CHAPTER FOUR

RESULTS

This chapter reports the results of the survey of Thai opinion towards foreign ownership of land in Thailand. It is divided into three parts based on information collected from the survey.

4.1 PERSONAL INFORMATION

The first part of the questionnaire sought the demographic data concerning gender, age, education, faculty, occupation, salary and permanent residence. All information was displayed in the form of frequency and percentage of the respondents in Table 1 as follows:

Gender: The majority of the respondents were female (62.5%) and the rest were male (37.5%).

Age: About 37.5% of the respondents were at age of 31-35 years old, 30.8% 26-30 years old, 10.8% 41-45 years old, 9.2% 36-40 years old, 5.0% 20-25 years old, 3.3% 46-50 years old, 1.7% 51-55 years old and 1.7% over 56 years old.

Education: Most of the respondents had bachelor's degrees (49.2%), followed by Master's degrees (43.3%), High school diploma (5.0%) and degrees above master's degree (2.5%).

Faculty: About 48.3% of the respondents graduated from a faculty of law, 21.7% Business Administration, 7.5% Arts, 5.8% others, 5.0% Politics, 5.0% Science, 4.2% Engineering, 1.7% Social Sciences and 0.8% Economics.

Occupation: Most of the respondents (36.7%) were civil servants and 18.3% were lawyers, 6.7% were businesspersons, 5.0% were lecturer/academics, 1.7% were students, 0.8% were media, and 0.8% were NGOs. The remaining 30.0% has other occupations.

Salary: Approximately 35.8% of the respondents earned 10,001 - 20,000 baht/month, 20.0% over 55,000 baht/month , 14.2% 30,001-40,000 baht , 9.2% 25,001-30,000 baht/month , 7.5% 40,001-55,000 baht , 5.8% 20,001-25,000 baht , 5.0% 5,001- 10,000 baht and 2.5% 5,000 baht.

Permanent residence: About 80.8% of the respondents lived in Bangkok and surrounding areas, 2.5% lived in Phuket and 1.7% lived in Pattaya. The remaining 15% lived elsewhere.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Demographics

Demographic	Frequency	Percentage
1. Gender		
Male	45	37.5
Female	75	62.5
Total	120	100.0
2. Age		
20-25 years old	6	5.0
26-30 years old	37	30.8
31- 35 years old	45	37.5
36-40 years old	11	9.2
41-45 years old	13	10.8
46-50 years old	4	3.3
51-55 years old	2	1.7
Over 56 years old	2	1.7
Total	120	100.0
3. Education		
High School	6	5.0
Bachelor's Degree	59	49.2
Master's Degree	52	43.3
Above Master's Degree	3	2.5
Total	120	100.0
4. Faculty		
Law	58	48.3
Politics	6	5.0
Business Administration	26	21.7
Economics	1	.8
Arts	9	7.5
Science	6	5.0
Social Sciences	2	1.7
Engineering	5	4.2
Other	7	5.8
Total	120	100.0
5. Occupation		
Lecturer/ Academic	6	5.0
Lawyer	22	18.3
Student	2	1.7
Businessperson	8	6.7
Civil Service	44	36.7
Media	1	.8
NGOs	1	.8
Other	36	30.0
Total	120	100.0

Demographic	Frequency	Percentage
6. Salary		
5,000 baht	3	2.5
5, 001- 10,000 baht	6	5.0
10,001 - 20,000 baht	43	35.8
20,001-25,000 baht	7	5.8
25,001-30,000 baht	11	9.2
30,001-40,000 baht	17	14.2
40,001-55,000 baht	9	7.5
Over 55,000 baht	24	20.0
Total	120	100.0
7. Permanent residence		
Bangkok and Surrounding areas	97	80.8
Pattaya	2	1.7
Phuket	3	2.5
Other	18	15.0
Total	120	100.0

4.2 UNDERSTANDING AND KNOWLEDGE OF THE FOREIGN OWNERSHIP OF LAND

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for involvement in the real estate / property developments industry

	Involvement	Frequency	Percentage
Yes		27	22.5
No		93	77.5
	Total	120	100.0

As shown in Table 2, most of the respondents have never been involved in the real estate or property developments industry (77.5%), and the rest have been involved in the real estate or property developments industry.

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics for follow up and/or updated news and issues regarding foreign ownership of land

Follow up and/or update news	Frequency	Percentage
Often	6	5.0
Occasional	75	62.5
Never	39	32.5
Total	120	100.0

According to Table 3, about 62.5% of the respondents occasionally followed up and/or updated news and issues regarding foreign ownership of land , 32.5% never did and 5.0% often did.

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics for the degree of understanding or knowledge of regulations on foreign ownership of land in Thailand

Degree of understanding or knowledge	Frequency	Percentage
Good	5	4.2
Fair	27	22.5
Poor	59	49.2
None	29	24.2
Total	120	100.0

As shown in table 4, most of the respondents understood or knew of regulations on foreign ownership of land in Thailand. 49.2% had poor understanding of the regulations, 24.2% had no knowledge of them, 22.5% had fair understanding and 4.2% understood the regulations well.

4.3 OPINIONS ON FOREIGN OWNERSHIP OF LAND IN THAILAND

Table 5. Descriptive Statistics for Opinions on foreign ownership of land in Thailand.

Opinion about foreign ownership of land in Thailand	X	S.D.	Opinion
Economic and Industrial	3.27	0.84	Undecided
Social and Security	3.66	0.70	Agree
Laws and Policy	2.90	0.40	Undecided
Overall	3.22	0.40	Undecided

From table 5, the finding of the overall opinions about three main issues about foreign ownership of land in Thailand was "undecided" with the score of 3.22. The respondents agreed with the issue of social and security the most with the score of 3.66. Second was the issue related to economy and industry with the score of 3.27 as "undecided". The respondents were found to be undecided about questions and opinions related to laws and policies on the foreign ownership of land in Thailand with the lowest score of 2.90.

Table 6. Descriptive Statistics for Opinions about economy and industry on foreign ownership of land in Thailand

Economic and industry	Opinion				X	S.D.	Opinion	
	Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree	_		
1.Increasing taxability and	22	64	16	12	6	3.70	1.04	Agree
government revenues	(18.3)	(53.3)	(13.3)	(10.0)	(5.0)			
2.Increasing employment	15	65	22	12	6	3.59	1.00	Agree
opportunities and personal	(12.5)	(54.2)	(18.3)	(10.0)	(5.0)			
incomes								
3.Generating revenue for the	15	53	31	12	9	3.44	1.08	Agree
country	(12.5)	(44.2)	(25.8)	(10.0)	(7.5)			
4.Supporting development of	16	38	42	18	6	3.33	1.05	Undecided
the country	(13.3)	(31.7)	(35.0)	(15.0)	(5.0)			
5.Boosting economic growth	12	47	26	28	7	3.24	1.10	Undecided
and promotion of investments	(10.0)	(39.2)	(21.7)	(23.3)	(5.8)			
6.Promoting the tourist	12	43	32	28	5	3.24	1.05	Undecided
industry	(10.0)	(35.8)	(26.7)	(23.3)	(4.2)			
7.Supporting building and	8	44	43	18	7	3.23	.99	Undecided
expansion of basic	(6.7)	(36.7)	(35.8)	(15.0)	(5.8)			
infrastructures								
8.Strengthening real estate	10	33	47	25	5	3.15	.98	Undecided
industry	(8.3)	(27.5)	(39.2)	(20.8)	(4.2)			
9.Enhancing ability in	10	37	37	30	6	3.13	1.04	Undecided
international trade	(8.3)	(30.8)	(30.8)	(25.0)	(5.0)			
competitions								
10.Upgrading international	7	35	37	31	10	2.98	1.06	Undecided
recognition and reputation	(5.8)	(29.2)	(30.8)	(25.8)	(8.3)			
11.Promoting hyper	8	35	32	36	9	2.97	1.08	Undecided
supermarket industry	(6.7)	(29.2)	(26.7)	(30.0)	(7.5)			
Overall						3.27	0.84	Undecided

According to Table 6, it was found that the overall opinions about economic and industrial effects of foreign ownership of land in Thailand were undecided with the score of 3.27. The respondents agreed with 'increasing taxability and government revenues' the most with the score of 3.70, the second was "Increasing employment opportunities and personal incomes" with the score of 3.59 as "agree". They agreed about promotion of hyper supermarket industry' the least with the score of 2.97 as "disagree".

Table 7. Descriptive Statistics for Opinions about social and security on foreign ownership of land in Thailand

Society and security	Opinion					X	S.D.	Opinion
	Strongly agree	Agree	Undecided	Disagree	Strongly disagree	•		
1.Risk to the national	35	53	22	9	1	3.93	.92	Agree
Security	(29.2)	(44.2)	(18.3)	(7.5)	(.8)			
2.Affect on agriculture	32	52	24	11	1	3.86	.95	Agree
activities and farmlands	(26.7)	(43.3)	(20.0)	(9.2)	(.8)			
3.Driving up and increasing	26	57	26	11		3.82	.88	Agree
price of lands	(21.7)	(47.5)	(21.7)	(9.2)				
4.Difficulty for Thai to afford	28	52	22	17	1	3.74	1.00	Agree
land for housing	(23.3)	(43.3)	(18.3)	(14.2)	(.8)			
5.Degradation of the natural	28	40	36	14	2	3.65	1.02	Agree
resources and environment	(23.3)	(33.3)	(30.0)	(11.7)	(1.7)			
6.Loss of national	24	40	33	18	5	3.50	1.10	Agree
sovereignty	(20.0)	(33.3)	(27.5)	(15.0)	(4.2)			
7. Feeling as a stranger in our	19	29	29	31	12	3.10	1.24	Undecided
own country	(15.8)	(24.2)	(24.2)	(25.8)	(10.0)			
Overall						3.66	0.70	Agree

According to Table 7, it was found that the overall opinions of the respondents on the issues related to social and security consequences of foreign ownership of land in Thailand were agreement with the score of 3.66. The respondents agreed with "Risk to the national security" the most with the score of 3.93, the second most was "Affect on agriculture activities and farmlands" with the score of 3.86 as "agree". They agreed about 'Feeling as a stranger in our own country' the least with the score of 3.10 as 'undecided'.

Table 8. Descriptive Statistics for Opinions about laws and policy on foreign ownership of land in Thailand

regulations should be strengthened more. 2.The policy of the country on the foreign ownership of land should be liberalized more. 3.Do you agree to allow for residential purpose under certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? 4.Comparison with other Asian countries. 5.Current laws and regulations are proper and appropriate. 6.Overall, foreign ownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental. (27.5) (43.3) (20.0) (8.3) (.8) (25.0) (42.5) (22.5) (9.2) (.8) (25.0) (42.5) (22.5) (9.2) (.8) (25.0) (42.5) (22.5) (9.2) (.8) (25.0) (42.5) (22.5) (9.2) (.8) (25.0) (42.5) (20.0) (20.0) (8.3) (20.0) (8.3)	Agree
1.Relevant laws and regulations should be regulations should be strengthened more. 33 52 24 10 1 3.88 .94 A regulations should be strengthened more. 2.The policy of the country on the foreign ownership of land should be liberalized more. 30 51 27 11 1 3.82 .94 A regulations should be liberalized more. 3.Do you agree to allow for regidential purpose under certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? (9.2) (42.5) (20.0) (20.0) (8.3) 4.Comparison with other Asian countries. - 6 89 23 2 2.83 .53 Under the country than detrimental. 1 1 4 70 25 10 2.76 .80 Under the country than detrimental.	
regulations should be strengthened more. 2. The policy of the country on the foreign ownership of land should be liberalized more. 3. Do you agree to allow for residential purpose under certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? 4. Comparison with other Asian countries. 5. Current laws and regulations are proper and appropriate. 6. Overall, foreign ownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental. (27.5) (43.3) (20.0) (8.3) (.8) (25.0) (42.5) (22.5) (9.2) (.8) 11	
Strengthened more. 2.The policy of the country on the foreign ownership of land should be liberalized more. 3.Do you agree to allow for eign ownership of lands for residential purpose under certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? 4.Comparison with other - 6 89 23 2 2.83 .53 Under the countries. 2.50 (74.2) (19.2) (1.7) (1.7) 5.Current laws and regulations are proper and appropriate. 6.Overall, foreign ownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental. 3.82 .94 A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A	gree
2.The policy of the country on the foreign ownership of land should be liberalized more. 3.Do you agree to allow for residential purpose under certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? 4.Comparison with other - 6 89 23 2 2.83 .53 Under Asian countries. 5.Current laws and regulations are proper and appropriate. 6.Overall, foreign ownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental.	gree
on the foreign ownership of land should be liberalized more. 3.Do you agree to allow for residential purpose under certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? 4.Comparison with other	\mathcal{C}
land should be liberalized more. 3.Do you agree to allow foreign ownership of lands for residential purpose under certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? 4.Comparison with other Asian countries. - 6 89 23 2 2.83 .53 Under Comparison with other - 6 89 23 2 2.83 .53 Under Comparison with other - (5.0) (74.2) (19.2) (1.7) 5.Current laws and 1 14 70 25 10 2.76 .80 Under Comparison are proper and appropriate. 6.Overall, foreign 8 16 45 35 16 2.71 1.07 Under Comparison with other country than detrimental.	
3.Do you agree to allow foreign ownership of lands for residential purpose under certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? 4.Comparison with other Asian countries. 5.Current laws and regulations are proper and appropriate. 6.Overall, foreign 8 16 45 35 16 2.71 1.07 Undownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental.	
foreign ownership of lands for residential purpose under certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? 4. Comparison with other Asian countries. 5. Current laws and regulations are proper and appropriate. 6. Overall, foreign ownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental.	
for residential purpose under certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? 4. Comparison with other	gree
certain conditions e.g. limited not over than 1 rai? 4.Comparison with other - 6 89 23 2 2.83 .53 Under Asian countries (5.0) (74.2) (19.2) (1.7) 5.Current laws and 1 14 70 25 10 2.76 .80 Under gulations are proper and appropriate. 6.Overall, foreign 8 16 45 35 16 2.71 1.07 Under ownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental.	
not over than 1 rai? 4.Comparison with other Asian countries. - 6 89 23 2 2.83 .53 Under Countries. - (5.0) (74.2) (19.2) (1.7) 5.Current laws and 1 14 70 25 10 2.76 .80 Under Countries. (.8) (11.7) (58.3) (20.8) (8.3) (20.8) (8.3) (20.8) (8.3) (20.8) (20.	
4.Comparison with other Asian countries. - 6 89 23 2 2.83 .53 Under Countries. 5.Current laws and regulations are proper and appropriate. 1 14 70 25 10 2.76 .80 Under Countries. 6.Overall, foreign ownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental. 8 16 45 35 16 2.71 1.07 Under Countries.	
Asian countries. - (5.0) (74.2) (19.2) (1.7) 5. Current laws and	
5. Current laws and 1 14 70 25 10 2.76 .80 Undergulations are proper and appropriate. 6. Overall, foreign 8 16 45 35 16 2.71 1.07 Undergulations are proper and appropriate. 6. Overall, foreign (6.7) (13.3) (37.5) (29.2) (13.3)	decided
regulations are proper and appropriate. 6. Overall, foreign ownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental. (.8) (11.7) (58.3) (20.8) (8.3) 8 16 45 35 16 2.71 1.07 Under the country (6.7) (13.3) (37.5) (29.2) (13.3)	
regulations are proper and appropriate. 6. Overall, foreign ownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental. (.8) (11.7) (58.3) (20.8) (8.3) 8 16 45 35 16 2.71 1.07 Under the country (6.7) (13.3) (37.5) (29.2) (13.3)	1 1 1
appropriate. 6.Overall, foreign 8 16 45 35 16 2.71 1.07 Uncompression ownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental.	lecided
6.Overall, foreign 8 16 45 35 16 2.71 1.07 Undownership of land is more beneficial for the country than detrimental.	
ownership of land is more (6.7) (13.3) (37.5) (29.2) (13.3) beneficial for the country than detrimental.	1 1 1
beneficial for the country than detrimental.	ieciaea
than detrimental.	
	11.1
7. Monitoring and controlling - 12 65 28 15 2.62 .83 Und	ieciaea
procedures currently function - (10.0) (54.2) (23.3) (12.5)	
effectively. 8.Do you agree to allow 4 26 25 41 24 2.54 1.14 Dis	
	sagree
foreign ownership of land for (3.3) (21.7) (20.8) (34.2) (20.0)	
commercial purposes e.g. real estate industry and resort and	
hotel industry? 9.Do you agree to allow 11 20 19 43 27 2.54 1.26 Dis	cagree
foreigners to lease land for (9.2) (16.7) (15.8) (35.8) (22.5)	sagice
more than 50 years?	
•	sagree
on the foreign ownership of (1.7) (10.8) (36.7) (37.5) (13.3)	sagree
land should be strengthened	
more.	
	sagree
regulations should be (1.7) (10.0) (33.3) (40.8) (14.2)	
liberalized more.	
Overall 2.90 0.40 Und	

According to Table 8, it was found that the overall opinions about the issues of laws and policies related to foreign ownership of land in Thailand were undecided with the score of 2.90. The respondents agreed with "Relevant laws and regulations should be strengthened more" the most with the score of 3.88, the second most was "The policy of the country on the foreign ownership of land should be liberalized more" with the score of 3.82 as "agree", While, they agreed about "Relevant laws and regulations should be liberalized more" the least with the score of 2.44 as "disagree".