.19	14.29	37.66
	5	5.19	9.09	2.60	5.19	20.78
	6	0.00	3.90	9.09	0.00	20.78
	Mean	5.84	11.26	9.52	5.41	21.43
	SD	6.96	7.19	7.47	4.89	9.03

Table 35 Effect of sericin on area of large size of ACF in middle part of colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Middle part of colon		
		Total ACF	Total Size	Average size
		≥ 5 crypts/focus	(mm ²)	(mm ²)
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	-	-	-
	2	-	-	-
	3	7.00	0.70	0.10
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5	33.00	4.23	0.13
	6	14.00	2.10	0.15
	Total	54.00	7.03	0.38
	Mean	13.50	1.76	0.09
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2	17.00	2.11	0.12
	3	15.00	2.66	0.18
	4	2.00	0.38	0.19
	5	3.00	0.22	0.07
	6	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	37.00	5.37	0.56
	Mean	6.17	0.90	0.09
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	20.00	2.17	0.11
	2	1.00	0.17	0.17
	3	1.00	0.09	0.09
	4	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5	10.00	1.25	0.13
	6	18.00	2.03	0.11
	Total	50.00	5.71	0.61
	Mean	8.33	0.95	0.10

Table 36 Effect of sericin on area of large size of ACF in distal part of colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Middle part of colon		
		Total ACF	Total Size	Average size
		≥ 5 crypts/focus	(mm ²)	(mm ²)
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	-	-	-
	2	-	-	-
	3	18.00	2.34	0.13
	4	34.00	4.44	0.13
	5	29.00	3.68	0.13
	6	35.00	5.29	0.16
	Total	116.00	15.75	0.55
	Mean	29.00	3.94	0.14
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	43.00	3.63	0.08
	2	26.00	2.77	0.11
	3	9.00	1.73	0.19
	4	12.00	1.33	0.11
	5	19.00	2.15	0.11
	6	24.00	2.39	0.09
	Total	133.00	14.00	0.70
	Mean	22.17	2.33	0.11
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	13.00	1.95	0.15
	2	17.00	2.31	0.14
	3	8.00	1.25	0.16
	4	29.00	4.96	0.17
	5	16.00	1.91	0.12
	6	16.00	1.62	0.10
	Total	99.00	14.00	0.83
	Mean	16.50	2.33	0.14

Table 37 Effect of sericin on lipid peroxidation in control rats

Treatment	No. of rats	MDA concentration (μM)		
		Proximal	Middle	Distal
G1 Casein diet	1	1.26	0.78	1.14
	2	0.81	0.72	1.05
	3	1.51	1.08	1.69
	4	0.64	0.66	1.09
	5	0.00	0.79	0.59
	6	0.00	1.22	2.01
	Mean	1.06	0.88	1.26
	SD	0.04	0.22	0.51
G2 Sericin diet	1	1.41	0.69	0.61
	2	1.91	0.86	1.12
	3	0.53	0.72	1.02
	4	0.73	1.38	0.72
	5	1.60	1.00	0.64
	6	0.58	1.27	0.76
	Mean	1.13	0.99	0.81
	SD	0.59	0.29	0.21

Table 38 Effect of sericin on lipid peroxidation in DMH-treated rats

Treatment	No. of rats	MDA concentration (μM)		
		Proximal	Middle	Distal
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	1.32	0.74	1.30
	2	0.80	0.35	3.98
	3	1.49	0.56	2.24
	4	0.57	0.87	1.87
	5	1.39	0.69	0.68
	6	0.82	0.00	0.56
	Mean	1.07	0.64	1.77
	SD	0.38	0.24	1.27
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	1.31	0.84	0.74
	2	0.00	1.52	2.35
	3	0.88	5.95	0.69
	4	1.17	2.89	0.70
	5	0.87	0.00	0.93
	6	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Mean	1.06	2.80	0.90
	SD	0.22	2.27	0.78
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	1.51	1.72	2.02
	2	0.66	1.26	1.85
	3	1.33	1.13	1.13
	4	0.81	0.60	0.60
	5	0.44	1.08	0.63
	6	1.73	0.00	0.68
	Mean	1.08	1.16	1.15
	SD	0.52	0.40	0.64

Table 39 Effect of sericin on Ki67 proliferation marker

Proximal colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells			
		Basal zone	Transition zone	Surface zone	Total
G1 Casein diet	1	5.60	10.40	0.20	16.20
	2	10.40	10.60	0.20	21.20
	3	6.40	14.00	0.60	21.00
	4	11.60	18.00	0.80	30.40
	5	14.40	12.80	0.00	27.20
	6	18.40	16.80	5.00	40.20
	Mean	11.13	13.77	1.13	26.03
	SD	4.84	3.15	1.92	8.56
G2 Sericin diet	1	12.60	16.20	2.00	30.80
	2	10.40	13.80	0.40	24.60
	3	9.00	12.40	2.80	24.20
	4	6.40	13.40	3.40	23.20
	5	6.00	15.20	1.00	22.20
	6	10.40	24.20	6.40	41.00
	Mean	9.13	15.87	2.67	27.67
	SD	2.55	4.30	2.14	7.20

Table 39 (Cont.)

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells			
		Basal zone	Transition zone	Surface zone	Total
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	12.80	10.40	1.20	24.40
	2	15.60	9.40	0.80	25.80
	3	19.80	16.60	3.00	39.40
	4	5.60	14.40	4.60	24.60
	5	9.20	17.40	2.40	29.00
	6	6.80	15.00	0.60	22.40
	Mean	11.63	13.87	2.10	27.60
	SD	5.47	3.27	1.54	6.18
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	6.40	15.80	4.60	26.80
	2	6.20	15.80	1.40	23.40
	3	5.00	20.40	5.00	30.40
	4	9.40	23.60	7.40	40.40
	5	10.20	16.40	2.80	29.40
	6	8.60	18.20	4.40	31.20
	Mean	7.63	18.37	4.27	30.27
	SD	2.06	3.12	2.04	5.72
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	14.40	17.20	1.40	33.00
	2	6.00	18.20	0.80	25.00
	3	10.40	16.80	1.00	28.20
	4	10.40	16.80	1.00	28.20
	5	10.60	22.80	9.40	14.27
	6	13.40	21.00	2.60	37.00
	Mean	10.87	18.80	2.70	27.61
	SD	2.94	2.52	3.35	7.78

Table 40 Effect of sericin on Ki67 proliferation marker

Middle colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells			
		Basal zone	Transition zone	Surface zone	Total
G1 Casein diet	1	10.80	14.80	0.80	26.40
	2	14.00	14.60	0.40	27.40
	3	10.60	10.40	0.40	28.40
	4	10.80	23.80	5.80	29.40
	5	8.40	14.60	2.80	30.40
	6	17.60	17.00	0.80	31.40
	Mean	12.03	15.87	1.83	28.90
	SD	3.26	4.44	2.14	1.87
G2 Sericin diet	1	10.60	22.20	4.80	37.60
	2	14.40	13.00	1.20	28.60
	3	15.00	20.60	1.60	37.20
	4	11.00	21.40	2.20	34.60
	5	12.40	19.60	4.20	36.20
	6	11.00	20.60	5.00	36.60
	Mean	12.40	19.57	3.17	35.13
	SD	1.89	3.33	1.69	3.36

Table 40 (Cont.)

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells			
		Basal zone	Transition zone	Surface zone	Total
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	5.20	13.60	3.80	22.60
	2	13.80	17.60	1.60	33.00
	3	12.60	17.80	3.80	34.20
	4	14.20	21.00	2.20	37.40
	5	12.40	21.00	2.60	36.00
	6	10.00	20.60	4.40	35.00
	Mean	11.37	18.60	3.07	33.03
	SD	3.36	2.90	1.09	5.33
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	5.40	19.20	5.60	30.20
	2	9.40	18.00	4.20	31.60
	3	22.00	21.00	5.40	48.40
	4	13.00	21.60	4.80	39.40
	5	12.40	21.00	2.60	36.00
	6	9.80	22.20	5.60	37.60
	Mean	12.00	20.50	4.70	37.20
	SD	5.59	1.58	1.16	6.52
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	11.00	13.80	1.60	26.40
	2	18.80	9.20	0.20	28.20
	3	15.20	14.80	1.20	31.20
	4	11.80	16.80	5.60	34.20
	5	12.80	21.40	7.40	41.60
	6	20.40	9.00	0.80	30.20
	Mean	15.00	14.17	2.80	31.97
	SD	3.87	4.71	2.96	5.42

Table 41 Effect of sericin on Ki67 proliferation marker

Distal colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells			
		Basal zone	Transition zone	Surface zone	Total
G1 Casein diet	1	11.00	4.20	0.00	15.20
	2	15.40	7.60	0.20	17.60
	3	9.90	4.20	0.00	14.10
	4	12.80	4.40	0.20	17.40
	5	8.90	2.10	0.00	11.00
	6	11.80	7.60	0.00	19.40
	Mean	11.63	5.02	0.07	15.78
	SD	2.30	2.17	0.10	3.00
G2 Sericin diet	1	12.80	5.00	0.00	17.80
	2	19.60	2.60	0.00	20.00
	3	7.70	0.60	0.00	8.30
	4	13.90	7.40	0.30	21.60
	5	9.30	4.00	0.00	13.30
	6	21.00	7.50	0.00	28.50
	Mean	14.05	4.52	0.05	18.25
	SD	5.36	2.71	0.12	6.97



Table 41 (Cont.)

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells			
		Basal zone	Transition zone	Surface zone	Total
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1			Tumor	
	2			Tumor	
	3	11.60	5.20	0.00	16.80
	4	17.00	4.00	0.10	21.10
	5	8.90	2.10	0.00	11.00
	6	11.80	7.60	0.00	19.40
	Mean	12.33	4.73	0.03	17.08
	SD	3.39	2.30	0.05	4.42
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	16.30	6.00	0.60	22.90
	2	19.10	5.20	0.00	24.30
	3	15.40	7.90	0.20	23.50
	4	13.90	7.40	0.30	21.60
	5	18.10	10.60	1.60	30.30
	6	23.00	21.10	4.60	48.70
	Mean	17.63	9.70	1.22	28.55
	SD	3.22	5.89	1.75	10.32
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	10.00	7.40	0.50	17.90
	2	19.60	11.20	0.90	31.70
	3	15.30	4.20	0.00	19.50
	4	14.80	13.00	3.10	30.90
	5	23.60	12.50	0.40	36.50
	6	22.70	16.80	2.90	42.40
	Mean	17.67	10.85	1.30	29.82
	SD	5.23	4.45	1.35	9.55

Table 42 Effect of sericin on length of colonic crypts

Proximal colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells		
		Total length	Ki67	Ratio
				Ki67/length
G1 Casein diet	1	191.97	113.06	0.59
	2	205.76	111.22	0.54
	3	232.19	146.17	0.62
	4	225.21	146.18	0.65
	5	212.82	121.04	0.57
	6	193.08	142.99	0.73
	Mean	210.17	130.11	0.62
	SD	16.50	16.80	0.07
G2 Sericin diet	1	218.67	155.08	0.70
	2	216.76	134.13	0.62
	3	202.47	147.91	0.74
	4	244.06	187.61	0.76
	5	220.12	158.05	0.72
	6	230.97	200.03	0.87
	Mean	222.18	163.80	0.73
	SD	14.08	24.99	0.08

Table 42 (Cont.)

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells		
		Total length	Ki67	Ratio Ki67/length
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	251.02	170.81	0.69
	2	233.40	147.58	0.63
	3	250.72	194.08	0.77
	4	215.41	170.89	0.80
	5	237.24	166.49	0.71
	6	252.34	160.44	0.64
	Mean	240.02	168.38	0.71
	SD	14.45	15.31	0.07
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	340.40	263.24	0.77
	2	209.80	130.09	0.62
	3	320.80	257.13	0.80
	4	284.98	230.60	0.81
	5	216.23	158.98	0.72
	6	255.29	199.32	0.77
	Mean	271.25	206.56	0.75
	SD	53.82	53.87	0.07
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	275.37	187.38	0.68
	2	211.70	143.44	0.68
	3	247.97	167.95	0.68
	4	247.97	167.95	0.68
	5	249.94	213.80	0.86
	6	337.53	239.25	0.71
	Mean	261.75	186.63	0.72
	SD	42.32	34.85	0.07

Table 43 Effect of sericin on length of colonic crypts

Middle colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells		
		Total length	Ki67	Ratio
				Ki67/length
G1 Casein diet	1	297.18	199.06	0.67
	2	311.00	194.29	0.62
	3	242.53	155.85	0.64
	4	227.25	189.75	0.83
	5	256.39	200.50	0.78
	6	275.60	194.78	0.71
	Mean	268.33	189.04	0.71
	SD	32.25	16.70	0.08
G2 Sericin diet	1	340.54	260.99	0.77
	2	283.84	180.95	0.64
	3	277.27	194.42	0.70
	4	327.26	257.25	0.79
	5	310.78	238.08	0.77
	6	328.77	254.23	0.77
	Mean	311.41	230.99	0.74
	SD	25.80	34.70	0.06

Table 43 (Cont.)

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells		
		Total length	Ki67	Ratio
				Ki67/length
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	207.34	162.76	0.78
	2	298.43	216.53	0.73
	3	326.07	257.40	0.79
	4	260.08	193.55	0.74
	5	329.10	251.02	0.76
	6	301.28	241.72	0.80
	Mean	287.05	220.50	0.77
	SD	46.27	36.93	0.03
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	242.10	209.97	0.87
	2	373.11	309.77	0.83
	3	427.08	357.70	0.84
	4	323.68	260.97	0.81
	5	329.10	251.02	0.76
	6	329.60	290.36	0.88
	Mean	337.45	279.97	0.83
	SD	61.19	51.26	0.04
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	349.25	238.71	0.68
	2	289.11	159.61	0.55
	3	314.14	214.74	0.68
	4	278.91	223.54	0.80
	5	289.31	269.45	0.93
	6	291.75	200.57	0.69
	Mean	302.08	217.77	0.72
	SD	25.87	36.96	0.13

Table 44 Effect of sericin on length of colonic crypts

Distal colon

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells		
		Total length	Ki67	Ratio
				Ki67/length
G1 Casein diet	1	177.50	78.67	0.44
	2	195.60	109.37	0.53
	3	270.35	122.75	0.46
	4	206.83	97.79	0.47
	5	222.16	87.49	0.39
	6	251.30	121.54	0.48
	Mean	220.62	102.93	0.46
	SD	34.90	18.08	0.05
G2 Sericin diet	1	199.75	95.50	0.48
	2	224.62	94.71	0.41
	3	203.52	69.61	0.35
	4	273.27	152.18	0.55
	5	269.94	132.78	0.50
	6	200.76	100.86	0.50
	Mean	228.64	107.61	0.47
	SD	34.51	29.74	0.08

Table 44 (Cont.)

Treatment	No. of rats	Total number of Ki67 positive cells		
		Total length	Ki67	Ratio Ki67/length
G3 Casein diet + DMH	1	Tumor	Tumor	Tumor
	2	Tumor	Tumor	Tumor
	3	219.73	108.02	0.49
	4	204.50	89.60	0.44
	5	222.16	87.49	0.39
	6	251.30	121.54	0.48
	Mean	224.42	101.66	0.45
	SD	19.55	16.14	0.05
G4 Sericin diet + DMH	1	171.92	108.48	0.63
	2	196.49	91.63	0.47
	3	227.40	135.24	0.60
	4	273.27	152.18	0.55
	5	264.24	182.72	0.70
	6	290.51	216.73	0.74
	Mean	237.30	147.83	0.62
	SD	46.67	46.58	0.10
G5 Post sericin + DMH	1	297.37	181.57	0.63
	2	258.65	163.39	0.64
	3	265.16	129.77	0.49
	4	263.98	205.95	0.75
	5	269.97	166.32	0.62
	6	265.39	197.70	0.74
	Mean	270.08	174.12	0.64
	SD	13.83	27.45	0.10

Table 45 Effect of sericin on complete blood count (CBC)

Treatment	WBC (10 ³ /μl)	RBC (10 ³ /μl)	NEUT (10 ³ /μl)	LYMPH (10 ³ /μl)
G1 Casein	2.71 ± 1.05	8.64 ± 0.60	0.34 ± 0.23	1.96 ± 0.84
G2 Sericin	2.46 ± 0.87	8.22 ± 0.55	0.53 ± 0.18	1.68 ± 0.67
G3 Casein + DMH	3.09 ± 1.15	8.57 ± 0.85	0.54 ± 0.42	2.16 ± 1.05
G4 Sericin + DMH	2.36 ± 0.55	8.05 ± 0.29	0.32 ± 0.38	1.50 ± 0.50
G5 Post-sericin + DMH	2.63 ± 0.96	6.67 ± 3.15	0.54 ± 0.31	1.71 ± 0.88

Source: Values are mean ± SD of 6 rats.

Table 46 Effect of sericin on complete blood count (CBC)

Treatment	MONO (10 ³ /μl)	EO (10 ³ /μl)	BASO (10 ³ /μl)
G1 Casein	0.20 ± 0.10	0.05 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.03
G2 Sericin	0.14 ± 0.05	0.10 ± 0.11	0.02 ± 0.02
G3 Casein + DMH	0.22 ± 0.14	0.07 ± 0.02	0.03 ± 0.04
G4 Sericin + DMH	0.13 ± 0.04	0.04 ± 0.03	0.07 ± 0.18
G5 Post-sericin + DMH	0.20 ± 0.20	0.11 ± 0.09	0.01 ± 0.03

Source: Values are mean ± SD of 6 rats.



Table 47 Cell surface markers in rats part I

Treatment	CD2	CD3	CD4
G1 Casein	63.59 ± 7.96	52.52 ± 9.44	44.45 ± 4.90
G2 Sericin	66.71 ± 17.18	56.95 ± 16.12	50.66 ± 24.36
G3 Casein + DMH	61.93 ± 6.69	56.20 ± 7.02	40.83 ± 8.20
G4 Sericin + DMH	76.09 ± 12.86	69.05 ± 11.83	54.47 ± 14.40
G5 Post-sericin + DMH	65.63 ± 21.20	56.02 ± 30.70	41.12 ± 22.34

Source: Values are mean ± SD of 6 rats.

Table 48 Cell surface markers in rats part II

Treatment	CD8a	CD11	CD25
G1 Casein	40.73 ± 8.98	96.37 ± 1.60	21.63 ± 3.38
G2 Sericin	25.25 ± 13.75	83.58 ± 34.14	18.33 ± 12.89
G3 Casein + DMH	28.60 ± 6.46	98.32 ± 0.51	23.18 ± 3.66
G4 Sericin + DMH	25.72 ± 4.88	98.74 ± 0.85	32.31 ± 3.84
G5 Post-sericin + DMH	24.03 ± 5.72	94.68 ± 6.26	24.47 ± 7.54

Source: Values are mean ± SD of 6 rats.

Table 49 Cell surface markers in rats part III

Treatment	CD45	CD54	CD80	CD86
G1 Casein	99.84 ± 0.18	74.98 ± 7.17	23.55 ± 8.24	20.08 ± 5.01
G2 Sericin	85.53 ± 22.24	68.32 ± 11.10	10.28 ± 5.74	29.13 ± 32.16
G3 Casein				
+ DMH	99.66 ± 0.27	77.53 ± 2.99	20.98 ± 3.81	21.31 ± 5.39
G4 Sericin				
+ DMH	99.74 ± 0.41	77.65 ± 9.21	17.15 ± 9.06	14.67 ± 5.23
G5 Post-sericin				
+ DMH	99.20 ± 0.81	77.27 ± 3.44	24.02 ± 11.36	21.87 ± 11.86

Source: Values are mean ± SD of 6 rats.

BIOGRAPHY



BIOGRAPHY

Name – Surname	Waraporn Kaewkon
Date of Birth	November 19, 1978
Address	391 Moo 1, Kaewdamri Road, Pichai, Uttaradit, 53220
Work Experiences	
2007	Faculty of Medical Science, Naresuan University
2005	Lower North Veterinary Research and Development Center
Education Background	
2003	M.S. (Biology), Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand
2000	B.S. (Zoology), Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand

