

THAMMACHAI SANGSAEYO : THE ROLE OF INQUIRY OFFICERS ON SEIZED ARTICLES. THESIS ADVISOR : ASSO.PROF. VIRAPHONG BOONYOPART, ASST.PROF. MURATHA WATANACHEEVAKUL, 170 PP. ISBN 974-581-580-2

The right in individual property must be protected under the constitutional law. However, it may be subject to the restrictions of administrative law by reason of public order. Therefore, it may be inevitable that legal measures interfere with the right of individual property, provided that state has to abide by human rights by providing its officials as much authority as necessary, in accordance with the policy of state.

As to the seizure of individual property which state considers necessary to issue legal measures to protect its interest and security when that property is involved in an offence and is seized to be an evidence in the case, it is an obligation of state officials to keep it under control. In practice, there must be legal provisions regulating the scope and method of keeping as well as returning such property appropriately and without any damage. To give state officials much power and to let them have a lot of discretion in administering such property alone can cause damage to the case and the officials must be responsible for their wrong doing.

Since the law concerning the property used in or connected with an offence is now not clear and efficient enough, there are many problems and obstacle to implement such law in this aspect, because, as a general principle, the application of law is to establish and maintain peaceful public order and justice and to protect public interest and state officials as much as possible. I, therefore, suggest that the provisions of law, rules and regulations concerning the administration of such property be revised to be more appropriate and just.