

THESIS APPROVAL

GRADUATE SCHOOL, KASETSART UNIVERSITY

Master of Science (Veterinary Parasitology) DEGREE Veterinary Parasitology Parasitology FIELD DEPARTMENT Prevalence of Fasciola Infection in Cattle and Buffaloes TITLE: around Songkhla Lake NAME: Mr. Boy Boonaue THIS THESIS HAS BEEN ACCEPTED BY THESIS ADVISOR Assistant Professor Burin Nimsuphan, Ph.D.) THESIS CO-ADVISOR Associate Professor Sathaporn Jittapalapong, Ph.D. DEPARTMENT HEAD Associate Professor Sathaporn Jittapalapong, Ph.D.

APPROVED BY THE GRADUATE SCHOOL ON

_____ DEAN

(Associate Professor Gunjana Theeragool, D.Agr.)

THESIS

PREVALENCE OF *FASCIOLA* INFECTION IN CATTLE AND BUFFALOES AROUND SONGKHLA LAKE

BOY BOONAUE

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science (Veterinary Parasitology) Graduate School, Kasetsart University 2013

Boy Boonaue 2013: Prevalence of *Fasciola* Infection in Cattle and Buffaloes around Songkhla Lake. Master of Science (Veterinary Parasitology), Major Field: Veterinary Parasitology, Department of Parasitology. Thesis Advisor: Assistant Professor Burin Nimsuphan, Ph.D. 112 pages.

Fasciolosis is one of the most important parasitic diseases in ruminant and human health is caused by Fasciola hepatica and F. gigantica. The economic losses in limiting the development of beef and milk production and public health concern are caused by fasciolosis throught out the world. F. gigantica is identified in animals and humans in Thailand. The prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in Thailand was ranging from 0-85% depending on the areas. The referent method for diagnosis of fasciolosis is based on the fecal examination. Songkhla Lake is the largest lake in southern part of Thailand. There are cattle and buffaloes rearing nearby Songkhla Lake area. In the previous period, many cattle nearby the lake died and the post mortem found Fasciola in the liver. Heavy infection of *Fasciola* can be a cause of death in these cattle. There was the possibility of bovine fasciolosis distribution around the lake. The objective of this study is to determine the prevalence of F. gigantica infection in cattle and buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake area by ES-Ag based on ELISA compared with the fecal examination. The results of ES-Ag-ELISA showed 29.3% (148/505) in cattle and 78.9% (75/95) in buffaloes were positive for Fasciola infection. Comparing with the fecal examination, 7.8% (39/500) in cattle and 30.1% (25/83) in buffaloes were found positive. The >7 years cattle had the higher infection rate than the <7 years cattle while the >7 years buffaloes had the lower positive rates than the <7 years buffaloes. Female cattle had the infection rates higher than male but the female buffaloes had the lower positive rates than male. Both of cattle and buffaloes were infected by *Fasciola* at the high level. Thus, Songkhla Lake is the natural reservoir for fasciolosis in cattle, buffaloes and humans.

___/___/

Student's signature

Thesis Advisor's signature

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to grateful thanks and deeply indebted to Asst. Prof. Dr. Burin Nimsuphan, my advisor for advice, patience, encouragement, valuable suggestion for completely writing of thesis and allowing me to practice and develop the laboratory skill. I would sincerely like to thank also Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sathaporn Jittapalapong, my co-advisor for their valuable comments, suggestion, kindness and great support.

The study was practiced at Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kasetsart University. I would like to thanks all scientists at Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kasetsart University and the laboratory colleagues whom gave me for the generous help in everything and kindness suggestions. I do thanks to my graduated friend from Veterinary Parasitology, for their friendship, help, cheerfulness and great support.

This research was supported by a research grant from Kasetsart University Research and Development Institute (KURDI, code $n-\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{N})$ 66.54). Special thanks to Regional Livestock office, Region 9, Songkhla province and the owners of cattle and buffaloes around Songkhla Lake for providing us with accommodation during our field study in Songkhla province.

Finally, I am especially appreciated my family for wish well, perpetual and their continuing encouragements.

Boy Boonaue May 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	vi
INTRODUCTION	1
OBJECTIVES	3
LITERATURE REVIEW	4
MATERIALS AND METHODS	36
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	42
Results	42
Discussion	48
CONCLUSION	52
LITERATURE CITED	53
APPENDICES	68
Appendix A The figure of Songkhla Lake area and helmithic eggs	
were found in current study	69
Appendix B The raw data	85
Appendix C Chemical reagents and substrates	95
Appendix D The proceeding paper in The 51 th Kasetsart University	
Annaul Conference	98
CURRICULUM VITAE	112

i

LIST OF TABLES

Fable		Page
1	Prevalence of fasciolosis in domestic animals in the previous studies	25
2	The prevalence of <i>Fasciola</i> infection in cattle and buffaloes checked by	
	ELISA	43
3	The prevalence of <i>Fasciola</i> infection in cattle and buffaloes checked by fecal examination	44
4	The prevalence of intestinal parasite infection in cattle and buffaloes in	
	each districts checked by formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation	45
5	The influential factors of age and sex on <i>Fasciola</i> infection in cattle and buffaloes	46

Appendix Table

B1	The end point titer of infected cattle with F. gigantica	86
B2	The end point titer of infected buffaloes with F. gigantica	92

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1	Adut Fasciola from slaughter house	4
2	Morphology of F. gigantica	5
3	The life cycle of <i>Fasciola</i>	6
4	Shape and size variability of fasciolid eggs shed in human stools	8
5	(A) Light micrograph (LM) of the egg (B) Scanning electron microg	graph
	(SEM) of the egg (C) SEM of the egg	9
6	LM of miracidium	10
7	SEM of miracidium	11
8	LM of sporocyst	12
9	LM of daughter redia	13
10	SEM of daughter redia	14
11	LM of cercaria	15
12	SEM of cercaria	16
13	LM of metacercaria	17
14	SEM of metacercaria	18
15	Lymnaeid snail	19
16	Water caltrop (Trapa natans, T. bispinosa and T. bicornis)	20
17	Water chestnut (Eleocharis tuberosa)	21
18	Water hyacinth (Eichhornia)	21
19	Water bamboo (Zizania)	22
20	Water lotus and water lily (Nymphaea)	22
21	Water morning glory (Ipomoea aquatic)	23
22	The infected liver with F. gigantica	27
23	The dilatation of bile duct with stones and bile sladge	29
24	The life stage of liver fluke and the proposed contributions of protea	use to
	various essential functions	33

iii

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure

Page

25	5 districts of study areas in Songkhla province nearby Songkhla Lake	36
26	Collecting blood samples from jugular vein of buffalo	37
27	Collecting fecal samples from rectum of buffalo	37
28	Incubated adult F. gigantica in RPMI1640	39
29	SDS-PAGE of ES-Ag stained with Coomassie blue	47

Appendix Figure

A1	The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake	70				
A2	The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake					
A3	The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake					
A4	The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake					
A5	The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake	72				
A6	The pen of buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake	72				
A7	The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake	73				
A8	The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake	73				
A9	The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake 7					
A10	The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake	74				
A11	The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake	75				
A12	The rearing cattle in the pen nearby Songkhla Lake					
A13	The rearing cattle in the pen nearby Songkhla Lake	76				
A14	The buffaloes stayed at the pen in the morning nearby Songkhla Lake	76				
A15	The buffaloes reared nearby Songkhla Lake	77				
A16	The buffaloes reared nearby Songkhla Lake	77				
A17	The traditional rearing system of cattle nearby Songkhla Lake	78				
A18	The traditional rearing system of buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake	78				
A19	The traditional rearing system of buffaloes nearby Songkha Lake	79				

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Appendix Figure

A20	The freshwater snail nearby Songkhla Lake	79
A21	The water plant nearby Songkhla Lake	80
A22	The carcass of cattle was discarded into the pond nearby	
	Songkhla Lake	80
A23	Capillaria egg	81
A24	Eurytrema pancreaticum egg	81
A25	Fasciola egg	82
A26	Moniezia benedeni egg	82
A27	Rumen fluke egg	83
A28	Strongyle worm egg	83
A29	Trichuris egg	84

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

°C	=	Degree of Celsius
μg	=	microgram
μl	=	microliter
μm	=	micrometer
ap.a.	=	apical papilla
с.	x 5	collar
c.f.r.	=	cilia free region
cm	= -	centimeter
DW		Distilled Water
ES-Ag	÷¥2	Excretory-Secretory Antigen
ELISA	.∕ <u>∔</u> 8	Enzyme-Linked Immnunosorbent Assay
e.s.		eye spot
et al.	1 = 7	et. alii (and others)
g	121	gram
L (l)	_	liter
l.c.		long cilia
LM		Light Micrograph
mg		milligram
mm	=	millimeter
No.	=	number
OD	=	Optical Density
ph.	=	pharynx
pН	=	negative logarithm of hydrogen ion activity
post.p.	=	posterior porcess
S.C.	=	short cilia
SEM	=	Scanning Electron Micrograph
SDS-PAGE	=	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate-Polyacrylamide
		Gel Electrophoresis

PREVALENCE OF *FASCIOLA* INFECTION IN CATTLE AND BUFFALOES AROUND SONGKHLA LAKE

INTRODUCTION

Fasciolosis is an important parasitic disease in animal and human health caused by *Fasciola hepatica* and *F. gigantica*. The distribution of *Fasciola* is different in each part of the world. *F. gigantica* causes outbreaks in tropical area of Africa, southern Asia and Southeast Asia, including Thailand. The total global economic loss attributed to fasciolosis has been estimated to be more than US\$ billion per year (FAO, 1994) and its impact has been estimated in several studies. The case number of *Fasciola* infection diagnosed in the domestic animals and humans in Thailand and other countries has increased (Claxton *et at.*, 1997; Kanoksil *et al.*, 2006; Daryani *et al.*, 2008).

Fasciola is a parasitic liver fluke categorized in family Fasciolidae, suborder Distomata, order Prosostomata, subclass Digenea, class Trematoda, phylum Platyhelminthes. Most important species are *F. gigantica* and *F. hepatica. Fasciola* can infect many mammals including humans but the main host is ruminant. *F. gigantica* is a mainly parasite in large ruminant such as cattle and buffaloes while *F. hepatica* is infected in small ruminant. The human can be infected with both of *F. gigantica* and *F. hepatica. Fasciola* infections occur by ingesting water plant or water contaminated with the metacercariae of *Fasciola*. The liver fluke infection rarely affects animals dramatically in the sense that they die or are obviously sick from the disease. Effects of fasciolosis are seen in reduced weight gain, low, slow reproduction, liver damage, lack of physical strength expressed as draught power and mortality. Fasciolosis leads to economic loss in decreasing production. The microscropic detection of egg in the feces is the referent method for diagnosis of *Fasciola* infection.

Songkhla Lake is the largest natural water reservoir in southern part of Thailand. The lake borders the provinces of Songkhla and Patthalung. The lake has stagnant water all year round. There are many domestic animals rearing nearby Songkhla Lake area such as goat, cattle and buffaloes. The traditional rearing system nearby the lake is freely grazing on the pastures. Moreover, in the previous period, many cattle nearby Songkhla Lake died and the post mortem of these cattle found numerous of *Fasciola* in the liver. Heavy infection of *Fasciola* may lead to the cause of death in these cattle. Then, there is a possibility of *Fasciola* infection in cattle and buffaloes throughout the area nearby Songkhla Lake.



OBJECTIVES

To detect *Fasciola* infections in cattle and buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake using excretory-secretory antigen based on enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation.



LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Morphology

Fasciola is one of the largest fluke which has the leaf shaped and grayishbrown in color (Figure 1) with a size of 3.5-7.5 cm in length and 0.65-1.2 cm in width. The oral sucker is small and located at the end of cone-shaped projection at the anterior end. The acetabulum is larger than the oral sucker and is anterior. The tegument is covered with large and scalelike spines. The intestinal caeca are highly dendritic and extend to near the posterior end of the body. The testes are large and greatly branched, arranged in tandem behind the ovary. The smaller, dendritic ovary lies on the right side, coiling between the ovary and the preacetabular is cirrus pouch. Vitelline follicles are extensive, filling most of the lateral body and becoming confluent behind the testes (Figure 2).



Figure 1 Adult Fasciola from slaughter house



Figure 2 Morphology of *F. gigantica*.



Figure 3 The life cycle of Fasciola

Source: CDC (2013)

2. Life cycle

Adult *Fasciola* lives in the bile ducts and gall bladder of the liver and young fluke lives in the liver parenchyma. The eggs of *Fasciola* enter the duodenum with the bile and leave the host in the feces. The rate of development and the hatching of liver fluke eggs depend on the surrounding environment's temperature, oxygen levels and humidity. At the temperature of 20-26°C eggs hatch in about 10-12 days producing the first larval stage, the miracidium. At over 40°C, eggs will die and in darkness, eggs develop well, though miracidia are not hatched out. Miracidium is about 0.15 mm in length, its head is covered with gland tissues for penetrating in to the intermediate host snail and with cilia surrounding it equipped for movement. In the outside environment, miracidia survive for 2-3 hours. In the event that miracidia do

not penetrate host snail Lymnea, they die. Following penetration, it casts off its ciliate covering and develops into the sporocyst, then rediae and cercariae. Development from miracidium to cercaria is 4-7 weeks. Cercariae leave the snail and within a few minutes to two hours the cercariae settle on blades of grass, water plants and rice stalks. Later, after casting off their tails, they secrete a covering from the cystogenous glands forming cysts at surface of the water which sink to the bottom. The encysted cercaria is called a metacercaria which is now infective. Cattle and buffaloes are infected by ingesting grass, water plant and rice stalk with metacercaria or swallow them in drinking water. In some cases, infection can occur from mother to the offspring via the placenta. Following ingestion of metacecariae into the intestine they become immature Fasciola which migrates to liver through two routes. The first route, the immature of Fasciola may migrate through the abdominal cavity and penetrate the liver capsule. They are later found moving through the liver parenchyma. Within three weeks, the immature flukes will reach the bile ducts. The second route, excystation of immature fluke occurs in the duodenum through the bloodstream across the intestinal vein. They then proceed to the bile ducts of the liver. The development of immature fluke to adult fluke will take for 2.5-4 months. Fasciola may live for 3-5 years in host.



Figure 4 Shape and size variability of fasciolid eggs shed in human stools: (A-G) F. hepatica of Bolivia; (H-P) F. hepatica of Peru; (Q-S) F. hepatica of Georgia; (T-V) F. hepatica of Egypt; (W-Y) F. gigantica of Vietnam.

Source: Adela Valero et al. (2009)

2.1 Fasciola egg

The Liver fluke eggs are passed from the bile duct into duodenum and subsequently into feces. The eggs consist of a fertilized ovum surrounded by a large number of yolk granules. Eggs are large, yellowish brown in the color, oval in shape,

130-145 µm long by 70-90 µm wide and operculated with thin shell (Figure 4). It has a distinct, flat operculum and umbilicus-like invagination at the posterior end of the shell (Figure 5A). The eggs have shown the outer surface of the egg shell to be smooth and devoid of any microspines and highly conspicuous umbilicus-like invagination on the shell in the opposite side of the operculated end (Figure 5B and 5C). The eggs which are passed out in the feces on to pasture, are undevelop and undergo embryonation outside the host. Several physico-chemical factors, especially temperature, humidity and oxygen tension, are known to influence embryonation. The liver fluke eggs were found in the stools of infected animals between 9-13 weeks post infection. The highest egg counts (870-910 eggs per gram) were recorded at 24-25 weeks post infection (Martínez-Moreno *et al.*, 1999).



Figure 5 (A) Light micrograph (LM) of the egg.

- (B) Scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of the egg showing an umbilicuslike invagination (arrowhead).
- (C) SEM of the egg showing another shape of the umbilicus-like invagination (arrowhead).

Source: Hussein et al. (2010)



Figure 6 LM of miracidium showing apical papilla (ap. p.) and varied length of cilia, anterior and posterior long cilia (l. c.), eye spot (e. s.), short cilia (s. c.) and cilia free region (c. f. r.).

Source: Hussein et al. (2010)

2.2 Miracidium

The miracidium is about 130 μ m in length. The emerged miracidium swims rapidly in aimless directions. It has an elongated conical body that has a broad anterior end and tapering posterior end. The surface is covered with numerous cilia, except in lateral connection regions of epidermal plates. These cilia are found to be characteristically longer on the apical part of anterior end and the posterior extremity than the cilia on the rest of the body. There is an apical or papilla, on which open one apical gland and two pair of penetration glands. There is one pair of eye spots at the

right side of midline of anterior part (Figure 6). The miracidium has one pair of flame cells situated at the end of second third of the body. Each flame cell leads to a tubule which goes down to the lateral side to end in an excretory pore. Germ cells are scattered in the posterior part. The miracidium with SEM has shown the typical pyriform shape of the body. The apical papilla is shown in the middle of the anterior broad part, while the whole surface of the body was illustrating dark with variable size (Figure 7). After hatched from the egg, the miracidium becomes active, starting to swim to find suitable hosts. They are short-lived. If they can't penetrate into the intermediate host within 24 hours, they will die.



Figure 7 SEM of miracidium showing the conical body shaped, apical papilla (ap. p.) and many pits on the surface.

Source: Hussein et al. (2010)

11



Figure 8 LM of sporocyst.

Source: Fox (2013)

2.3 Sporocyst

After penetrating the snail, miracidium loses its cilia and become the sporocyst (Figure 8). The sporocyst migrates via the blood vessels or lymph channels to the digestive gland, which is situated in the upper spirals of the shell. That place it begins to grow. Each germinal cell gives rise to a ball of new germinal cell from which the next stage of larvae, redia.



Figure 9 LM of daughter redia showing developing cercariae (arrowheads) and germ cells (short arrow).

Source: Hussein et al. (2010)

2.4 Redia

Mother redia has an elongated, flat body with an anterior projecting circular ridge or collar and ended with caudal papilliform process (Figure 9). It has a muscular pharynx followed by a simple sac-like intestine (gut). The mother redia contains undifferentiated structures and germ cells. Between 16 and 20 of these germinal balls are produced within each redia. Mother redia measures 1.47-1.86 mm in length, daughter redia has a long, cylindrical body but has not collar and the caudal papilliform process is inconspicuous. It has two posterior processes at the beginning of the posterior third of the body. It contains developing cercariae and germ cells. The alimentary canal begins with mouth, which leads to suctorial pharynx, followed by a short and simple gut. There is a birth pore at the anterior end through it the developed

cercariae emerge. Daughter redia measured 1.26-3.01 mm in length by 0.16-0.37 mm in width. It has a short gut that measures 0.42-1.02 mm in length. SEM study of daughter redia revealed the conspicuous suctorial pharynex with rounded muscular walls (Figure 10). The mature redia measure 1-3 mm in length and are capable of considerable movement. Their migrations can cause serious damage and in heavy infections, death of snail. When the cercariae are fully developed, they escape from the redia by way of the birth pore which is situated laterally behind the anterior collar.



Figure 10 SEM of redia showing pharynx (ph.), collar (c.) and a posterior process (post. p.).

Source: Hussein et al. (2010)



Figure 11 LM of cercaria showing the general characters.

Source: Hussein et al. (2010)

2.5 Cercaria

The emerged cercaria in water swims actively in aimless direction. It is tadpole-like with a large heart shaped body and simple long tail. The body has a characteristically thick wall (Figure 11) and is surrounded by minute spines all over its surface. The body length ranges between 0.15-0.21 mm in length and 0.18-0.24 mm in width. The tail length is nearly three times as the body, as its length ranges between 0.56-0.7 mm, its width 0.35-0.56 mm. The ventral sucker is larger than the oral sucker. The former varies from 0.028-0.039 mm, while the latter 0.037-0.048 mm. The rudiment of the alimentary canal consists of a mouth followed by pharynx surrounding the oesophagus that leads to intestine. The latter bifurcates into two simple branches that extend around the ventral sucker to a level below the posterior border of the ventral sucker. The genital primordium is double-shaped with two unequal rounded or oval masses connected together with a longitudinal bar. It is located between the upper surface of the excretory vesicle and the upper border of the

ventral sucker. The body is full of numerous cystogenous glands. Due to the thick body wall and the densely deposited cytogenous glands, it is difficult to visualize the excretory system and flame cell formula. Study of the cercaria with two fin-like processes at its lateral sides (Figure 12). The cercariae generally leave the snail 4-7 weeks after infection by migrating through the tissues. Then, the cercariae are released from the snail. The cercariae swim freely in the water. They although tend to keep near the surface rather than going down into deeper water. They find the water plant or debris for attach and develop into the next stage, metacercariae.

After attachment of cercaria to a suitable object or even in the water, the tail is still lashing vigorously from side to side and a thin irregular wall starts to be secreted around the body from the cystogenous glands. Tail becomes separated from the body while the cystogenous glands secretions become more accumulated in several layers usually four around the more or less rounding-up body. At that stage, many of the internal structures of the cercarial body are easily seen through the cyst wall particularly the suckers and the genital primordium (Figure 13). The wall becomes more and more thick and the cercarial body becomes curled on itself in the cyst, which become slightly smaller in size but with a well developed cyst wall. At that stage it is difficult to differentiate any of the internal structures of the body.



Figure 12 SEM of cercaria showing the general shape of the body.

Source: Hussein et al. (2010)



Figure 13 LM of metacercaria.

Source: Hussein et al. (2010)

2.6 Metacercaria

Metacercaria has a double thick cyst wall. The recently formed metacercaria has larger diameter than the mature one where the former diameter ranging between 0.224-0.272 mm and the latter's diameter ranging between 0.215-0.256 mm. Study of the metacercaria with SEM has shown that the outer layer consists of filament (Figure 14). The structure of the cyst wall is complex with consisting of an outer cyst and inner cyst. The outer cyst wall probably acts as a barrier against bacterial and fungal infections, and is also important for attachment to the substrate. Strong adhesion to blade of water plant for long times is important for the survival of metacercariae and the infection of the definitive hosts. As the cyst may survive for long period and remain infective if the outer wall is removed, the inner cyst wall must play a more important part in the survival of the metacercariae. The cyst is white when laid, after one or two day the cyst gradually becomes yellow in color due to the presence of quinine and darkens as it hardens. Definitive host infected

fasciolosis by ingestion of water plants or water carrying metacercariae. Metacercariae excyst in duodenum and the immature flukes penetrate through the intestine wall to the liver.



Figure 14 SEM of metacercaria.

Source: Hussein *et al.* 2010)





Source: Rojas et al. (2010)

2.7 Lymnaeid snail

Fasciola needs one intermediate host to complete the life cycle, a fresh water gastropod from the superfamily of pulmonate snail, family lymnaeidae. Lymnaeidae snail occurs worldwide. The water snails in the family lymnaeidae are major medical and veterinary importance problem. They act as the intermediate hosts of many trematodes including *Fasciola* spp. Several species of lymnaeidae including *Galba truncatula*, *Pseudosuccinea columella*, *Radix auricularia* have been described as potential vectors of fascioliasis. The ability of *Fasciola* spreading is related to capacity of liver fluke intermediate hosts to colonize and adapt to new environments. The larva development is occurred in the water snails. The *Fasciola* miracidium penetrate into the water snails and develop into sporocyst, rediae and cercariae, respectively. The *Fasciola* cercariae are discharged from the water snails. The prevalence of snail infections with liver flukes related to prevalence of liver fluke infection in domestic animals. *G. truncatula*, *P. columella* and *Austropeplea viridis*

snail was infected with *F. hepatica* (Mekroud *et al.*, 2004; Molloy and Anderson, 2006). *R. rubiginosa*, *R. swinhoei* and *A. viridis* were reported in Thailand and infected with the *F. gigantica* larvae (Kaset *et al.*, 2010). The areas occurring lymnaeid snails were the high prevalence of fasciolosis (Srihakim and Pholpark, 1991; Itagaki *et al.*, 1988).

2.8 Water plant

After, cercariae are released from the water snails. They swim in water until encystment on the aquatic plants or debris. The *Fasciola* infection is occurred by ingesting the water plants or water contaminated with metacercariae of *Fasciola*. Many consumption water plants such as water caltrop (*Trapa natans*, *T. bispinosa* and *T. bicornis*) (figure 16), water chestnut (*Eleocharis tuberosa*) (figure 17), water hyacinth (*Eichhornia*) (figure 18), water bamboo (*Zizania*) (figure 19), water lotus (*Nymphaea lotus*), water lily (*Nymphaea*) (figure 20) and water morning glory (*Ipomoea aquatic*) (figure 21) can be attached with metacercariae.



Figure 16 Water caltrop (Trapa natans, T. bispinosa and T. bicornis)

Source: USDA (2013)



Figure 17 Water chestnut (Eleocharis tuberosa)

Source: USDA (2013)



Figure 18 Water hyacinth (Eichhornia)

Source: USDA (2013)



Figure 19 Water bamboo (Zizania)

Source: USDA (2013)



Figure 20 Water lotus or water lily (*Nymphaea*)

Source: USDA (2013)



Figure 21 Water morning glory (Ipomoea aquatic)

Source: USDA (2013)

3. Epidemiology

The epidemiology of bovine fasciolosis had been reported in many countries around the world and the fasciolosis impact had been estimated in several studies. The epidemic areas of fasciolosis distributes in Andean countries (Bolivia, Peru, Chile and Ecuador), the Carribbean area (Cuba), Northern Africa (Egypt) and Western Europe (Portugal, France and Spain) (Claxton, 1997; Esteban, 1999; González-Lanza *et al.*, 2011: Mas-Coma, 2005). In Asia (Turkey, Korea, Japan, Thailand, India, Yemen, Israel and Saudi Arabia), fasciolosis was sporadic. Some studies were reported the high prevalence in Iran (Kurdistan, Zandjan, Kerman shah, Mazandaran, Tehra, Azarbaijan and Gilan) (Moghaddam, 2004; Mas-Coma, 2005; Daryani, 2008).

There was the distribution of *Fasciola* infection in Southeast Asia and almost of fasciolosis was caused by F. gigantica. The liver fluke infection caused by F. gigantica, F. hepatica and Gigantocotyle explanatum were occurred in the liver of large ruminants and widespread on all the main islands in Indonesia (Suweta, 1991). The prevalences of bovine fasciolosis were found 6-90% in the both cattle and buffaloes in Indonesia and Malaysia (Partoutomo et al., 1985; Saleha, 1991). The survey of beef cattle at Nongdaung slaughterhouse, Vientiane capital in Lao PDR and Cambodia revealed between 5% and 94.7% infection rates with F. gigantica (Dorny et al., 2011; Phomhaksa et al., 2012). Fascioliasis was widespread and destructive parasitic disease of farm animals and still the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in ruminants in Vietnam. The survey on bovine fasciolosis was conducted in Vietnam and showed 28-72.2% positive rates in beef and dairy cattle (Geurden et al., 2008; Nguyen et al., 2011). The prevalence of Fasciola infection in cattle and buffaloes showed 0-85% and distributed in many parts of Thailand (Prasitirat et al., 1996-1997; Worasing, 2007; Gray et al., 2008; Neamjui et al., 2012). The percentage of infection in the northern part was the highest, while the lowest was found in the south (Tuntasuvan and kitikoon, 1996). Moreover, the human could be infected by Fasciola and there were the report of human fasciolosis in Thailand (Tesjaroen et al., 1988; Aroonroch et al., 2006; Kanoksil et al., 2006;). The infection rate of Fasciola was

found 0.36% in in-patient at Siriraj hospital from 1991-1995 (Tiewchaloren and Junnu, 1996).

Countries	Prevalence (%)	Authors and years
Indonesia	7-90	Partoutomo et al. (1985)
Colombia	18	Griffiths et al. (1986)
Spain	29.5	González-Lanza et al. (1989)
Malaysia	14.7-41.7	Saleha (1991)
Thailand	47.1	Sukhapesna et al. (1990)
Thailand	0-85	Srihakim and Pholpark (1991)
Thailand	0.36	Tiewcahloren and Junnu (1996)
Thailand	4-23.4	Tuntasuvan and Kitikoon (1996)
Thailand	8.9-13.9	Tomanakarn et al. (1998)
Mexico	60-80	Ibarra <i>et al.</i> (1998)
Peru	56.7-66.8	Neyra <i>et al.</i> (2002)
Spain	30.4-56	Paz-Silva et al. (2003)
Portugal	11-48	Conceição et al. (2004)
Algeria	6.3-27.3	Mekroud et al. (2004)
Iran	7.3-25.4	Moghaddam et al. (2004)
Australia	41.4	Molloy <i>et al.</i> (2005)
Britain	53.3	Pritchard et al. (2005)
Tanzania	28.4-63.8	Keyyu et al. (2006)
Australia	0-100	Molloy and Anderson (2006)
Switzerland	18	Rapsch <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Mexico	11.4-44.5	Munguía-Xóchihua et al. (2007)
Thailand	8.2	Worasing (2007)
Belgium	37.3	Bennema et al. (2009)
Ethiopia	24.3	Berhe et al. (2009)
Nigeria	29.4	Cadmus and Adesokan (2009)

 Table 1
 Prevalence of fasciolosis in cattle and buffaloes in the previous studies

Countries	Prevalence (%)	Authors and years
China	24.9-44.7	Liu et al. (2009)
India	2.7-31.1	Garg <i>et al</i> . (2009)
Tanzania	16.9-52.6	Nonga et al. (2009)
Ethiopia	4.9-14	Abunna et al. (2010)
Pakistan	4.8-20	Athar <i>et al</i> . (2010)
Egypt	17.2-33.7	Hussein and Khalifa (2010)
Brazil	15.2-28.2	Bernado et al. (2011)
Nigeria	13.1	Alawa <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Combodia	5-20	Dorny et al. (2011)
France	17.8	Duscher et al. (2011)
Poland	21.2	Kozlowska-Lój (2011)
Tanzania	8.6	Mellau <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Netherland	0-41	Walker <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Thailand	3.7	Jittapalapong et al. (2011)
Thailand	3.3-13.9	Neamjui et al (2012)
Germany	45.1-57.1	Kuerpick et al. (2012)
Lao PDR	11.6	Phomhaksa et al. (2012)


Figure 22 The infected liver with F. gigantica

4. Pathogenesis

Fasciola can naturally infect cattle, buffaloes, sheep, goats and human. It is a parasite of wildlife and zoo animals. The large ruminants are usually chronic *Fasciola* infection and the hosts express in poorly defined clinical signs. The farmers may have to be convinced that their domestic animals are affected by showing them specimens, slight shows or the improvements in body weight, draught performance or fertility that occur after treatment. The effect on animals is tissue damage, with resultant haematological and biochemical changes and immunological response. In general, the liver of host, the more relative damage caused by flukes and the less the functional reserve of the liver tissue. The disease occurs in three phases including pre-hepatic migration, the parenchyma during the migration of immature flukes through the liver parenchyma and biliary phase which coincides with their residence in the bile ducts. The infected livers are bigger and heavier than normal ones. Pale or haemorrhagic migratory tracts are present in the earlier stages. However, as the disease advances, the liver becomes generally paler and firmer and the bile ducts more prominent from dilated and thickened walls. There are fibrinous tags on the capsule of fibrous

adhesions between organs. Hepatic and mesenteric lymph nodes are reactive and enlarged.

Fasciolosis can be a spectacular disease. The acute terminal fasciolosis can be found causing the appearance of heavily infected animals with liver fluke. Pre-hepatic migration, newly excysted juveniles penetrate mucosa and through the abdominal cavity to the liver. After burrowing into the mucosa the juvenile fluke dissolves tissue in the submucosa and muscle layers of the small intestine and passes through the serosa into the abdominal cavity. Penetration is not associated with clinical disease perhaps because only relatively few cells are disrupted. The immature flukes may penetrate to other organs such as the diaphragm and lung. The pathology is more significant in heavy infections.

Maturation of flukes involves development and growth over 12-16 weeks during which time the immature fluke travels between and within organ. After penetration of the liver, immature flukes wander in the parenchyma for several weeks when their total effect is dose dependent. The individual fluke may pass through the same part of the liver twice or more during these peregrinations, fresh and resolving lesions caused by the sequential insults may be found in the same section of tissue. Histological changes occur in the following three phases which may coexist in fully developed cases. The first phase, necrotic and haemorrhagic tracts appear in subcapsular and parenchyma areas. The second phase, there are cellular reactions with infiltration by eosinophil and later macrophages and lymphocytes. Vascular damage may lead to infarction. The developing flukes wander for several weeks in the liver parenchyma, tracts become larger. Finally, the immunological reaction of macrophage and lymphocyte infiltration merges with fibrotic healing of the necrotic areas. The portal areas also become fibrotic. The flukes enter the bile ducts from about eight weeks after infection and begin egg production about 12 weeks after infection. As the immature fluke grows, the size of its track through the liver increases as does the damage and the inflammatory response. The level of infection also affects the pathology. Smaller infection generally is a chronic fasciolosis. The heavy burden causes more severe pathology and earlier termination by death hepatic stages, flukes are strong predilection for the liver tissue. The migration of the immature fluke through the liver tissue provides conditions conductive to multiplication of the bacterium and a necrotic hepatitis is usually found at post-mortem. Calves are susceptible to disease. The disease is characterized by weight loss, anaemia, hypoproteinaemia and death. Resistance develops with age so that adult cattle are quite resistant to infection although some benefits to weight gain have been reported following flukicide treatment. There is variation in the infection rates and the severity of disease with individual animals.

Surviving flukes confront hostile inflamatory reaction. The bile ducts thicken due to epithelial hypertrophy and subsequent fibrosis of the wall of the duct. Calcium deposits start to form in the duct wall after 8-12 weeks posted infection. As a result ducts enlarge up in diameter and become prominent on the surface of the liver. The lumina of the ducts are variously dilated and stenosed and the epithelium shows ulceration and haemorrhage. Few flukes reach the bile duct and few eggs are passed. Most flukes are lost by 12 weeks after infection.



Figure 23 The dilatation of bile duct with stones and bile sladge.

29

5. Control measures and eradication

5.1 Treatment and control with drug

The drugs of benzimidazole group such as triclabendazole, albendazole and mebendazole are for the treatment and control of helminthic parasites including *Fasciola* in the domestic animals. These drugs are highly effective for the treatment and control of bovine fasciolosis. The timing of drug use is equally as important as their efficacy. The best time for treatment for control of *Fasciola* infection is when it is assessed that animals might be free from infection as the occurrence of fasciolosis is seasonal. The domestic animals were treated with triclabendazole (12 mg/kg for cattle and 24 mg/kg for buffaloes) at the beginning of the wet season.

5.2 Snail control

Molluscicides have mainly recommended for use in the pond to control the water snails which are the intermediate hosts. However, some drugs may effect on non-target animals and plants in the habitat. The ducks or geese, which eat the water snails, have also been proposed as a possible means for biological control of liver flukes. The effective control would need enough ducks to eat many water snails in habitat before they shed cercariae.

5.3 Feed management

The viability of metacercariae had up to 23 weeks when stored in water. The increasing temperature and humidity were effected the viability and the sunlight could kill metacercariae within 8 hours. Metacercariae immersed in water remained viable longer than those allowed to desiccate. The metacercariae on the desiccated stalks would survive less than 2 weeks (Suhardono *et al.*, 2006). The fresh rice stalks were exposed by direct sunlight before storing or feeding them to the domestic animals.

6. Diagnosis

The diagnostic of Fasciola infection has many techniques including fecal examination, immunological method and molecular techniques. The conventional method is the fecal examination. This diagnostic test is finding the egg of parasites. The fecal techniques are achieved by both flotation and sedimentation of liver fluke eggs. The fecal methods base on formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation, beads technique (Bonita and Taira, 1996) and direct smears (Srimuzipo et al., 2000). The flotation technique, which is generally used for concentrating parasite eggs, can also be used to float liver fluke eggs. Sedimentation techniques require little stools which can detect the infection of liver fluke and the number of parasitic egg. The formalinethyl acetate is the referent method for detection of *Fasciola* infection. However, the coproscopic examination was impractical because the small numbers of egg were passed in large amounts of feces, particularly in the early stage of fasciolosis (Intapan et al., 2003). The sensitivity and repeatability of the fecal technique are found to be low when used for detecting eggs of F. gigantica in bovine feces. The fecal examination could not detect the early fasciolosis and showed high false negative in the chronic infection. Moreover the morphology of egg was similar to egg of other flukes, it made to misidentification. However, they were different in color (Awad et al., 2009). Thus, the serological methods are developed to diagnose fasciolosis in the early infection.

The immunological method was used to diagnose subclinical infection, early, current and chronic infection. That assay was simple, sensitive and specific for *Fasciola* infection but it was less practical for field surveys (Intapan *et al.*, 2003). The serological test was diagnosed by detecting circulating IgG against antigens. Moreover, ELISA could be used to diagnose the level of anti-*Fasciola* antibody in milk (Reichel *et al.*, 2005; Salimi-Bejestani *et al.*, 2007). The antigens were studied for immunological diagnosis which showed high sensitivity and specificity, such as crude somatic antigens, excretory-secretory antigen (ES-Ag), parasite eggs and larvae. The crude antigens from *F. gigantica* had 17 proteins such as 13.8, 15.7, 27.4, 30.1, 42.9, 53.3 and 178.4 kDa etc (Awad *et al.*, 2009). The performance of crude somatic

antigen in ELISA had high sensitivity (93.3 - 100 %) and specificity (80 - 85.2 %). Some crude antigens had been studied for their characteristic. The 12 kDa was fatty acid binding protein (FABP) while the 45 kDa was glutathione S-transferases (Estuningsih *et al.*, 1997).

There are many excretory-secretory antigens from *Fasciola* such as cathepsin cysteine proteases, glutathione S-transferase and fatty acid binding proteins. The molecular weights of ES-Ag were 28, 30, 40 and 60-62 kDa (Ridi *et al.*, 2007). Other studies, ES-Ag showed protein bands with molecular weight of 15, 28, 31.6, 32.9, 39.4, 83.3 and 101.7 kDa. However, the 27 kDa antigen of ES-Ag was the specific antigen of *Fasciola* (Estuningsih *et al.*, 1997).

The 27 kDa antigen was grouped of cathepsin cysteine proteases. This antigen was the main antigen in ES-Ag of Fasciola and detected in many organs of liver fluke including tegument, gut and reproductive organ. Cathepsin was produced from the midget epithelial cells of the parasite and the pH of cathepsin was 4.0-7.3 (Smith et al., 1993). Cathepsin proteases were found and differentiated function in each stage of the liver fluke. Cathepsin L was normally protease in each stages while, cathepsin B could find only in the juvenile stage (Robinson et al., 2009; Smooker et al., 2010). Cathepsin B and L helped the excystment in the metacercariae stage. Newly excysted juvenile produced cathepsin B and L to penetrating gastrointestinal tract, migration to liver, migration through liver parenchyma and feeding. Cathepsin L was the major proteases in the immature and adult fluke. The function of cathepsin L in that stage was the migration of immature fluke through liver parenchyma, migrating into bile duct and feeding. Moreover, cathepsin L could modulate the host immune response. Cathepsin protease was an important factor for damage of hosts causing Fasciola infection and modulation of host immune response (Oldham and William, 1985; Sajid and McKerrow, 2002).



Figure 24 The life stage of liver fluke and the proposed contributions of protease to various essential functions

Source: Smooker et al. (2010)

The ES-Ag based on serological diagnosis had high sensitivity (93 - 100%) and specificity (96.3 - 100%) in experimentally infected sheep, cattle and buffaloes (Estuningsih *et al.*, 1997; Cornelissen *et al.*, 2001; Kumar *et al.*, 2008), compared to coprological and bile examinations (Ridi *et al.*, 2007). The high accuracy (96%) was obtained when used ES-Ag as antigen for ELISA (Awad *et al.*, 2009). Cathepsin-ELISA (27 kDa) was high sensitivity (100%) and specificity (97.4). However, ELISA was no crossed reactivity with sera from animals infected with other worms such as *Paragonimus, Trichinella, Paramphistomum epiclitum, Schistosoma, Dicrocoelium*

and hydatid cyst (Cornelissen *et al.*, 1999; Dixit *et al.*, 2002; Intapan *et al.*, 2005; Sriveny *et al.*, 2006). The antibody against *Fasciola* in rabbit induced by 27 kDa ES-Ag could detect in 2 weeks post infection (Ghosh *et al.*, 2005) and signals persisted for at least 20 weeks (Cornelissen *et al.*, 2001). 27 kDa ES-Ag was evaluated to a commercially available ELISA for diagnose antibodies to *F. gigantica* and *F. hepatica* in cattle, sheep and buffaloes (Molly *et al.*, 2005).

7. Information of Songkhla Lake

Songkhla Lake is the largest natural water reservoir in the southern part of Thailand. The lake covers the area of 1,040 km² and borders the provinces of Songkhla and Patthalung. This lake is divided into 3 parts of northern lake (Noi sea), southern lake (Luang sea) and southern end lake (Songkhla sea). The northern lake is the fresh water lake and covering the area of 27 km² in Patthalung province. The southern lake covers the area of 830 km². The upper southern lake is the fresh water lake but the lower southern lake is the brackish water. The southern end lake is the brackish water and covering the area of 183 km². It is said that Songkhla Lake is "Lake of the water" that is both fresh water, brackish water and salt water. The Lake of water is highly variable depending on the season. In the dry season, some of the fresh water flow in the lake or the salt water invasion, the water of lake will be explicitly that is the upper part is fresh water, center part s fresh-brackish water and lower part is brackish-salt water. In rainy season, some of fresh water almost completely drains salt water out of the lake; all of water in the lake is nearly fresh water except the mouth of the lake is brackish. Songkhla Lake has stagnant water all year round. Climate around Songkhla Lake is influenced from southeast and northeast monsoons. Climate is distinguished into 2 seasons including rain and summer. Summer begins February to mid of July while rain is between July and January.

There are several domestic animals of goat, cattle and buffalo rearing nearby Songkhla Lake area. The traditional rearing system of cattle and buffaloes nearby the lake is freely grazing on the pastures. Cattle graze on the pasture and the shallow water nearby the lake while buffaloes can graze both on the pasture, the shallow water and deep water. Buffaloes are wider spread of grazing due to buffaloes can swim from the stay pasture to the other pastures and buffaloes have the long period of staying in Songkhla Lake. In the previous period, many cattle nearby Songkhla Lake died and the post mortem of these cattle found *Fasciola* in the liver. The dead cattle may be due to infections by *Fasciola*. There was the possibility of bovine fasciolosis distribution around the lake.



MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Study areas

This study was conducted nearby Songkhla Lake at Sathing Phra (ST), Ranot (SR), Singha Nakhon (SS), Krasaesin (SA) and Khuan Niang (SK) districts in Songkhla province (Figure 25).



Figure 25 5 districts of study areas in Songkhla province nearby Songkhla Lake

2. Blood and fecal samples collection

505 and 95 blood samples of cattle and buffaloes, respectively were collected directly from jugular vein of animals. Blood samples were allowed to clot at room temperature for one hour, centrifuged at 1,448 G for 20 min, separated for sera, and stored at -20°C. 500 and 83 fecal samples of cattle and buffaloes, respectively, were collected directly from the rectum of the animals and stored at 4°C.



Figure 26 Collecting blood samples from jugular vein of buffalo



Figure 27 Collecting fecal samples from rectum of buffalo

3. Fecal examination by formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation

Fecal samples were washed in normal saline, strained into centrifuge tube, and centrifuged at 1,448 G for 5 min. The supernatant was decanted, and 10% formalin was added to a volume of 10 ml into the tube and mixed. The suspension was added 2 ml with ethyl acetate, shaken and centrifuged at 1,448 G for 5 min. Finally, loosening the debris plugs at the top layer and 10% formalin were decanted. The remaining pellets were mixed with new 10% formalin, added a drop of suspension on a glass slide and examined under light microscope.

4. Collection of adult F. gigantica and isolation of ES-Ag

Adult worms of F. gigantica were collected from liver and bile ducts of cattle at the slaughter houses and transferred into phosphate buffer saline (pH 7.2). Alive flukes were washed with normal saline to remove bile contents. Thereafter, the flukes were washed five times in PBS (pH 7.2). Adult flukes were washed and cultured to obtaining ES antigen which followed the protocol by Sriveny et al. (2006). Briefly, the flukes were incubated in RPMI1640 (penicillin 100 unit/ml) at 37°C overnight. One liver fluke was incubated in 1 ml of medium for each well. At the end of the incubation, the culture media containing ES-Ag product were harvested and centrifuged at 10,000 G for 30 min at 4°C to remove the debris and parasite eggs. Then, the media supernatant was precipitated by two steps alcoholic precipitation. Chilled ethanol was added drop wise to the media supernatant to a final concentration of 60% (v/v) and protein precipitation was carried out overnight at -20°C. The media supernatant at 60% (v/v) ethanol concentration was centrifuged at 10,000 G. The supernatant was taken to a final ethanol concentration of 75% (v/v) and protein precipitated overnight at-20°C. The precipitate was retrieved by pelleting at 10,000 G for 30 min at 4 °C. The pellet representing regurgitated protein was washed in absolute ethanol, air dried and resuspended in PBS, pH 7.2. ES-Ag was determined protein concentration by Bradford method, aliquotted and stored at -80°C.



Figure 28 Incubated adult F. gigantica in RPMI1640

5. Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

The sera from cattle and buffaloes were evaluated for anti-*F. gigantica* antibody by ELISA. The ELISA was performed following the method described by Raina *et al.* (2006) with some modifications. Briefly, ELISA plates were coated with 0.25 μ g ES Ag per well in 100 μ L of 0.1M carbonate buffer (pH 9.5), incubated at 4 °C overnight. The plates were washed five times with 200 μ L/well washing buffer (0.05% Tween-20 in PBS) and blocked with 100 μ L/well blocking buffer (3% skimmed milk powder in PBS) for 1 h at 37 °C. The plates were washed five times with washing buffer. One hundred μ L of serum dilution (1:200 dilutions in blocking solution) was added into the wells and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The plates were washed five times. The anti-bovine IgG peroxidase conjugate was added into the wells and the plates were incubated at 37 °C. Finally for 1 h, after five washes, 100 μ L of substrate (3,3'5,5'-tetramethyl benzidine, TMB) was added and plates were incubated at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. The reaction was stopped by adding 100 μ L of 1N HCl into each well. The absorbance was read at 450 nm by ELISA plate reader. The positive control was chosen from the positive sample tested

by fecal examination which the cattle showed single helminthic infection. The negative control was chosen from the sample which was not found any parasite in the stool. The cut-off point for the OD from the ELISA method was analyzed from the mean OD obtained from the sera of 32 negative samples diagnosed by formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation. Three standard deviations of these measurements were added to the mean of negative samples (Hillyer *et al.*, 1992).

Cut-off point = mean OD of negative samples + 3SD

6. Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

The SDS-PAGE analysis of antigens of Fasciola was performed according to Laemmli (1970) and the obtained protein bands were stained with Coomassie blue R-250. The gels generally consist of acrylamide, bisacrylamide, SDS, and a Tris-Cl buffer with adjusted pH. Ammonium persulfate and TEMED were added when the gel was ready to be polymerized. Gels were polymerized in a gel caster. Firstly, the separating gel was poured and allowed to polymerize. Next, the loading gel was poured and a comb was placed to create the well. After the gel polymerization, the comb was removed and the gel was ready for electrophoresis. The electrophoresis apparatus was set up with cathode buffer covering the gel in the negative electrode chamber, and anode buffer in the lower positive electrode chamber. The denatured sample proteins were added into the wells with syringe or pipette. The apparatus was hooked up to a power source under appropriate running conditions to separate the protein bands. An electric current was applied across the gel, causing the negatively charged proteins to migrate across the gel towards the anode. Depending on their size, each protein would move differently through the gel matrix: short proteins would more easily fit through the pores in the gels while larger ones would have more difficulty (they encounter more resistance). After a set amount of time (usually a few hours- this depends on the voltage applied across the gel; higher voltages run faster but tend to produce somewhat poorer resolution), the proteins would have differentially migrated based on their size; smaller proteins would have traveled farther down the gel, while larger ones would have remained closer to the point of origin. Therefore, proteins may be separated roughly according to their size (molecular weight), certain glycoprotein behave anomalously on SDS gels.

7. Statistical Analysis

The prevalence of fasciolosis was determined and analyzed by from the ratio of positive results and total number of animals, and data was analyzed using the chisquare test, according to age and sex by Number Cruncher Statistical System programs (NCSS) version 2000 (Kaysville, UT).



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

1. The serological examination using ES-Ag based on ELISA

The 32 negative samples were tested for ELISA and provided mean of 0.452 and SD of 0.089. The cut-off point = 0.454 + 3(0.089) = 0.719. A sample was positive when its OD was greater than 0.719. The seroprevalences of Fasciola infection were 29.2% (148/505) and 78.9% (75/95) in cattle and buffaloes, respectively (Table 2). The ELISA results in cattle were 49.4% (42/85), 34.2% (39/114), 15.3% (17/111), 22.8% (34/149) and 34.8% (16/46) in Singha Nakon, Sathing Phra, Krasaesin, Ranot and Khuan Niang districts, respectively (Table 2). The positive rates of Fasciola infection in buffaloes were 85.2% (23/27), 100% (29/29), 70% (14/20) and 52.6% (9/19) in Singha Nakon, Sathing Phra, Krasaesin and Ranot districts, respectively (Table 2). The end-point titer was varying between 1:100 and 1:6,400 in cattle while, the end-point titer in buffaloes varied between ranging from 1:200 to 1:12,800. The highest end-point titers of cattle and buffaloes were 1:400 and 1:6,400, respectively. The highest seroprevalence in cattle was Singha Nakon district (49.4%), while, the lowest was Krasaesin district (15.3%) (Table 2). Sathing Phra district (100%) was the highest positive rate of Fasciola infection diagnosed by ELISA and Ranot district (52.6%) was the lowest positive rate in buffaloes (Table 2).

Districts	No. of	animals	Positive Fasciola (%)			
Districts	Cattle	Buffaloes	Cattle	Buffaloes		
Singha Nakon	85	27	42(49.4)	23(85.2)		
Sathing Phra	114	29	39(34.2)	29(100)		
Krasaesin	111	20	17(15.3)	14(70)		
Ranot	149	19	34(22.8)	9(52.6)		
Khuan Niang	46		16(34.8)			
Total	505	95	148(29.2)	75(78.9)		

Table 2 The prevalence of *Fasciola* infection in cattle and buffaloes checked by ELISA

2. The fecal examination using formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation

The result of fecal examination showed the high prevalence of gastrointestinal parasite in both of cattle and buffaloes (92.8% in cattle and 85% in buffaloes) (Table 3). The present study found several parasites including *Capillaria, Eurytrema pancreaticum, Fasciola, Moniezia benedeni*, Rumen flukes, Strongyle worms and *Trichuris* (Table 4). These parasites distributed in each districts of Songkhla province nearby Songkhla Lake. The prevalences of *Fasciola* infection were 7.8% (39/500) and 30.1% (25/83) in cattle and buffaloes, respectively. The positive rates of *Fasciola* infection in cattle were 17.9% (15/84), 7.1% (8/113), 4.5% (5/112), 3.4% (5/145) and 13% (6/46) in Singha Nakon, Sathing Phra, Krasaesin, Ranot and Khuan Niang districts, respectively (Table 3). The prevalence of *Fasciola* infection in buffaloes were 18.5% (5/27), 65.4% (17/26) and 27.3% (3/11) in Singha Nakon, Sathing Phra and Ranot districts, respectively but Krasaesin did not find the infected buffaloes (Table 3).

Districts	No. of	fanimals	Positive pa	arasite (%)	Positive Fasciola(%)		
Districts	Cattle	Buffaloes	Cattle	Buffaloes	Cattle	Buffaloes	
Singha Nakon	84	27	76(90.5)	23(85.2)	15(17.9)	5(18.5)	
Sathing Phra	113	26	108(95.6)	25(96.2)	8(7.1)	17(65.4)	
Krasaesin	112	19	110(98.2)	17(89.5)	5(4.5)	-	
Ranot	145	11	126(86.9)	6(54.5)	5(3.4)	3(27.3)	
Khuan Niang	46	-	44(95.7)	10-	6(13)	-	
Total	500	83	464(92.8)	71(85)	39(7.8)	25(30.1)	

Table 3 The prevalence of *Fasciola* infection in cattle buffaloes checked by fecal examination

3. The SDS-PAGE analysis of ES-Ag

Protein concentration of ES-Ag, measured using the Bradford assay, was estimated at 900 μ g/ml. Banding patterns on SDS-PAGE for ES-Ag of *F. gigantica* was showed in figure 29. The one specific band was clustered at 27 kDa.

4. The statistic analysis of data

The data were analyzed using the chi-square test, according to age and sex. The >7 years cattle (42.7%) showed the highest positive percentage of *Fasciola* infection while the positive percentage in female cattle (33.5%) was higher than the male cattle (17.4%) (Table 5). Both age and sex group differences were significant (p<0.001) in cattle. The >7 years (75.9%) and female (78.6%) buffaloes showed the lowest positive rate of *Fasciola* infection. However, the age and sex group differences were not significant (p=0.8 in age group and p=0.76 in sex group) in buffaloes (Table 5).

Districts	No. of	Positive	Parasite species														
	animals	parasite (%)	С	F	R	S	C+R	C+S	E+R	F+R	M+S	R+S	R+T	C+R+S	F+M+R	F+R+S	R+S+T
Singha Nakon				-	-		69	2.7		- Á							
Cattle	84	76(90.48)	-	-	48	4	1	14	6-4)	15	-1	8		. A. 1		-	-
Buffaloes	27	23(85.18)	۰.	3	17	1	-			2		1.4	4-	-		-	-
Sathing Phra				2		27	1	121		317		91.					
Cattle	113	108(95.58)	-	2	72	7	1	1		5	- 6	20	2	-	-	3	-
Buffaloes	26	25(96.15)	-	1	8	97	Ê.	4	/ -	16		2	Č.	-	-	-	-
Krasaesin				$\overline{\Sigma}$	77	V.	$c \sim 2$			2		71	S.		-		
Cattle	112	110(98.21)	-	-5	76	4	B-)	-	1	4	8 - S	23	1	-	-	1	-
Buffaloes	19	17(89.47)	1	-	16	4) - (7-))	× - /	9 - Y	7-3		<u></u>		-	-
Ranot		1.6	7		VD.			2. 1	24		7			8			
Cattle	145	126(86.90)	1	-	77	13	2	2	-	3	1	23	-	1	-	2	1
Buffaloes	11	6(54.54)	-	-	3	-	10-1	- 7	-	2	-20	-	-		-	1	-
Khuan Niang						-	~~										
Cattle	46	44(95.65)	-	-	32	-	3	-	-	5	-	3	-	-	1	-	-
Total								100		- N							
Cattle	500	464(92.8)	1	-	30	28	6	3	1	32	1	77	1	1	1	6	1
Buffaloes	83	71(85)	1	4	44	1	-			20		-	-	-	-	1	-

Table 4 The prevalence of intestinal parasite infections in cattle and buffaloes in each districts checked by fecal examination

Abbreviations: C = Capillaria, E = E. pancreaticum, F = Fasciola, M = M. benedeni, R = Rumen flukes, S = Strongyle worms, T = Trichuris

Parameter	rs	No. of animals	Positive Fasciola (%)	Statistic		
Cattle						
Age						
	<1	35	1(2.9)	X ² =21.0, df=2,		
	1-6	367	103(28.1)	p<0.001		
	>7	103	44(42.7)			
Sex						
	Male	132	23(17.4)	$X^2 = 12.1, df = 2,$		
	Female	373	125(33.5)	p<0.001		
Buffaloes						
Age						
	<7	37	31(83.8)	X ² =0.06, df=1,		
	>7	58	44(75.9)	p=0.8		
Sex						
	Male	11	9(81.8)	$X^2 = 0.09, df = 1,$		
]	Female	84	66(78.66)	p=0.76		

Table 5 The influential factors of age and sex on *Fasciola* infection in cattle and buffaloes

*p≤0.05 is statistically significant



Figure 29 SDS-PAGE of ES-Ag stained with Coomassie blue: lane 1. Molecular weight marker (kDa) lane 2. ES-Ag

Discussion

The prevalence of bovine fasciolosis checked by fecal examination based on formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation (7.8% (39/500) and 30.1% (25/83) in cattle and buffaloes, respectively) in the present study was similar to the previous studies in Nakhon Si Thammarat province. The fecal samples of beef and dairy cattle in Pakpanang river basin, Nakhon Si Thammarat province were diagnosed for gastrointestinal parasites by simple floatation technique and the result of this study showed 8.2% (237/2,898) in the positive rate of infection with Fasciola. However, there were several previous studies in many parts of Thailand and the results of these studies differentiated with the current study. Sukhapesna et al. (1990) studied the rate of liver fluke infection by fecal examination in buffaloes in Thailand. The infection rate in 240 buffaloes was 47.1% which was higher positive rate than the current study. The epidemiological investigation of liver fluke of cattle was carried out in Thailand from 1992-1995 by simple flotation method. The 14.4% of 7,209 cattle was found the Fasciola egg in the fecal samples (Prasitirat et al., 1996-1997). That study was higher positive rate of liver fluke infection than the current study. The prevalence of *Fasciola* infection was 3.7% (59/1,599) of dairy cows diagnosed by formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation in Thailand (Jittapalapong et al., 2011). Compare to the current study showed the higher of infection rate than that study. Neamjui et al. (2012) investigated the prevalence and risk factor of liver fluke infection in cattle and buffaloes using the fecal sedimentation method in Buriram province and the results showed 3.3% (6/180) and 13.9% (25/180) of cattle and buffaloes, respectively. This study was lower prevalence of fasciolosis in both cattle and buffaloes than the current study.

The conventional method of diagnosis for fasciolosis is the fecal examination. These methods are simple, fast, low cost and practical for field survey. However, the coproscopic examination is impractical because the morphology of egg is similar to egg of other flukes such as *Fasciolopsis buski* and Rumen flukes, it makes to misidentification (Awad *et al.*, 2009). The low sensitivity of the fecal examination may be due to low numbers of egg are passed in the large amounts of feces, possibly as a result of low parasite burden and the parasite eggs were not released in the early

stage of infection (Adedokun et al., 2008). Moreover, the coprological examination show high false negative in the chronic infection. ELISA was reliable tool for the early, current and chronic serodiagnosis of bovine and ovine fasciolosis (Awad et al., 2009; Cornelissen et al., 2001; Salam et al., 2009). The circulating antigens can be diagnosed as early as the first to third weeks after infection and the peak of antibody against F. gigantica at about 16 weeks after infection (Viyanant et al., 1997). ELISA was more sensitivity, specificity and accuracy than the fecal examination (Reichel et al., 2005). Moreover, 27 kDa ES-Ag based on ELISA could be used and showed as the sensitive and specific antigen for immunodiagnosis of human fascioliasis (Córdova et al., 1999; Tantrawatpan et al., 2003). 27 kDa antigen based on ELISA was no crossed reaction with Paramphistomes in bovine fasciolosis (Ghosh et al., 2005; Molloy, 2005; Yokananth et al., 2005) and Schistosoma mansoni, hydatidosis, cysticercosis and chagas disease in human patients (O'Neill et al., 1998). Then, ES-Ag based on ELISA is a recommendatory method for diagnosis bovine fasciolosis. However, there is the limitation of ES-Ag ELISA which has the false positive of Fasciola infection due to the cross-reactivity between Fasciola with other flukes.

Female dairy cattle was higher positive rate of fasciolosis than male which caused the practice of male dairy cattle under good management condition compared with the female for the breeding purpose (Bedarkar *et al.*, 2000; Phiri *et al.*, 2005; Salam *et al.*, 2009). Some physiological peculiarities of the lactating mother cattle could reduce their immunity to infections and be weak or malnourished. Therefore, female cattle were more susceptible to the infection with parasites including *Fasciola* in the lactation period (Kuchai *et al.*, 2011). However, in the study area, both male and female in cattle and buffaloes are the same practice which rears freely grazing on the pastures. Thus, sex is not influential factor of *Fasciola* infection in cattle and buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake.

The prevalence of *F. gigantica* infection as observed through fecal egg counts was high in adult cattle compared to calves (Anderson *et al.*, 1999). The older cattle were related to the longer exposure time and accumulation of flukes in the liver compared to young cattle (Vassilev, 1999). The 0-2 years of cattle grazed less

frequently than the older cattle, reducing possibility of infection with *Fasciola* metacercariae. Fasciolosis was mainly acquired when cattle were grazing pastures (Sánchez-Andrade *et al.*, 2002). The high positive rate of liver fluke in adult cattle were attributed to their long exposure time leading to development of immunity against the pathogenic effects of the immature flukes but still having the mature flukes maintain their high capacity of egg production (Pfukenyi *et al.*, 2005). Similarly, the highest prevalence was showed in the >7 years cattle while in the >7 years buffaloes showed lower positive rate than the <7 years buffaloes but it was not statistical significance (p=0.8). However, some studies reported the younger animals were more susceptible to infections than the older animals. The old animals may acquire immunity to the parasites through frequent challenge and expel the ingested parasite before they established infection (Dalton, 1999; Kuchai *et al.*, 2011).

Buffaloes had lower of mature and immature flukes and fecal egg count than cattle. The flukes may be suppressed development, longer prepatent period or delayed migration in buffaloes. Buffaloes were likely to be more resistant to F. gigantica infection than cattle (Molina et al., 2005). The Indonesian swamp buffalo calves (Bubalus bubalis) were higher resistance and resilience to infection with F. gigantica than Ongole (Bos indicus) and Bali calves (Bos sondaicus) because the infected buffalo calves were the higher of immature and adult fluke counts, fecal Fasciola egg counts and weight gain than the infected cattle calves (Wiedosari et al., 2006). The naturally acquired fasciolosis in cattle and buffaloes was closely related to the husbandry system and the number of metacercariae occurred in the pastures (Sánchez-Andrade et al., 2002). Cattle were lower positive rates of Fasciola infection than buffaloes diagnosed by both fecal examination and ELISA in the current study Because of the wider grazing pastures in buffaloes. Cattle rear on the land or the shallow water but buffaloes can graze in all field in Songkhla Lake due to buffaloes can swim and dive to eat grass in the deep water. Therefore, buffaloes are more possibility of ingesting water plants contaminated with metacercariae than cattle.

Songkhla Lake has stagnant water all year round which influence for distributing of Fasciola due to the habitat nearby the lake appropriates the living and proliferation of freshwater snails and growth of water plants. Both freshwater snails and water plants are the important intermediate host for *Fasciola*. The flowing water into Songkhla Lake approves the migration of infected freshwater snails with Fasciola larva as same as the spreading of fasciolosis to other fields in the lake. The cycle of liver fluke is maintained in the areas because of the traditional rearing system around Songkhla Lake. The lake is contaminated with parasites from the feces of animal dropped on the ground or into the water and spreading around the lake from water current. Moreover, the animal migration around the lake is also a factor of parasite spreading. Although, the data of human fascioliasis in Songkhla province has never reported in the area but the data in the present study shows high prevalence of bovine fasciolosis. There is a possibility of Fasciola infection in human because human and domestic animals ingest water plants growing in the lake and they share the environment or habitat with each other. From the current study, Songkhla Lake is the natural reservoir for parasitic disease of cattle, buffaloes and human. Therefore, the people nearby the lake should avoid ingesting raw or uncooked water plants which grow in this area. And cattle and buffaloes should be treated by triclabendazole administered in twice times of 10 mg/kg per treatment (Mas-Coma et al., 2007).

CONCLUSION

Both of cattle and buffaloes were infected with *F. gigantica* in every district in this study area. Particularly, buffaloes showed the high level of *Fasciola* infection (78.9%). The <7 years cattle was the higher positive rate of infection with *Fasciola* than the >7 years and the male cattle showed lower infection rate than the female. Both of age and sex group differences were significant (p<0.001) in cattle while there were not found statistic significance in buffalo. Thus, Songkhla Lake is the natural reservoir for fasciolosis in cattle, buffaloes and possibly humans. Humans should avoid eating raw and uncooked water plants growing in Songkhla Lake.



LITERATURE CITED

- Abunna, F., L. Asfaw, B. Megersa and A. Regassa. 2010. Bovine fasciolosis: coprological, abattoir survey and its economic impact due to liver condemnation at Soddo municipal abattoir, Southern Ethiopia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 42: 289-292.
- Adedoken, O.A., A.B. Ajyinmode and B.O. Fagbemi. 2008. A comparative study of three methods for detecting *Fasciola* infections in Nigerian cattle. Vet.
 Arhirv. 78(5): 411-416.
- Adela Valero, M., I. Perez-Crespo, M. Victoria Periago, M. Khoubbane and S. Mas-Coma. 2009. Fluke egg characteristics for the diagnosis of human and animal fascioliasis by *Fasciola hepatica* and *F. gigantica*. Acta Tropica. 111: 150-159.
- Alawa, C.B.I., I. Etukudo-Joseph and J.N. Alawa. 2011. A 6-year survey of pathological conditions of slaughtered animals at Zango abattoir in Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 43:127-131.
- Anderson, N., T.T. Luong, N.G. Vo, K.L. Bui, P.M. Smooker and T.W. Spithill. 1999. The sensitivity and specificity of two methods for detecting *Fasciola* infection in cattle. Vet. Parasitol. 83: 15-24.
- Arias, M., P. Morrondo, G.V. Hillyer, R. Sanchez-Andrade, J.L. Suarez, C. Lomba, J. Pedreira, P. Diaz, P. Diez-Banos and A. Paz-Silva. 2007. Immunodiagnosis of current fasciolosis in sheep naturally exposed to *Fasciola hepatica* by using a 2.9 kDa recombinant protein. Vet. Parasitol. 146: 46-49.
- Aroonroch, R., S. Worawichawong, P. Nitiyanant, A. Kanchanapitak and S.
 Bunyaratvej. Hepatic fascioliasis due to *Fasciola hepatica*: a two-case report.
 J. Med. Assoc. Thai. 89(10): 1770-1774.

- Athar, L.A., M.N. Khan, M.S. Sajid, T. Rehman and I.A. Khan. 2010. Cost benefits analysis of anthelmintic treatment of cattle and buffaloes. Pak. Vet. J. 31(2): 149-152.
- Awad, W.S., A.K. Ibrahim and F.A. Salib. 2009. Using indirect ELISA to assess different antigens for the serodiagnosis of *Fasciola gigantica* infection in cattle, sheep and donkeys. **Res. Vet. Sci.** 86: 466-471.
- Bedarkar, S.N., B.W. Nariadkar and P.D. Despnade. 2000. Prevalence of snail borne fluke infection in ruminants of Marathwada region. **Ind. Vet. J.** 77: 751-754.
- Bennema, S., J. Vercruysse, E. Claerebout, T. Schnieder, C. Strube, E. Ducheyne, G. Hendrickx and J. Charlier. 2009. The use of bulk-tank milk ELISAs to assess the spatial distribution of *Fasciola hepatica*, *Ostertagia ostertagi* and *Dictyocaulus viviparous* in dairy cattle in Flanders (Belgium). Vet. Parasitol. 165:51-57.
- Berhe, G., K. Berhane and G. Tadesse. 2009. Prevalence and economic significance of fasciolosis in cattle in Mekelle area of Ethiopia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 41:1503-1504.
- Bernardo, C.C., M.B. Carneiro, B.R. Avelar and D.M. Donatele. 2011. Prevalence of liver condemnation to bovine fasciolosis in Southern Espírito Santo: temporal distribution and economic losses. **Res. Bras. Parasitol. Vet. Jaboticaval.** 20(1): 49-53.
- Bonita, R. and N. Taira. 1996. Faecal examination of *Fasciola* eggs fixed with formalin solution using the beads technique. **Vet. Parasitol.** 67: 269-273.
- Cadmus S.I.B. and H.K. Adesokan. 2009. Causes and implications of bovine organs/offal condemnations in some abattoir in Western Nigeria. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 41:1455-1463.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2013. About Parasite – Fascioliasis (*Fasciola* infection). Available Source: http://www.cdc.gov/parasites/fasciola/biology.html, April 4, 2013.

- Claxton, J.R., H. Zambrano, P. Ortiz, C. Amorós, E. Delgado, E. Escurra and M.J. Clarkson. 1997. The epidemiology of fasciolosis in the inter-Andean valley of Cajamara, Peru. **Parasitol. Int.** 46: 281-288.
- Conceição, M.A.P., R.M.B. Durão, I.M.H. Costa, A. Castro, A.C. Louzã and J.C. Costa. 2004. Herd-level seroprevalence of fasciolosis in cattle in north central Portugal. Vet. Parasitol. 123: 93-103.
- Córdova, M., L. Reátegui and J.R. Espinoza. 1999. Immunodiagnosis of human fascioliasis with *Fasciola hepatica* cysteine proteinase. **Trans. R. Soc. Trop.** Med. Hyg. 93(1): 54-57.
- Cornelissen, J.B.W.J., C.P.H. Gaasenbeek, W.J.A. Boersma, F.H.M. Borgsteede and F.J.V. Milligen. 1999. Use of a pre-selected epitope of cathepsin-L1 in a highly specific peptide-based immunessay for the diagnosis of *Fasciola hepatica* infections in cattle. **Int. J. Parasitol.** 29: 685-696.

_, ____, ____, W.G. Holland and M.M. Harmsen. 2001. Early immunodiagnosis of fasciolosis in ruminants using recombinant *Fasciola hepatica* cathepsin L-like pretease. **Int. J. Parasitol.** 31: 728-737.

Dalton, J.P. 1999. Fasciolosis. Wallingford, Oxon.

Daryani, A., G.K. Ettehad, M. Sharif, L. ghorbani and H. Ziaei. 2008. Prevalence of liver fluke infections in slaughtered animal in Ardabil province, Northwestern Iran. J. Anim. Vet. Adv. 5(5): 408-411.

- Dixit, A.K., S.C. Yadav and R.L. Sharma. 2002. 28 kDa *Fasciola gigantica* cysteine proteinase in the diagnosis of prepatent ovine fasciolosis. Vet. Parasitol. 109: 233-247.
- Dorny, P., V. Stoliaroff, J. Charlier, S. Meas, S. Sorn, B. Chea, D. Holl, D.V. Aken and J. Vercruysee. 2011. Infections with gastrointestinal nematodes, *Fasciola* and *Paramphistomum* in cattle in Cambodia and their association with morbidity parameters. Vet. Parasitol. 175: 293-299.
- Duscher, R., g. Duscher, J. Hofer, A. Tichy, H. Prosl and A. Joachim. 2011.
 Fasciola hepatica Monitoring the milky way? The use of tank milk for liver fluke monitoring in dairy herds as base for treatment strategies. Vet.
 Parasitol. 178: 273-278.
- Esteban, J.G., A. Flores, R. Angles and S. Mas-Comma. 1999. High endemicity of human fascioliasis between Lake Titicaca and La Paz valley, Bolivia. T. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. H. 93: 151-156.
- Estuningsih, S.E., P.M. Smooker, E. Wiedosari, S. Widjajanti, S. Vaiano, S.
 Partoutomo and T.W.Spithill. 1997. Evaluation of antigen of *Fasciola* gigantica as vaccines against tropical fasciolosis in cattle. Int. J.
 Parasitol. 24: 1419-1428.
- Fagbemi, B.O. and E.E. Goubadia. 1995. Immunodiagnosis of fasciolosis in ruminants using 28 kDa cysteine proteinase of *Fasciola gigantica* adult worms. Vet. Parasitol. 57: 309-318.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 1994. Diseases of domestic animals caused by liver fluke: epidemiology, diagnosis and control of *Fasciola*, paramphistome, *Dicroceoelium*, *Eurytrema* and schistosome infection of ruminants in developing countries. FAO: Rome.

- Fox, J.C. 2013. Clinical parasitology images. Available Source: http://instruction.cvhs.okstate.edu/jcfox/htdocs/Disk1/Images/Img0087d.jpg, April 4, 2013.
- Garg, R., C. L. Yadav, R. R. Kumar, P. S. Banerjee, S. Vatsya and R. Godara. 2009. The epidemiology of fasciolosis in ruminants in different ger-climatic regions of north India. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 41: 1695-1700.
- Geurden, T., R. Somers, N.T.G. Thanh, L.V. Vien, V.T. Nga, H.H. Giang, P. Dorny,
 H.K. Giao and J. Vercruysse. 2008. Parasitic infections in dairy cattle around
 Hanoi, northern Vietnam. Vet. Parasitol. 153: 384-388.
- Ghosh, S., P. Rawat, R. Velusamy, D. Joseph, S.C. Cupta and B.P. Singh. 2005. 27
 kDa *Fasciola gigantica* for diagnosis of prepatent fasciolosis in cattle. Vet.
 Res. Commun. 29: 123-135.
- González-Lanza, C., Y. Manga-Gonzalez, P. Del-Pozo-Carnero and R. Hedalgo-Arguello. 1989. Dynamics of elimination of the eggs of *Fasciola hepatica* (Trematoda, Digenea) in the faeces of cattle in the Porma basin, Spain. Vet. Parasitol. 34: 35-43.
 - ___, J.G. Esteban, M.D. Bargues, M.A. Valero, P. Ortiz, C. Náquira and S. Mas-Coma. 2011. Hyperendemic human fascioliasis in Andean valley: an altitudinal transect analysis in children of Cajamarca province, Peru. ACTA Tropica. 120: 119-129.
- Gray, G.D., R.S. Copland and D.B. Copeman. 2008. Overcoming liver
 flukes as a constraint to ruminant production in South-East Asia.
 Bluestar Print, Australia.

- Griffiths, I.B., D.G. Parra, O.G. Vizcaino and M.I. Gallego. 1986. Prevalence of parasite eggs and cysts in faeces from dairy cattle in Colombia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 18:155-157
- Hillyer, G.M., M.S.D. Galanes, J. Rodriguez-Perez, J. Bjorland, M.S. De Lagrava,
 S.R. Guzman and R.T. Bryan. 1992. Use of the falcon assay screening testenzyme-linked immunosorbent (FAST-ELISA) and the enzyme-linked immunoelectrotransfer blot (EITB) to determine the prevalence of human fascioliasis in the Bolivian Altiplano. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 46: 603-609.
- Hussein, A-N.A., I.M. Hassan and R.M.A. Khalifa. 2010. Description of eggs and larval stages of *Fasciola*, light and scanning electron microscopic Studies. **Res. J. Parasitol.** 5(1): 1-12.

_____ and R.M.A. Khalifa. 2010. Fascioliasis prevalences among animals and human in Upper Egypt. J. KSU. (Sci.). 22: 15-19.

- Ibarra, F., N. Montenegro, Y. Vera, C. Boulard, H. Quiroz, J. Flores and P. Ochoa. 1998. Comparison of three ELISA tests for seroepidemiology of bovine fasciolosis. Vet. Parasitol. 77: 229-236.
- Intapan, P.M., W. Maleewong, S. Nateeworanart, C. Wongkham, V. Pipitgool, V. Sukolapong and S. Sangmaneedet. 2003. Immunodiagnosis of human fascioliasis using an antigen of *Fasciola gigantica* adult worm with the molecular mass of 27 kDa by a Dot-ELISA. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health. 34: 713-717.

_____, C. Tantrawatpan, W. Maleewong, S. Wongkham, C. Wongkham and K. Nakashima. 2005. Potent epitopes derived from *Fasciola gigantica* cathepsin L1 in paptide-based immunoassay for the serodiagnosis of human fascioliasis. **Diagnos. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.** 53: 125-129.

- Itagaki, T., S. Fujiwara, K. Mashima and H. Itagaki. 1988. Experimental infection of Japanese Lymnaea snails with Australian *Fasciola hepatica*. Japanese J. Vet. Sci. 50: 1085-1091.
- Jittapalapong, S., A. Sangwaranond, B. Nimsuphan, T. Inpankaew, C. Phasuk, N. Pinyopanuwat, W. Chimnoi, C. Kengradomkij, P. Arunwipat and T. Anakewith. 2011. Prevalence of Gastro-intestinal parasites of dairy cows in Thailand. Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.). 45: 40-45.
- Kanoksil, W.,D. Wattanatranon, C. Wilasrusmee, S. Mingphruedh and S.
 Bunyaratvej. 2006. Endoscopic removal of one live biliary *Fasciola* gigantica. J. Med. Assoc. Thai. 89: 2150-2154.
- Kaset, C., V. Eursitthichai, S. Vichasri-Grams, V. Viyanant and R. Grams. 2010.
 Rapid identification of lymnaeid snails and their infection with *Fasciola* gigantica in Thailand. Exp. Parasitol. 126: 482-488.
- Keyyu, J.D., A.A. Kassuku, L.P. Msalilwa, J. Monrad and N.C. Kyvsgaard. 2006.
 Cross-sectional prevalence of helminth infections in cattle on traditional, small-scale and large-scale dairy farms in Iringa district, Tanzania. Vet. Res. Commun. 30(1): 45-55.
- Kozlowska-Lój, J. 2011. Pravalence of *Fasciola hepatica* L. infection in cattle in the Lublin province (Poland) in the years 2005-2008. Wiadomości
 Parazytologiczne. 57(2): 127-128.
- Kuchai, J.A., H. Tak, M.Z. Chishti, M. Rasool, S.A. Dar and J. Ahmad. 2011.Impact of season, sex, age, and agro-ecology on the prevalence of fascioliasis in buffalos of Ladakh. Online J. Anim. Feed Res. 1(6): 263-266.

- Kuerpick, B., T. Schnieder and C. Strube. 2012. Seasonal pattern of *Fasciola hepatica* antibodies in dairy herds in Northern Germany. **Parasitol. Res.** 111:1085-1092.
- Kumar, N., S. Ghosh and S.C. Gupta. 2008. Detection of *Fasciola gigantica* infection in buffaloes by enzyme–linked immunosorbent assay. **Parasitol. Res.** 104: 155-161.
- Laemmli, U.K. 1970. Cleabage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. **Nature.** 227(5259): 680-685.
- Liu, Y., F. Li, W. Liu, R.S. Dai, Y.M. Tan, D.S. He, R.Q. Lin and X.Q. Zhu. 2009.Prevalence of helminthes in water buffaloes in Hunan province, China. Trop.Anim. Health Prod. 41: 543-546.
- Martínez-Moreno, A., V. Jiménez-Luque, T. Moreno, E.S.H. Redondo, J. Martín de las Mulas and J. Pérez. 1999. Liver pathology and immune response in experimental *Fasciola hepatica* infection of goats. Vet. Parasitol. 82: 19-33.
- Mas-Comma, S., M.D. Bargues and M.A. Valero. 2005. Fascioliasis and other plantborne trematode zoonoses. **Int. J. Parasitol.** 35: 1255-1278.
- Mekroud, A., A. Benakhla, D. Rondelaud and G. Dreyfuss. 2004. Preliminary studies on the prevalence of natural fasciolosis in cattle, sheep, and the host snail (*Galba truncatula*) in north-eastern Algeira. Parasitol. Res. 92: 502-505.
- Mellau, B.L., H.E. Nonga and E.D. Karimuribo. 2011. Slaughter stock abattoir survey of carcasses and organ/offal condemnations in Arusha region, northern Tanzania. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 43:857-864.

- Molina, E.C., E.A. Gonzaga, E.O. Sinolinding, L.A. Lumbao, A.A. Peralta and A.P.
 Barraca. 2005. Difference in susceptibility between cattle and swamp
 buffaloes to infection with *Fasciola gigantica*. Trop. Anim. Health Prod.
 37: 611-616.
- Monghaddam, A.S., J. Massoud, M. Mahmoodi, A.H. Mahvi, M.V. Periago, P.
 Artigas, M.V. Fuentes, M.D. Bargues and S. Mas-Coma. 2004. Human and animal fascioliasis in Mazandaran province, northern Iran. Parasitol. Res. 94: 61-69.
- Molloy, J.B., G.R. Anderson, T.I. Fletcher, J. Landmann and B.C. Knight. 2005. Evaluation of a commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detecting antibodies to *Fasciola hepatica* and *Fasciola gigantica* in cattle, sheep and buffaloes in Australia. Vet. Parasitol. 130: 207-212.
- ______and _____. 2006. The distribution of *Fasciola hepatica* in Queensland, Australia, and the potential impact of introduced snail intermediate hosts. **Vet. Parasitol.** 137: 62-66.
- Munguía-Xóchihua, J. A., F. Ibarra-Velarde, A. Ducoing-Watty, N. Montenegro-Cristino and H. Quiroz-Romero. 2007. Prevalence of *Fasciola hepatica* (ELISA and fecal analysis) in ruminants from a semi-desert area in the northwest of Mexico. **Parasitol. Res.** 101:127-130.
- Neamjui, P., K. Pimpukdee and C. Nachom. 2012. Prevalence and risk factors of liver fluke (*Fasciola* spp.) infestation in cattle and buffaloes in Buriram province. **KKU Vet. J.** 22: 10-17.
- Neyra, V., E. Chavarry and J.R. Espinoza. 2002. Cysteine proteinases Fas1 and Fas2 are diagnostic markers for *Fasciola hepatica* infection in alpacas (*Lama pacos*). Vet. Parasitol. 105: 21-32.

- Nguyen, T.G.T., T.H. Le, T.H.T. Dao, T.L.H. Tran, N. Praet, N. Speybroeck, J. Vercruysse and P. Dorny. 2011. Bovine fasciolosis in the human fasciolosis hyperendemic Binh Dinh province in central Vietnam. Acta Tropica. 117: 19-22.
- Nonga, H.E., M.F. Mwabonimana, H.A. Ngowi, L.S.B. Mellau and E.D. Karimuribo.
 2009. A retrospective survey of liver fasciolosis and stilesiosis in liverstock
 based on abattoir data in Arusha, Tanzania. Trop. Anim. Health Prod.
 41: 1377-1380.
- O'Neill, S.M., M. Parkinson, W. Strauss, R. Angles and J.P. Dalton. 1998.
 Immunodiagnosis of *Fasciola hepatica* infection (fasciolosis) in a human population in the Bolivian Altiplano using purified cathepsin L cysteine proteinase. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 58: 417-423.
- Oldham, G. and L. Williams. 1985. Cell mediated immunity to liver fluke antigens during experimental *Fasciola hepatica* infection of cattle. **Parasite Immunol.** 7: 503-516
- Partoutomo S., P. Ronohardjo, A.J. Wilson and P. Stevenson. 1985. Review of diseases in Indonesia affecting draught power in domestic animals. pp. 140-146. *In* J.W. Copland, eds. Draught animal power for production. Copland.ACIAR, Canberra.
- Paz-Silva, A., R. Sánchez-Andrade, J.L. Suárez, J. Pedreira, M. Arias, C. López, R. Panadero, P. Díaz, P. Díez-Baños and P. Morrondo. 2003. Prevalence of natural ovine fasciolosis shown by demonstrating the presence of serum circulating antigen. **Parasitol. Res.** 91: 328-331.
- Pfukenyi, D.M., J. Monrad and S. Mukaratirwa. 2005. Epidemiology and control of trematode infections in cattle in Zimbabwe: a review. S. Afr. Vet. Ass. 76: 9-17.
- Phiri, A.M., I.K. Phiri, C.S. Sikasunge and J. Monrad. 2005. Prevalence of Fasciolosis in Zambian cattle observed at selected abattoirs with emphasis on age, sex and origin. J. Vet. Med. 52: 414-416.
- Phomhaksa, S., C. Thamrongyoswittayakul, A. Chanlun, S. Chanlun, N. Somphol and C. Yeanpet. 2012. Pravalence of *Fasciola gigantica* infestation in beef cattle at Nongduang slaughterhouse in Vientiane capital of Lao People's Democratic Republic using iELISA approach. KKU. Vet. J. 22(2): 155-166.
- Prasitirat, P., T. Chompoochan, S. Nithiuthai, S. Wongkasemjit, T. Punmamoamg, P. Pongrut, S. Chinone and H. Itagaki. 1996-1997. Prevalence of amphistomes of cattle in Thailand. Parasitol. Hung. 29-30: 27-32.
- Pritchard, G.C., A.B. Forbes, D.J.L. Williams, M.R. Salimi-Bejestani and R.G.
 Daniel. 2005. Emergence of fasciolosis in cattle in East Anglia.
 Vet. Record.
- Raina, O.K., S.C. Yadav, D. Sriveny and S.C. Gupta. 2006. Immuno-diagnosis of bubaline fasciolosis with *Fasciola gigantica* cathepsin-L and recombinant cathepsin L 1-D protease. Acta. Tropica. 98: 145-151.
- Rapsch, C., G. Schweizer, F. Grimm, L. Kohler, C. Bauer, P. Deplazes, U. Braun and P.R. Torgerson. 2006. Estimating the true prevalence of *Fasciola hepatica* in cattle slaughtered in Switzerland in the absence of an absolute diagnostic test. Int. J. Parasitol. 36: 1153-1158.
- Reichel, M.P., K. Vanhoff and B. Baxter. 2005. Performance characteristics of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay performed in milk for the detection of liver fluke (*Fasciola hepatica*) infection in cattle. Vet. Parasitol. 129: 61-66.

- Robinson, M.W., R. Menon, S.M. Donnelly, J.P. Dalton and S. Ranganathan. 2009.
 An integrated transcriptomics and proteomics analysis of the secretome of the helminth pathogen *Fasciola hepatica*: proteins associated with invasion and infection of the mammalian host. Mol. Cell Proteomics. 8: 1891-1907.
- Rojas, L., A. Vazques, I. Domenech and L.J. Robertson. 2010. Fascioliasis: can Cuba conquer this emerging parasitosis?. Trends Parasitol. 26(1): 26-34.
- Ridi, R.E., M. Salah, A. Wagih, H. William, H. Tallima, M.H. El Shafie, T. Abdel Khalek, A. El Amir, F.F. Abo Ammou and H. Motawi. 2007. *Fasciola gigantica* excretory-secretory products for immunodiagnosis and prevention of sheep fasciolosis. Vet. Parasitol. 149: 219-228.
- Sajid, M and J.H. McKerrow. 2002. Cysteine proteases of parasitic organisms. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 120: 1-21.
- Salam, M.M., A. Maqbool, A. Naureen and M. Lateef. 2009. Comparison of different diagnostic techniques against fasciolosis in Buffaloes. Vet. World. 2(4): 129-132.
- Saleha, A.A. 1991. Liver fluke disease (fascioliasis): epidemiology, economic impact and public health significance. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med.
 Public Health. Suppl: 361-364.
- Salimi-Bejestani, M.R., R. Daniel, P. Cripps, S. Felstead and D.J.L. Williams. 2007. Evaluation of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of antibodies to *Fasciola hepatica* in milk. Vet. Parasitol. 149: 290-293.
- Sánchez-Andrade, R., A. Paz-Silva, J.L. Suárez, R. Panadero, J. Pedreira, C. López,
 P. Díez-Baños and P. Morrondo. 2002. Influence of age and breed on natural bovine fasciolosis in an endemic area (Galicia, NW Spain). Vet. Res.
 Commun. 26:361-370.

- Smith, A., A. Dowd, S.M. McGonigle, P. Keegan, G. Brennan, A. Trudgget and J.P. Dalton. 1993. Purification of cathepsin L like proteinase secreted by adult *Fasciola hepatica*. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 62: 1-8.
- Smooker, P.M., R. Jayarai, R.N. Pike and T.W. Spithill. 2010. Cathepsin B proteases of flukes: the key to facilitating parasite control?. Trend Parasitol. 26: 506-514.
- Srihakim, S. and M. Pholpark. 1991. Problem of fascioliasis in animal husbandry in Thailand. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health. Suppl: 352-355.
- Srimuzipo, P., C. Komalamisra, W. Choochote, A. Jitpadki, P. Vanichthanakorn, P. Keha, D. Riyong, K. Dukontasan, N. Komalamisra and P. Tippawangkosoi.
 2000. Comparative morphometry, morphology of egg and adult surface topography under light and scanning electron microscopies, and metaphase karyotype among three size-races of *Fasciola gigantica* in Thailand.
 Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health. 31(2): 366-373.
- Sriveny, D., O.K. Raina, S.C. Yadav, D. Chandra, A.K. Jayraw, M. Singh, R.
 Velusamy and B.P. Singh. 2006. Cathepsin L cystiene proteinase in the diagnosis of bovine *Fasciola gigantica* infection. Vet. Parasitol. 135: 25-31.
- Suhardono, J., A. Roberts and D.B. Copeman. 2006. The effect of temperature and humidity on longevity of metacercariae of *Fasciola gigantica*. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 38: 371-377.
- Sukhapesna, V., N. Sarataphan, D. Tuntasuvan and S. Sa-ngiamluksana. 1990. A study on epidemiology of liver fluke infection in buffaloes. Thai J. Vet.
 Med. 20(4): 527-534.
- Suweta, I.G.P. 1991. The situation of liver fluke infestation in cattle in Bali. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health. Suppl: 349-351.

- Tantrawatpan, C., W. Maleewong, C. Wongkham, S. Wongkham, P.M. Intapan and K. Nakashima. 2003. Characterisation of *Fasciola gigantica* adult 27-kDa excretory-secretory antigen in human fascioliasis. **Parasitol. Res.** 91: 325-327.
- Tesjaroen, S., P. Sirisumpan and K. Sindhvananda. 1988. Three granulomata of greater omentum caused by *Fasciola gigantica* in a Thai woman. Siriraj. Hosp. Gaz. 40(8): 575-580.
- Tiewchaloren, S. and V. Junnu. 1996. Parasitic infection of in-patient at Siriraj hospital from 1991-1995. Siriraj. Hosp. Gaz. 48: 1073-1080.
- Tomanakarn, K., L. Chalemedechart and P. Intisang. 1998. Studies on the specificity of crude somatic adult worm extracts and extracts and excretory-secretory antigen of *Fasciola gigantica* by ELISA. Khon Kaen Hos. Med. J. 22: 1-5.
- Tuntasuvan, D. and V. Kittikoon. 1996. Bovine fasciolosis in Thailand: A review.J. Trop. Med. Parasitol. 19(1): 1-12.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). 2013. **The PLANTS Database.** Available Source: http://plants.usda.gov, April 4, 2013.
- Vassilev, G.D. 1999. Prevalence of internal parasite infections of cattle in the communal farming areas of Mashonaland East province, Zimbabwe.Zimbabwe Vet. J. 30: 1-17.
- Viyanant, V., E.S. Upatham, P. Sobhon, D. Krailas, P. Ardseungnoen and S. Anatawara. 1997. Development and characterization of monoclonal antibodies against excretory-secretory antigens of *Fasciola gigantica*.
 Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health. 28: 128-133.

- Walker, S. M., C. Johnston, E. M. Hoey, I. Fairweather, F. H. M. Borgsteede, C. P. H. Gaasenbeek, P. A. Prodohl and A. Trudgett. 2011. Potential role of hares in the spread of liver fluke in the Netherlands. Vet. Parasitol. 177: 179-181.
- Wiedosari, E., H. Hayakawa and B. Copeman. 2006. Host differences in response to trickle infection with *Fasciola gigantica* in buffalo, Ongole and Bali calves.
 Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 38: 43-53.

Worasing, R. 2007. Gastrointestinal and blood sample parasites in cattle inPakpanang river basin Nakhon Si Thammarat province. Thai-NIAH ejournal.

Yokananth, S., S. Ghosh, S.C. Gupta, M.G. Suresh and D. Saravanan. 2005.
Characterization of specific and cross-reacting antigens of *Fasciola gigantica* by immunoblotting. Parasitol. Res. 97: 41-48.

APPENDICES

Appendix A

The figure of Songkhla Lake area and helminthic eggs were found in current study



Appendix Figure A1 The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A2 The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A3 The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A4 The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A5 The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A6 The pen of buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A7 The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A8 The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A9 The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A10 The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A11 The habitat nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A12 The rearing cattle in the pen nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A13 The rearing cattle in the pen nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A14 The buffaloes stayed at the pen in the morning nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A15 The buffaloes reared nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A16 The buffaloes reared nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A17 The traditional rearing system of cattle nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A18 The traditional rearing system of buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A19 The traditional rearing system of buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A20 The freshwater snail nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A21 The water plant nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A22 The carcass of cattle was discarded into the pond nearby Songkhla Lake



Appendix Figure A23 Capillaria egg



Appendix Figure A24 Eurytrema pancreaticum egg



Appendix Figure A25 Fasciola egg



Appendix Figure A26 Moniezia benedeni egg



Appendix Figure A27 Rumen fluke egg



Appendix Figure A28 Strongyle worm egg



Appendix Figure A29 Trichuris egg

Appendix B The raw data

Code 100 200 400 800 1,600 3,200 6,400 12,800 SRC1 0.86 0.746 0.546 0.335 0.169 0.126 0.1 0.078 SRC17 1.527 1.058 0.731 0.464 0.325 0.207 0.131 0.099 SRC22 1.357 1.169 1.088 0.797 0.51 0.345 0.205 0.132 SRC23 1.191 0.907 0.666 0.477 0.322 0.214 0.145 0.093 SRC27 1.408 0.975 0.773 0.532 0.434 0.293 0.168 0.113 SRC29 1.286 0.881 0.592 0.486 0.411 0.305 0.178 0.1 SRC30 1.106 0.735 0.72 0.562 0.408 0.219 0.117 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.435 0.185 0.113 0.085 0.07 SRC48 0.875<	Codo				End po	int titer			
SRC17 1.527 1.058 0.731 0.464 0.325 0.207 0.131 0.099 SRC22 1.357 1.169 1.088 0.797 0.51 0.345 0.205 0.132 SRC23 1.191 0.907 0.666 0.477 0.322 0.214 0.145 0.093 SRC25 1.337 0.908 0.712 0.515 0.297 0.198 0.124 0.081 SRC27 1.408 0.975 0.773 0.532 0.434 0.293 0.168 0.113 SRC30 1.106 0.735 0.72 0.562 0.408 0.218 0.139 0.104 SRC31 1.161 0.931 0.846 0.728 0.592 0.387 0.219 0.117 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.435 0.185 0.113 0.085 0.07 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.551 0.461 0.213 0.175 0.123 0.08		100	200	400		1,600	3,200	,	12,800
SRC22 1.357 1.169 1.088 0.797 0.51 0.345 0.205 0.132 SRC23 1.191 0.907 0.666 0.477 0.322 0.214 0.145 0.093 SRC25 1.337 0.908 0.712 0.515 0.297 0.198 0.124 0.081 SRC27 1.408 0.975 0.773 0.532 0.434 0.293 0.168 0.113 SRC29 1.286 0.881 0.592 0.436 0.411 0.305 0.178 0.1 SRC30 1.106 0.735 0.72 0.562 0.408 0.218 0.199 0.104 SRC31 1.161 0.931 0.846 0.728 0.592 0.387 0.219 0.117 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.435 0.185 0.113 0.085 0.07 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.461 0.213 0.175 0.123 0.08 SRC80 1.421 1.14 0.848 0.453 0.289 0.217 0.1	SRC1	0.86	<u>0.746</u>	0.546	0.335	0.169	0.126	0.1	0.078
SRC23 1.191 0.907 0.666 0.477 0.322 0.214 0.145 0.093 SRC25 1.337 0.908 0.712 0.515 0.297 0.198 0.124 0.081 SRC27 1.408 0.975 0.773 0.532 0.434 0.293 0.168 0.113 SRC29 1.286 0.881 0.592 0.486 0.411 0.305 0.178 0.1 SRC30 1.106 0.735 0.72 0.562 0.408 0.218 0.199 0.104 SRC31 1.161 0.931 0.846 0.728 0.592 0.387 0.219 0.117 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.435 0.185 0.113 0.085 0.07 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.435 0.289 0.217 0.13 0.119 SRC80 1.421 1.14 0.848 0.453 0.289 0.217 0.13 0.164 SRC81 1.36 0.825 0.567 0.338 0.214 0.147 0.19	SRC17	1.527	1.058	0.731	0.464	0.325	0.207	0.131	0.099
SRC25 1.337 0.908 0.712 0.515 0.297 0.198 0.124 0.081 SRC27 1.408 0.975 0.773 0.532 0.434 0.293 0.168 0.113 SRC29 1.286 0.881 0.592 0.486 0.411 0.305 0.178 0.1 SRC30 1.106 0.735 0.72 0.562 0.408 0.218 0.139 0.104 SRC31 1.161 0.931 0.846 0.728 0.592 0.387 0.219 0.117 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.435 0.185 0.113 0.085 0.07 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.435 0.185 0.113 0.085 0.07 SRC48 0.899 0.769 0.551 0.461 0.213 0.175 0.123 0.08 SRC80 1.421 1.14 0.848 0.453 0.289 0.217 0.13 0.119 <	SRC22	1.357	1.169	1.088	<u>0.797</u>	0.51	0.345	0.205	0.132
SRC27 1.408 0.975 0.773 0.532 0.434 0.293 0.168 0.113 SRC29 1.286 0.881 0.592 0.486 0.411 0.305 0.178 0.1 SRC30 1.106 0.735 0.72 0.562 0.408 0.218 0.139 0.104 SRC31 1.161 0.931 0.846 0.728 0.592 0.387 0.219 0.117 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.435 0.185 0.113 0.085 0.07 SRC79 0.899 0.769 0.551 0.461 0.213 0.175 0.123 0.08 SRC80 1.421 1.14 0.848 0.453 0.289 0.217 0.13 0.119 SRC81 1.36 0.825 0.567 0.338 0.214 0.147 0.119 0.09 SRC85 2.221 1.973 1.645 1.249 0.926 0.706 0.43 0.264 SR1/1 2.01 1.642 1.222 0.793 0.531 0.336 0.223 </td <td>SRC23</td> <td>1.191</td> <td><u>0.907</u></td> <td>0.666</td> <td>0.477</td> <td>0.322</td> <td>0.214</td> <td>0.145</td> <td>0.093</td>	SRC23	1.191	<u>0.907</u>	0.666	0.477	0.322	0.214	0.145	0.093
SRC291.2860.8810.5920.4860.4110.3050.1780.1SRC301.1060.7350.720.5620.4080.2180.1390.104SRC311.1610.9310.8460.7280.5920.3870.2190.117SRC480.8750.7170.5520.4350.1850.1130.0850.07SRC790.8990.7690.5510.4610.2130.1750.1230.08SRC801.4211.140.8480.4530.2890.2170.130.119SRC811.1360.8250.5670.3380.2140.1470.1190.09SRC852.2211.9731.6451.2490.9260.7060.430.264SR1/12.011.6421.2220.7930.5310.3360.2230.137°SR2/12.5822.1681.7311.3851.1210.8060.5340.342°SR2/21.7571.3150.8580.5360.3790.2280.1570.112SR2-281.4241.1480.8730.5780.3920.2570.1640.118SR2-331.3821.2080.7810.5430.3430.2310.1590.108SR2-331.3821.2080.7810.5430.3430.2310.150.118SR2-331.3821.2080.7770.5020.3320.2260.147SR2-332.281 <td>SRC25</td> <td>1.337</td> <td>0.908</td> <td><u>0.712</u></td> <td>0.515</td> <td>0.297</td> <td>0.198</td> <td>0.124</td> <td>0.081</td>	SRC25	1.337	0.908	<u>0.712</u>	0.515	0.297	0.198	0.124	0.081
SRC30 1.106 0.735 0.72 0.562 0.408 0.218 0.139 0.104 SRC31 1.161 0.931 0.846 0.728 0.592 0.387 0.219 0.117 SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.435 0.185 0.113 0.085 0.07 SRC49 0.899 0.769 0.551 0.461 0.213 0.175 0.123 0.08 SRC80 1.421 1.14 0.848 0.453 0.289 0.217 0.13 0.119 SRC81 1.136 0.825 0.567 0.338 0.214 0.147 0.119 0.09 SRC85 2.221 1.973 1.645 1.249 0.926 0.706 0.43 0.264 SR1/1 2.01 1.642 1.222 0.793 0.531 0.336 0.223 0.137 SR2/2 1.757 1.315 0.858 0.586 0.379 0.228 0.15 0.117 SR2-27 1.386 1.211 0.798 0.53 0.344 0.226 0.147	SRC27	1.408	0.975	<u>0.773</u>	0.532	0.434	0.293	0.168	0.113
SRC311.1610.9310.8460.7280.5920.3870.2190.117SRC480.8750.7170.5520.4350.1850.1130.0850.07SRC790.8990.7690.5510.4610.2130.1750.1230.08SRC801.4211.140.8480.4530.2890.2170.130.119SRC811.1360.8250.5670.3380.2140.1470.1190.09SRC852.2211.9731.6451.2490.9260.7060.430.264SR1/12.011.6421.2220.7930.5310.3360.2230.137°SR2/12.5822.1681.7311.3851.1210.8060.5340.342°SR2/21.7571.3150.8580.5860.3790.2280.150.117SR2-271.3861.2110.7980.530.3440.2260.1470.112SR2-291.4061.2190.8960.580.3860.2570.1640.118SR2-331.3821.2080.7810.5430.3430.2310.1590.108SR2-372.2381.5421.0680.6830.7890.3190.1750.14SR2-382.2441.5591.1750.7770.5020.3320.2220.14SR2-392.2811.5661.1250.750.4740.3150.2260.135SR2-40 <td>SRC29</td> <td>1.286</td> <td><u>0.881</u></td> <td>0.592</td> <td>0.486</td> <td>0.411</td> <td>0.305</td> <td>0.178</td> <td>0.1</td>	SRC29	1.286	<u>0.881</u>	0.592	0.486	0.411	0.305	0.178	0.1
SRC48 0.875 0.717 0.552 0.435 0.185 0.113 0.085 0.07 SRC79 0.899 0.769 0.551 0.461 0.213 0.175 0.123 0.08 SRC80 1.421 1.14 0.848 0.453 0.289 0.217 0.13 0.119 SRC81 1.136 0.825 0.567 0.338 0.214 0.147 0.119 0.09 SRC85 2.221 1.973 1.645 1.249 0.926 0.706 0.43 0.264 SR1/1 2.01 1.642 1.222 0.793 0.531 0.336 0.223 0.137 °SR2/1 2.582 2.168 1.731 1.385 1.121 0.806 0.534 0.342 °SR2/2 1.757 1.315 0.858 0.586 0.379 0.228 0.15 0.117 SR2-28 1.424 1.148 0.873 0.578 0.392 0.25 0.157 0.112 SR2-32 1.438 1.168 0.857 0.542 0.345 0.231 0	SRC30	1.106	<u>0.735</u>	0.72	0.562	0.408	0.218	0.139	0.104
SRC79 0.899 0.769 0.551 0.461 0.213 0.175 0.123 0.08 SRC80 1.421 1.14 0.848 0.453 0.289 0.217 0.13 0.119 SRC81 1.136 0.825 0.567 0.338 0.214 0.147 0.119 0.09 SRC85 2.221 1.973 1.645 1.249 0.926 0.706 0.43 0.264 SR1/1 2.01 1.642 1.222 0.793 0.531 0.336 0.223 0.137 °SR2/1 2.582 2.168 1.731 1.385 1.121 0.806 0.534 0.342 °SR2/2 1.757 1.315 0.858 0.586 0.379 0.228 0.15 0.117 SR2-27 1.386 1.211 0.798 0.53 0.344 0.226 0.147 0.112 SR2-28 1.424 1.148 0.873 0.578 0.392 0.25 0.157 0.112 SR2-33 1.382 1.208 0.781 0.542 0.345 0.231	SRC31	1.161	0.931	0.846	<u>0.728</u>	0.592	0.387	0.219	0.117
SRC80 1.421 1.14 0.848 0.453 0.289 0.217 0.13 0.119 SRC81 1.136 0.825 0.567 0.338 0.214 0.147 0.119 0.09 SRC85 2.221 1.973 1.645 1.249 0.926 0.706 0.43 0.264 SR1/1 2.01 1.642 1.222 0.793 0.531 0.336 0.223 0.137 °SR2/1 2.582 2.168 1.731 1.385 1.121 0.806 0.534 0.342 °SR2/2 1.757 1.315 0.858 0.586 0.379 0.228 0.15 0.117 SR2-27 1.386 1.211 0.798 0.53 0.344 0.226 0.147 0.11 SR2-28 1.424 1.148 0.873 0.578 0.392 0.25 0.157 0.112 SR2-29 1.406 1.219 0.896 0.58 0.386 0.257 0.164 0.118 SR2-33 1.382 1.208 0.781 0.543 0.343 0.231	SRC48	0.875	0.717	0.552	0.435	0.185	0.113	0.085	0.07
SRC811.1360.8250.5670.3380.2140.1470.1190.09SRC852.2211.9731.6451.2490.9260.7060.430.264SR1/12.011.6421.2220.7930.5310.3360.2230.137°SR2/12.5822.1681.7311.3851.1210.8060.5340.342°SR2/21.7571.3150.8580.5860.3790.2280.150.117SR2-271.3861.2110.7980.530.3440.2260.1470.11SR2-281.4241.1480.8730.5780.3920.250.1570.112SR2-291.4061.2190.8960.580.3860.2570.1640.118SR2-331.3821.2080.7810.5420.3450.2310.1590.118SR2-372.2381.5421.0680.6830.7890.3190.1750.14SR2-392.2811.5661.1250.750.4740.3150.2260.135SR2-401.6911.0510.7520.4890.3030.2230.1660.122SR2-412.7462.1351.741.2090.9240.6350.4460.262	SRC79	0.899	<u>0.769</u>	0.551	0.461	0.213	0.175	0.123	0.08
SRC85 2.221 1.973 1.645 1.249 0.926 0.706 0.43 0.264 SR1/1 2.01 1.642 1.222 0.793 0.531 0.336 0.223 0.137 °SR2/1 2.582 2.168 1.731 1.385 1.121 0.806 0.534 0.342 °SR2/2 1.757 1.315 0.858 0.586 0.379 0.228 0.15 0.117 SR2-27 1.386 1.211 0.798 0.53 0.344 0.226 0.147 0.11 SR2-28 1.424 1.148 0.873 0.578 0.392 0.25 0.157 0.112 SR2-29 1.406 1.219 0.896 0.58 0.386 0.257 0.164 0.118 SR2-32 1.438 1.168 0.857 0.542 0.345 0.231 0.159 0.108 SR2-37 2.238 1.542 1.068 0.683 0.789 0.319 0.175 0.14 SR2-39 2.281 1.566 1.125 0.75 0.474 0.315 <	SRC80	1.421	1.14	<u>0.848</u>	0.453	0.289	0.217	0.13	0.119
SR1/1 2.01 1.642 1.222 0.793 0.531 0.336 0.223 0.137 °SR2/1 2.582 2.168 1.731 1.385 1.121 0.806 0.534 0.342 °SR2/2 1.757 1.315 0.858 0.586 0.379 0.228 0.15 0.117 SR2-27 1.386 1.211 0.798 0.53 0.344 0.226 0.147 0.11 SR2-28 1.424 1.148 0.873 0.578 0.392 0.25 0.157 0.112 SR2-29 1.406 1.219 0.896 0.58 0.386 0.257 0.164 0.118 SR2-32 1.438 1.168 0.857 0.542 0.345 0.231 0.159 0.108 SR2-33 1.382 1.208 0.781 0.543 0.343 0.231 0.15 0.118 SR2-37 2.238 1.542 1.068 0.683 0.789 0.319 0.175 0.14 SR2-39 2.281 1.566 1.125 0.755 0.474 0.315	SRC81	1.136	0.825	0.567	0.338	0.214	0.147	0.119	0.09
°SR2/1 2.582 2.168 1.731 1.385 1.121 0.806 0.534 0.342 °SR2/2 1.757 1.315 0.858 0.586 0.379 0.228 0.15 0.117 SR2-27 1.386 1.211 0.798 0.53 0.344 0.226 0.147 0.11 SR2-28 1.424 1.148 0.873 0.578 0.392 0.25 0.157 0.112 SR2-29 1.406 1.219 0.896 0.58 0.386 0.257 0.164 0.118 SR2-32 1.438 1.168 0.857 0.542 0.345 0.231 0.159 0.108 SR2-33 1.382 1.208 0.781 0.543 0.343 0.231 0.15 0.118 SR2-37 2.238 1.542 1.068 0.683 0.789 0.319 0.175 0.14 SR2-39 2.281 1.566 1.125 0.777 0.502 0.332 0.222 0.14 SR2-39 2.281 1.566 1.125 0.775 0.474 0.315	SRC85	2.221	1.973	1.645	1.249	0.926	<u>0.706</u>	0.43	0.264
°SR2/21.7571.3150.8580.5860.3790.2280.150.117SR2-271.3861.2110.7980.530.3440.2260.1470.11SR2-281.4241.1480.8730.5780.3920.250.1570.112SR2-291.4061.2190.8960.580.3860.2570.1640.118SR2-321.4381.1680.8570.5420.3450.2310.1590.108SR2-331.3821.2080.7810.5430.3430.2310.150.118SR2-372.2381.5421.0680.6830.7890.3190.1750.14SR2-392.2811.5661.1250.750.4740.3150.2260.135SR2-401.6911.0510.7520.4890.3030.2230.1660.122SR2-412.7462.1351.741.2090.9240.6350.4460.262	SR1/1	2.01	1.642	1.222	0.793	0.531	0.336	0.223	0.137
SR2-271.3861.2110.7980.530.3440.2260.1470.11SR2-281.4241.1480.8730.5780.3920.250.1570.112SR2-291.4061.2190.8960.580.3860.2570.1640.118SR2-321.4381.1680.8570.5420.3450.2310.1590.108SR2-331.3821.2080.7810.5430.3430.2310.150.118SR2-372.2381.5421.0680.6830.7890.3190.1750.14SR2-392.2811.5661.1250.750.4740.3150.2260.135SR2-401.6911.0510.7520.4890.3030.2230.1660.122SR2-412.7462.1351.741.2090.9240.6350.4460.262	°SR2/1	2.582	2.168	1.731	1.385	1.121	0.806	0.534	0.342
SR2-28 1.424 1.148 0.873 0.578 0.392 0.25 0.157 0.112 SR2-29 1.406 1.219 0.896 0.58 0.386 0.257 0.164 0.118 SR2-32 1.438 1.168 0.857 0.542 0.345 0.231 0.159 0.108 SR2-33 1.382 1.208 0.781 0.543 0.343 0.231 0.15 0.118 SR2-37 2.238 1.542 1.068 0.683 0.789 0.319 0.175 0.14 SR2-38 2.244 1.559 1.175 0.777 0.502 0.332 0.222 0.14 SR2-39 2.281 1.566 1.125 0.75 0.474 0.315 0.226 0.135 SR2-40 1.691 1.051 0.752 0.489 0.303 0.223 0.166 0.122 SR2-41 2.746 2.135 1.74 1.209 0.924 0.635 0.446 0.262	°SR2/2	1.757	1.315	<u>0.858</u>	0.586	0.379	0.228	0.15	0.117
SR2-29 1.406 1.219 0.896 0.58 0.386 0.257 0.164 0.118 SR2-32 1.438 1.168 0.857 0.542 0.345 0.231 0.159 0.108 SR2-33 1.382 1.208 0.781 0.543 0.343 0.231 0.15 0.118 SR2-37 2.238 1.542 1.068 0.683 0.789 0.319 0.175 0.14 SR2-38 2.244 1.559 1.175 0.777 0.502 0.332 0.222 0.14 SR2-39 2.281 1.566 1.125 0.75 0.474 0.315 0.226 0.135 SR2-40 1.691 1.051 0.752 0.489 0.303 0.223 0.166 0.122 SR2-41 2.746 2.135 1.74 1.209 0.924 0.635 0.446 0.262	SR2-27	1.386	1.211	<u>0.798</u>	0.53	0.344	0.226	0.147	0.11
SR2-321.4381.1680.8570.5420.3450.2310.1590.108SR2-331.3821.2080.7810.5430.3430.2310.150.118SR2-372.2381.5421.0680.6830.7890.3190.1750.14SR2-382.2441.5591.1750.7770.5020.3320.2220.14SR2-392.2811.5661.1250.750.4740.3150.2260.135SR2-401.6911.0510.7520.4890.3030.2230.1660.122SR2-412.7462.1351.741.2090.9240.6350.4460.262	SR2-28	1.424	1.148	0.873	0.578	0.392	0.25	0.157	0.112
SR2-331.3821.2080.7810.5430.3430.2310.150.118SR2-372.2381.5421.0680.6830.7890.3190.1750.14SR2-382.2441.5591.1750.7770.5020.3320.2220.14SR2-392.2811.5661.1250.750.4740.3150.2260.135SR2-401.6911.0510.7520.4890.3030.2230.1660.122SR2-412.7462.1351.741.2090.9240.6350.4460.262	SR2-29	1.406	1.219	<u>0.896</u>	0.58	0.386	0.257	0.164	0.118
SR2-372.2381.5421.0680.6830.7890.3190.1750.14SR2-382.2441.5591.1750.7770.5020.3320.2220.14SR2-392.2811.5661.1250.750.4740.3150.2260.135SR2-401.6911.0510.7520.4890.3030.2230.1660.122SR2-412.7462.1351.741.2090.9240.6350.4460.262	SR2-32	1.438	1.168	<u>0.857</u>	0.542	0.345	0.231	0.159	0.108
SR2-382.2441.5591.1750.7770.5020.3320.2220.14SR2-392.2811.5661.1250.750.4740.3150.2260.135SR2-401.6911.0510.7520.4890.3030.2230.1660.122SR2-412.7462.1351.741.2090.9240.6350.4460.262	SR2-33	1.382	1.208	0.781	0.543	0.343	0.231	0.15	0.118
SR2-392.2811.5661.1250.750.4740.3150.2260.135SR2-401.6911.0510.7520.4890.3030.2230.1660.122SR2-412.7462.1351.741.2090.9240.6350.4460.262	SR2-37	2.238	1.542	<u>1.068</u>	0.683	0.789	0.319	0.175	0.14
SR2-40 1.691 1.051 <u>0.752</u> 0.489 0.303 0.223 0.166 0.122 SR2-41 2.746 2.135 1.74 1.209 <u>0.924</u> 0.635 0.446 0.262	SR2-38	2.244	1.559	1.175	<u>0.777</u>	0.502	0.332	0.222	0.14
SR2-41 2.746 2.135 1.74 1.209 <u>0.924</u> 0.635 0.446 0.262	SR2-39	2.281	1.566	1.125	<u>0.75</u>	0.474	0.315	0.226	0.135
	SR2-40	1.691	1.051	<u>0.752</u>	0.489	0.303	0.223	0.166	0.122
SP2 42 2 572 2 1 45 1 011 0 69 0 209 0 264 0 199	SR2-41	2.746	2.135	1.74	1.209	<u>0.924</u>	0.635	0.446	0.262
$3R_2-42$ 2.372 2 1.43 <u>1.011</u> 0.08 0.398 0.204 0.188	SR2-42	2.572	2	1.45	<u>1.011</u>	0.68	0.398	0.264	0.188

Appendix Table B1 The end point titer of infected cattle with F. gigantica

Code				End po	int titer			
Code	100	200	400	800	1,600	3,200	6,400	12,800
SR2-43	2.528	2.036	1.438	1.009	<u>0.699</u>	0.468	0.307	0.183
SR2-44	2.493	1.873	1.39	0.93	0.728	0.406	0.257	0.153
SR2-45	2.94	2.369	2.051	1.602	1.176	0.695	0.488	0.294
SR2-46	2.642	2.019	1.609	1.198	<u>0.814</u>	0.516	0.347	0.21
SR2-48	2.265	1.539	1.144	<u>0.803</u>	0.516	0.309	0.198	0.13
SR2-49	2.004	1.661	1.406	<u>1.097</u>	0.686	0.48	0.332	0.204
SAC7	1.115	<u>0.751</u>	0.555	0.338	0.223	0.165	0.12	0.094
SAC9	1.131	<u>0.768</u>	0.644	0.431	0.279	0.187	0.129	0.099
SAC12	1.263	1.05	<u>0.764</u>	0.473	0.303	0.236	0.148	0.107
SAC31	1.231	<u>0.941</u>	0.684	0.428	0.29	0.189	0.133	0.099
SAC32	1.393	0.98	<u>0.768</u>	0.465	0.299	0.201	0.134	0.106
SA1/1	1.046	1.018	<u>0.859</u>	0.575	0.413	0.285	0.193	0.126
SA1/2	1.677	1.545	1.343	1.071	<u>0.767</u>	0.538	0.358	0.226
SA1/4	1.578	1.391	1.277	<u>0.955</u>	0.589	0.369	0.257	0.153
SA1/5	1.673	1.37	1.246	0.874	0.619	0.395	0.25	0.164
SA1/7	1.816	1.659	1.413	<u>1.02</u>	0.667	0.46	0.298	0.18
SA2/3	1.895	1.549	1.25	<u>0.82</u>	0.613	0.391	0.268	0.183
SA2/4	2.284	2.177	1.994	1.52	1.219	<u>0.768</u>	0.534	0.343
SA2/5	1.783	1.442	1.168	<u>0.707</u>	0.476	0.298	0.193	0.116
SA3/1	1.895	1.664	1.281	<u>0.773</u>	0.57	0.328	0.196	0.119
SA4/1	2.064	1.588	1.425	1.019	<u>0.792</u>	0.483	0.276	0.146
SA6/4	1.528	1.209	0.98	0.657	0.464	0.274	0.151	0.097
SA2-20	1.736	1.537	1.256	<u>0.768</u>	0.562	0.299	0.182	0.102
2.1	1.955	1.562	1.205	0.829	0.566	0.302	0.175	0.103
2.2	2.199	1.687	1.477	1.087	0.803	0.464	0.295	0.152
3.2	1.242	0.817	0.629	0.396	0.267	0.153	0.11	0.074
5.1	2.681	2.413	2.337	2.101	1.653	1.189	<u>0.84</u>	0.441
6.1	1.27	1.001	0.754	0.513	0.289	0.21	0.158	0.161

				End po	int titer			
Code	100	200	400	800	1,600	3,200	6,400	12,800
6.2	1.095	<u>0.843</u>	0.63	0.49	0.267	0.162	0.164	0.047
7.1	1.143	<u>0.773</u>	0.698	0.432	0.295	0.182	0.079	0.053
7.2	1.002	0.847	0.557	0.493	0.102	0.103	0.076	0.055
7.3	1.266	1.007	<u>0.78</u>	0.491	0.371	0.168	0.071	0.055
8.4	1.048	<u>0.954</u>	0.697	0.309	0.212	0.112	0.067	0.063
8.5	0.963	<u>0.767</u>	0.619	0.319	0.139	0.103	0.07	0.051
SS1/3	1.168	1.044	<u>0.819</u>	0.482	0.463	0.241	0.139	0.073
SS1/4	0.948	<u>0.751</u>	0.62	0.389	0.171	0.167	0.053	0.047
SS1/5	0.909	<u>0.763</u>	0.624	0.394	0.172	0.165	0.058	0.045
SS2/1	0.971	<u>0.772</u>	0.685	0.424	0.193	0.182	0.056	0.047
SS2/2	1.052	0.919	<u>0.759</u>	0.525	0.408	0.242	0.17	0.104
SS2/3	0.975	<u>0.876</u>	0.62	0.534	0.259	0.183	0.11	0.059
SS2/4	1.137	0.942	<u>0.736</u>	0.529	0.388	0.254	0.18	0.102
SS3/1	1.132	<u>0.907</u>	0.578	0.431	0.25	0.193	0.097	0.07
SS3/2	0.85	<u>0.718</u>	0.536	0.458	0.212	0.082	0.066	0.055
SS3/3	0.869	<u>0.712</u>	0.565	0.47	0.225	0.183	0.064	0.06
SS 4/1	0.868	0.707	0.523	0.437	0.213	0.176	0.169	0.052
SS4/2	1.214	0.928	<u>0.744</u>	0.561	0.437	0.288	0.193	0.11
SS4/3	1.032	<u>0.819</u>	0.67	0.56	0.284	0.122	0.075	0.06
SS5/1	1.481	1.259	1.056	<u>0.827</u>	0.602	0.374	0.248	0.143
SS5/2	1.312	1.028	<u>0.782</u>	0.613	0.429	0.295	0.177	0.113
°SS1/1	1.293	1.049	0.796	0.518	0.399	0.269	0.158	0.108
°SS3/2	<u>0.956</u>	0.699	0.598	0.302	0.222	0.16	0.117	0.082
SS2-2	0.955	<u>0.732</u>	0.581	0.487	0.311	0.108	0.181	0.068
SS2-3	0.958	<u>0.837</u>	0.61	0.462	0.377	0.326	0.294	0.167
SS2-4	1.243	0.977	0.762	0.502	0.342	0.241	0.133	0.102
SS2-5	1.084	<u>0.905</u>	0.691	0.586	0.498	0.437	0.386	0.18
SS2-6	1.198	1.035	0.822	0.662	0.485	0.402	0.326	0.285

				End po	int titer			
Code	100	200	400	800	1,600	3,200	6,400	12,800
SS2-7	1.062	<u>0.864</u>	0.62	0.444	0.354	0.315	0.175	0.162
SS2-13	1.517	1.278	0.797	0.535	0.309	0.197	0.114	0.083
SS2-14	0.903	0.747	0.656	0.568	0.515	0.301	0.075	0.062
SS2-18	0.918	<u>0.777</u>	0.662	0.565	0.309	0.191	0.075	0.06
SS2-19	0.883	<u>0.753</u>	0.561	0.387	0.172	0.16	0.053	0.045
SS2-20	1.441	1.036	0.989	<u>0.842</u>	0.653	0.396	0.172	0.151
SS2-25	1.046	0.828	0.758	0.611	0.582	0.374	0.155	0.144
SS2-26	1.142	<u>0.978</u>	0.49	0.322	0.181	0.163	0.093	0.061
SS2-32	<u>0.937</u>	0.694	0.447	0.242	0.121	0.101	0.066	0.045
SK5/2	0.815	<u>0.79</u>	0.556	0.427	0.251	0.176	0.099	0.066
SK6/1	0.851	<u>0.7</u>	0.417	0.368	0.203	0.135	0.075	0.047
SK6/2	1.043	0.911	0.815	<u>0.738</u>	0.593	0.27	0.35	0.143
SK7/3	0.965	0.879	0.77	<u>0.718</u>	0.691	0.472	0.354	0.145
SKC1	1.233	0.987	0.801	<u>0.767</u>	0.688	0.47	0.257	0.45
SKC5	0.864	0.785	<u>0.714</u>	0.69	0.568	0.267	0.148	0.047
SK2-2	2.389	1.3	<u>0.816</u>	0.418	0.188	0.185	0.111	0.074
SK2-3	1.471	<u>1.051</u>	0.628	0.22	0.177	0.141	0.094	0.089
SK2-6	1.281	<u>0.961</u>	0.567	0.281	0.202	0.131	0.108	0.073
SK2-8	<u>0.977</u>	0.602	0.37	0.258	0.154	0.147	0.086	0.071
SK2-9	1.29	<u>0.943</u>	0.545	0.334	0.175	0.123	0.098	0.061
SK2-13	1.194	1.021	0.514	0.379	0.244	0.142	0.101	0.079
SK2-17	1.041	0.735	0.359	0.259	0.161	0.124	0.084	0.06
SK2-18	1.76	1.292	<u>0.717</u>	0.405	0.251	0.157	0.099	0.076
SK2-20	1.774	<u>1.141</u>	0.562	0.312	0.274	0.187	0.104	0.077
SK2-30	1.933	1.281	0.453	0.37	0.215	0.104	0.096	0.067
STCA4	2.128	<u>1.649</u>	0.527	0.287	0.18	0.123	0.073	0.062
STCA5	2.988	2.721	2.224	1.67	<u>1.059</u>	0.614	0.33	0.235
STCA7	1.684	1.259	<u>0.89</u>	0.536	0.34	0.235	0.179	0.124

Cal				End po	int titer			
Code	100	200	400	800	1,600	3,200	6,400	12,800
STCA8	2.183	1.566	<u>1.021</u>	0.688	0.417	0.322	0.21	0.15
STCA9	1.846	1.418	<u>0.907</u>	0.537	0.383	0.271	0.198	0.132
STCA10	1.447	<u>0.949</u>	0.603	0.447	0.321	0.222	0.142	0.11
STCA11	1.203	<u>0.865</u>	0.61	0.381	0.271	0.22	0.146	0.127
STCA12	1.89	1.526	<u>0.994</u>	0.659	0.441	0.247	0.185	0.136
STCA13	1.974	1.403	<u>0.991</u>	0.539	0.386	0.247	0.176	0.135
STCA15	1.545	<u>1.103</u>	0.688	0.408	0.274	0.176	0.13	0.111
STCB1	1.693	1.203	<u>0.825</u>	0.49	0.304	0.203	0.153	0.117
STCB2	2.434	1.882	<u>1.279</u>	0.684	0.487	0.294	0.201	0.154
STCB3	1.013	0.813	0.688	0.384	0.225	0.193	0.173	0.055
STCB4	1.159	0.956	<u>0.753</u>	0.431	0.257	0.112	0.081	0.063
STCB5	1.301	1.069	<u>0.896</u>	0.556	0.371	0.119	0.085	0.062
STCB6	1.063	<u>0.825</u>	0.608	0.359	0.101	0.109	0.063	0.055
STCB7	0.982	<u>0.743</u>	0.538	0.379	0.119	0.087	0.07	0.055
STCB8	1.055	<u>0.81</u>	0.657	0.389	0.233	0.184	0.074	0.242
STCB9	1.014	0.871	0.625	0.493	0.353	0.207	0.153	0.091
STCB10	0.92	<u>0.769</u>	0.552	0.364	0.26	0.157	0.11	0.066
STCD2	1.294	1.055	<u>0.824</u>	0.519	0.251	0.105	0.074	0.06
STCD3	0.971	0.765	0.514	0.359	0.102	0.08	0.056	0.048
STCD4	0.94	<u>0.734</u>	0.588	0.236	0.092	0.078	0.058	0.048
STCD6	0.927	0.746	0.628	0.511	0.443	0.226	0.08	0.071
STCD7	1.293	1.085	0.823	0.601	0.442	0.361	0.215	0.078
STCD8	1.239	1.044	<u>0.924</u>	0.666	0.5	0.395	0.332	0.195
STCD9	0.804	0.753	0.686	0.634	0.507	0.388	0.18	0.063
STCD11	0.979	<u>0.744</u>	0.607	0.583	0.474	0.264	0.158	0.057
ST2-29	0.816	<u>0.711</u>	0.656	0.608	0.488	0.279	0.165	0.163
ST2-35	0.972	0.885	<u>0.737</u>	0.523	0.242	0.209	0.182	0.069
ST2-37	0.816	0.726	0.663	0.416	0.286	0.176	0.161	0.055

Code				End po	int titer			
Code	100	200	400	800	1,600	3,200	6,400	12,800
ST2-41	1.216	1.018	<u>0.857</u>	0.677	0.522	0.216	0.137	0.09
ST2-42	0.941	<u>0.751</u>	0.596	0.458	0.359	0.32	0.19	0.069
ST2-46	1.261	1.082	0.781	0.584	0.44	0.348	0.2	0.093
ST2-47	0.955	<u>0.871</u>	0.627	0.452	0.207	0.204	0.169	0.07
ST2-48	0.995	<u>0.812</u>	0.636	0.424	0.256	0.115	0.089	0.062
ST2-49	0.941	<u>0.739</u>	0.573	0.266	0.211	0.094	0.069	0.058
ST2-50	1.171	<u>0.925</u>	0.585	0.327	0.211	0.149	0.099	0.072
ST2-54	0.948	0.872	<u>0.742</u>	0.658	0.625	0.394	0.171	0.159

Note: The underline number presents the end point titer.

Abbreviations: SRC, SR, °SR	= Cattle in Ranot district
SAC, SA	= Cattle in Krasaesin district
SS, °SS	= Cattle in Signha Nakhon district
SK, SKC	= Cattle in Khuan Niang district
STC, ST	= Cattle in Sathing Phra district

Code -				End po	int titer			
	100	200	400	800	1,600	3,200	6,400	12,800
SSB1	1.322	1.086	<u>0.845</u>	0.637	0.425	0.302	0.18	0.137
SSB2	1.285	0.942	<u>0.713</u>	0.478	0.313	0.226	0.156	0.112
SSB3	1.159	<u>0.831</u>	0.549	0.356	0.234	0.167	0.118	0.093
SSB4	2.125	1.953	1.553	1.206	<u>0.88</u>	0.584	0.388	0.254
SSB5	2.169	1.996	1.727	1.322	<u>0.994</u>	0.696	0.395	0.256
SSB6	1.598	1.251	<u>0.814</u>	0.611	0.39	0.259	0.168	0.122
SSB7	1.545	1.32	1.017	<u>0.764</u>	0.55	0.362	0.24	0.16
SSB8	2.579	2.427	2.243	2.118	1.679	1.214	0.85	0.577
SSB9	1.879	1.927	1.668	1.312	<u>1.073</u>	0.631	0.492	0.31
SSB10	1.728	1.646	1.421	1.077	<u>0.716</u>	0.492	0.277	0.169
SSB11	1.733	1.506	1.291	<u>1.014</u>	0.686	0.448	0.263	0.14
SSB13	2.002	1.905	1.713	1.382	1.032	<u>0.741</u>	0.486	0.305
SSB14	1.172	0.907	<u>0.708</u>	0.521	0.423	0.361	0.121	0.093
SSB15	1.189	1.002	<u>0.749</u>	0.575	0.439	0.281	0.113	0.09
SSB16	1.079	<u>0.87</u>	0.651	0.506	0.417	0.262	0.122	0.09
SSB17	1.315	1.183	0.94	0.728	0.604	0.47	0.17	0.12
SSB18	1.134	0.944	<u>0.758</u>	0.614	0.505	0.313	0.148	0.118
SSB19	1.324	1.108	<u>0.843</u>	0.677	0.519	0.402	0.138	0.098
SSB20	1.218	<u>0.99</u>	0.536	0.437	0.271	0.133	0.102	0.087
SSB21	1.178	<u>0.944</u>	0.622	0.427	0.28	0.134	0.1	0.085
SSB22	1.383	<u>0.978</u>	0.54	0.375	0.256	0.175	0.128	0.091
SSB26	1.502	<u>1.71</u>	0.623	0.31	0.229	0.164	0.121	0.096
SSB27	1.227	0.967	0.782	0.546	0.427	0.243	0.097	0.076
SRB1	1.42	1.145	<u>0.878</u>	0.618	0.476	0.371	0.112	0.083
SRB2	1.557	1.279	1.059	0.724	0.533	0.411	0.128	0.109
SRB3	2.402	2.405	2.269	2.028	1.567	1.264	<u>0.889</u>	0.576
SRB5	1.902	1.71	1.506	1.145	<u>0.791</u>	0.501	0.27	0.167
SRB9	1.951	1.846	1.655	1.389	1.053	0.69	0.428	0.254
SILDA	1.931	1.040	1.033	1.309	1.033	0.09	0.420	0.23^{2}

Appendix Table B2 The end point titer of infected buffaloes with F. gigantica

Cada				End po	int titer			
Code	100	200	400	800	1,600	3,200	6,400	12,800
SRB10	1.733	1.56	1.33	1.003	<u>0.755</u>	0.508	0.3	0.19
SRB13	1.23	0.973	0.705	0.424	0.295	0.175	0.122	0.092
SRB15	1.167	0.889	<u>0.702</u>	0.534	0.442	0.259	0.111	0.097
SRB16	2.044	1.801	1.704	1.449	1.129	<u>0.756</u>	0.485	0.287
SAB1	1.869	1.742	1.546	1.143	<u>0.874</u>	0.59	0.337	0.203
SAB2	2.155	2.008	1.716	1.686	1.389	0.987	<u>0.758</u>	0.51
SAB3	1.839	1.603	1.266	<u>0.947</u>	0.649	0.394	0.253	0.161
SAB4	1.001	<u>0.785</u>	0.579	0.476	0.287	0.138	0.108	0.092
SAB5	1.4	1.286	1.056	<u>0.964</u>	0.652	0.411	0.26	0.195
SAB6	1.292	1.109	<u>0.834</u>	0.612	0.378	0.223	0.161	0.14
SAB7	0.988	<u>0.785</u>	0.599	0.408	0.161	0.116	0.093	0.093
SAB8	1.559	1.309	1.108	0.969	0.731	0.482	0.336	0.246
SAB9	1.375	1.251	1.061	1.017	<u>0.819</u>	0.596	0.417	0.311
SAB15	1.179	<u>0.83</u>	0.625	0.489	0.201	0.144	0.104	0.126
SAB16	1.571	1.386	1.004	0.791	0.48	0.304	0.197	0.17
SAB17	1.068	<u>0.882</u>	0.657	0.541	0.359	0.128	0.096	0.111
SAB19	0.902	0.84	0.657	0.472	0.357	0.211	0.135	0.167
SAB20	1.067	<u>0.854</u>	0.566	0.408	0.276	0.112	0.147	0.313
STB1	1.527	1.248	<u>0.946</u>	0.671	0.548	0.523	0.245	0.175
STB2	1.837	1.593	1.249	<u>0.906</u>	0.687	0.52	0.438	0.351
STB3	1.649	1.385	1.019	0.793	0.626	0.457	0.279	0.178
STB4	1.524	1.307	1.066	0.891	0.73	0.488	0.397	0.31
STB5	1.69	1.444	1.002	<u>0.852</u>	0.624	0.363	0.23	0.143
STB6	1.501	1.319	1.051	<u>0.799</u>	0.617	0.368	0.233	0.142
STB7	1.898	1.626	1.331	1.241	1.136	<u>0.945</u>	0.691	0.47
STB8	1.845	1.665	1.333	1.179	<u>0.886</u>	0.648	0.386	0.248
STB9	1.672	1.494	1.212	<u>1.027</u>	0.438	0.295	0.262	0.196
STB10	1.725	1.563	1.311	1.174	<u>0.906</u>	0.629	0.456	0.304

Codo				End po	int titer			
Code -	100	200	400	800	1,600	3,200	6,400	12,800
STB11	1.415	1.269	1.033	<u>0.774</u>	0.52	0.388	0.252	0.179
STB12	1.512	1.336	1.085	<u>0.805</u>	0.61	0.408	0.265	0.175
STB13	1.642	1.43	1.193	<u>0.972</u>	0.668	0.535	0.318	0.212
STB14	1.461	1.212	0.987	<u>0.704</u>	0.463	0.312	0.205	0.134
STB15	1.163	<u>0.899</u>	0.643	0.451	0.294	0.194	0.124	0.105
STB16	1.565	1.195	0.884	<u>0.723</u>	0.451	0.323	0.206	0.135
STB17	1.199	1.038	0.835	<u>0.709</u>	0.668	0.491	0.331	0.238
STB18	1.28	1.073	<u>0.869</u>	0.723	0.561	0.393	0.215	0.155
STB19	1.781	1.693	1.43	1.329	1.252	1.1	0.919	<u>0.878</u>
STB20	1.541	1.452	1.187	1.02	0.899	<u>0.722</u>	0.487	0.368
STB21	1.258	<u>0.874</u>	0.547	0.465	0.206	0.2	0.149	0.104
STB22	1.505	1.135	1.04	<u>0.865</u>	0.658	0.451	0.301	0.181
STB23	0.994	<u>0.835</u>	0.558	0.657	0.461	0.315	0.163	0.119
STB24	1.892	1.53	1.351	1.222	1.016	<u>0.741</u>	0.383	0.295
STB25	1.49	1.308	1.384	0.815	0.548	0.334	0.251	0.227
STB26	1.451	1.202	0.837	<u>0.751</u>	0.28	0.248	0.158	0.243
STB27	1.135	<u>0.78</u>	0.62	0.525	0.427	0.272	0.322	0.175
STB28	1.443	1.211	0.986	<u>0.721</u>	0.59	0.422	0.255	0.139
STB29	1.571	1.392	1.228	1.042	<u>0.758</u>	0.576	0.345	0.16

Note: The underline number presents the end point titer.

Abbreviations: SRB	= Buffaloes in Ranot district
SAB	= Buffaloes in Krasaesin district
SSB	= Buffaloes in Signha Nakhon district
STB	= Buffaloes in Sathing Phra district

Appendix C Chemical reagents and substrates

Reagents and buffers for ELISA

1. Coating buffer

Na ₂ CO ₃	1.59 g
NaHCO3	2.95 g

2. PBS (Phosphate buffer solution), pH 7.2

NaCl	8.00 g
KCl	0.20 g
NaH ₂ PO ₄ . 12H ₂ O	2.90 g
KH2PO4	0.20 g
Distilled water	1000 ml

3. Washing buffer

PBS,pH 7.2	1000 ml
Tween-20	500 µl

4. Blocking solution

Skimmed milk powder	3.00 g
PBS,pH 7.2	100 ml

5. Substrate (Peroxidase substrate)

3,3'5,5'-tetramethyl benzidine, TMB (K Blue[®] TMB substrate, Neogen Europe ltd, Scotland, UK)

Reagents and buffers for polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)

1. 10% Polyacrylamide gel

Distilled water	4.2	ml
30% Acrylamide/Bis	3.3	ml
1.5 M Tris-HCl	2.5	ml
10% SDS	0.1	ml
10% APS	100	μl
TEMED	10	μl

2. Sample buffer (SDS reducing buffer)

0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8	1.25	ml
Glycerol	2.50	ml
10% SDS	2.00	ml
0.5% Bromophenol blue	0.20	ml
Distilled water	3.55	ml

3. 10X PAGE buffer, pH 8.3

Tris base	30.3	g
Glycine	144	g
SDS	10	g

4. 1X PAGE buffer

10X PAGE buffer	100	ml
Distilled water	900	ml

Appendix D

The proceeding paper in the 51th Kasetsart University Annual Conference


KASETSART UNIVERSITY

This is to certify that the research report for

Prevalence of a Liver Fluke Infection *(Fasciola)* in Cows and Buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake

By

Boy Boonaue Wissanuwat Chimnoi Verachai Virochasaengaroon Tassanee Mungmuang Sathaporn Jittapalapong and Burin Nimsuphan

has been reviewed by the Veterinary Medicine Editorial Board and was presented in the 51st of Kasetsart University Annual Conference, held during February 5 – 7, 2013

Sive Praisen'

(Associate Professor Dr. Siree Chaiseri) Vice President for Academic Affairs 51st Kasetsart University Annual Conference Committee Chairman



The Proceeding

Thai Agricultural Path Advances toward ASEAN for Sustainable Development

st

KASETSART UNIVERSITY NNUAL CONFERENCE

Agro-Industry Plants Economics and Business Administration Natural Resources and Environment Agricultural Extension and Home Economics Humanities and Social Sciences Education Architecture and Engineering Veterinary Medicine Fisheries 100

5.	สพ.9/0192	Prevalence of a Liver Fluke Infection (Fasciola) in Cows and				
0.	611.0/0102	Buffaloes nearby Songkhia Lake				
		By Boy Boonaue Wissanuwat Chimnoi				
*		Verachai Virochasaengaroon				
		Tassanee Mungmuang Sathaporn Jittapalapong				
		and Burin Nimsuphan				
6.	สพ.10/0193	Molecular Detection of Babesia bigemina in Dairy Cattle of Thailand				
	MM. 10/0193	by Using Rap 1 α Gene				
		Sinsamut Saengow Nongnuch Pinyopanuwat				
		Wissanuwat Chimnoi Pipat Arunvipas				
	4	and Sathaporn Jittapalapong				
7.	สพ.11/0213	Piglets Pre-Weaning Mortality Rate in a Commercial Swine Herd in				
		Thailand in Relation to Season, Number of Litter Mates, Sow's Parity				
		Number and Piglet's Birth Weight				
	2196	By Morakot Nuntapaitoon and Padet Tummaruk				
8.	สพ.12/0214	ความสัมพันธ์ระหว่างปัจจัยด้านอายุ เพศ พันธุ์ อาการ อาหารและที่อยู่				
		อาศัยกับสุนัขที่เป็นโรคผิวหนังชนิดภูมิแพ้อาหาร65				
		Association Between Demographic, Symptomatic, Dietary and				
		Habitat Risk Factors and Dogs with Food Allergy				
		โดย สุนทรีวีรกิจพานิช เฉลิมพล เล็กเจริญสุข				
<u> </u>		และวราภรณ์ อ่วมอ่าม				
9.	สพ.14/0216	Impact of PRRS Virus Detection in the Ovarian Tissue of				
		Replacement Gilts on Granulosa Cells Proliferation in The				
		Developing Follicles				
		By Duangkamol Phoophitphong Em-on Olanratmanee				
		Sayamon Srisuwatanasagul and Padet Tummaruk				
10.	สพ.15/0218	Seasonal Influence on Porcine Circovirus Type 2 Detection in				
		Thailand During 2006-2010				
		By Pachara Pearodwong Rachod Tantilertcharoen				

Π

ความชุกของการติดพยาธิใบไม้ตับชนิด Fasciola ในโคและกระบือรอบทะเลสาบสงขลา

Prevalence of a liver fluke infection (*Fasciola*) in Cows and Buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake

<u>บอย บุญเอื้อ</u>¹, วิษณุวัฒน์ ฉิมน้อย¹, วีระชัย วิโรจน์แสงอรุณ², ทัศนีย์ มุงเมือง²,

ิสถาพร จิตตปาลพงศ์¹ และ บุรินทร์ นิ่มสุพรรณ์¹

<u>Boy Boonaue</u>¹, Wissanuwat Chimnoi¹, Verachai Virochasaengaroon², Tassanee Mungmuang², Sathaporn Jittapalapong¹ and Burin Nimsuphan¹

บทคัดย่อ

โรคพขาธิใบไม้ตับชนิด fasciolosis เป็นโรคที่มีความสำคัญโรคหนึ่งในปสุสัตว์และมีผล ต่อสุขภาพในคนทำให้เกิดการสูญเสียทางด้านเสรษฐกิจและสาธารณสุข การศึกษาครั้งนี้เพื่อหา ความชุกของการติดพขาธิใบไม้ตับชนิด *Fasciola* ในโคและกระบือรอบทะเลสาบสงขลา โดยทำ การเก็บตัวอย่างเลือดและอุจจาระจากโคและกระบือจำนวน 277 และ 95 ตัว ตามลำดับในพื้นที่ รอบทะเลสาบสงขลา ผลการตรวจอุจจาระด้วยวิธี Formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation พบ โคและกระบือมีการติดพขาธิใบไม้ตับคิดเป็นร้อยละ10.3 (28/272) และ 30.1 (25/83) ส่วนการ ตรวจซีรัมด้วยวิธี ELISA พบการติดพยาธิในโคและกระบือร้อยละ 34.3 (95/277) และ 78.9 (75/95) โคที่มีอายุมากมากกว่า 7 ปี พบการติดพยาธิมากกว่าโดอายุน้อยกว่า 7 ปี เต่ในกระบือ พบว่ากระบืออายุมากกว่า 7 ปีมีการติดพยาธิน้อยกว่ากระบืออายุน้อยกว่า 7 ปี ทั้งในโคและกระบือ ให้ผลที่สอดกล้องกันในเรื่องเพศลือตัวเมียตรวจพบการติดพยาธิใบไม้ตับสูงกว่าในตัวผู้

ABSTRACT

Bovine fasciolosis is one of the most important parasitic diseases in domestic animals and human health. The great economic losses and public health concern are caused by fasciolosis. The objective of this study is to determine the prevalence of *Fasciola* infection in cows and buffaloes nearby Songkhla Lake areas. Fecal samples and blood of 277 cows and 95 buffaloes were collected. The results of fecal examination by formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation method shown 10.3% (28/272) of cows and 30.1% (25/83) in buffaloes were positives. However, the serological test by ELISA showed 34.3% (95/277) in cows and 78.9% (75/95) in buffaloes were positive for *Fasciola* infection. The older cows had the higher infection rate than young cows. However, the older buffaloes had the lower positive rate than young buffaloes. Both female cows and buffaloes had the highest *Fasciola* infection.

Keyword: *Fasciola*, cow, buffalo, Songkhla Lake

e-mail address: t_n11_2@hotmail.com

¹ภาควิชาปรสิตวิทยา คณะสัตวแพทยศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยเกษตรศาสตร์ บางเชน ¹Department of parasitology, Faculty of veterinary Medicine, Kasetsart University, Bangkaen campus ²สำนักสุขศาสตร์สัตว์และสุขอนามัยที่ 9 อ.เมือง จ.สงขลา ²Regional Livestock office,Region 9, Muang district, SongKhla province

INTRODUCTION

A liver fluke infection is an important health problem for animals and humans. *Fasciola* is one of the liver flukes causing fasciolosis in ruminant and many mammals including humans. The *Fasciola* infections are transmitted by ingesting water plants or water contaminated with metacercaria. In Thailand, there were reports of *F. gigantica* infection in cattle and humans (Intapan *et al.*, 2005; Kanoksil *et al.*, 2006). The prevalence of fasciolosis in Thailand was 12% (Gray *et al*, 2008) and varied between provinces ranging from 0–85%. The northern part had the highest infection (23.4%), while the south had the lowest (4%) (Tuntasuvan and Kitikoon, 1996). In Pakpanang river basin, Nakhon Si Thammarat province had 8.2% of *Fasciola gigantica* infection (Worasing, 2007). Twenty-five cases of human fasciolosis were occurred in Thailand from 1967-1990. Since then, at least 10-20 new human fasciolosis cases have been confirmed in Khon Kaen University Hospital each year (Gray *et al*, 2008). The infection rate of *Fasciola* was found 0.36% in in-patient at Siriraj hospital from 1991-1995 (Tiewchaloren and Junnu, 1996).

There were many techniques for diagnose *Fasciola* infection including fecal, immunological and molecular techniques. The faecal examination is the referent method for detection of *Fasciola* infection. This method based on formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation (Bonita and Taira, 1996). The test was diagnosed by finding the egg of parasites. The serological methods such as ELISA were developed to diagnose fasciolosis in early infection. The assay was more sensitive for *Fasciola* infection than fecal examination but it was less practical for the field survey (Intapan *et al.*, 2003). Several antigens were used including crude antigen, tegument, egg and excretory-secretory antigen (ES Ag). There were many ES Ag from *Fasciola spp*. The molecular weight of ES protein was 15-101 kDa (Awad *et al.*, 2009). However, the

twenty-seven kDa proteins of ES protein was the specific Ag of *Fasciola spp*. The 27 kDa ELISA had high sensitivity (93-94.9%), high accuracy (96%) and no cross reaction between *Fasciola gigantica* and *Paramphistomum epiclitum*, but that assay was not practical in the field diagnosis (Estuningsih *et al.*, 1997; Cornelissen *et al.*, 1999; Dixit *et al.*, 2002).

Songkhla Lake is the largest lake in Thailand and has the environment facilitating *Fasciola* life cycle. The lake promoted increasing population and freely spreading in the pasture of water snails, and vegetating of water plants. Many cows and buffaloes were reared nearby the lake. There were cattle in nearby Songkhla Lake areas, which died from *Fasciola* infection. In the present study, we aim to detect *Fasciola spp*. infection in cows and buffaloes by using fecal technique and serological method (ELISA) and determine the risk factor for the transmission of *Fasciola* infection in nearby Songkhla Lake areas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Blood Samples and Study areas

Five districts including Sathing Phra (ST), Ranot (SR), Singha Nakhon (SS), Krasaesin (SA) and Khuan Niang (SK) districts of Songkhla province (Figure 1) were assigned and 277 blood samples of cows (ST=54, SR=99, SS=49, SA=59 and SK=16) and 95 of buffaloes (ST=29, SR=19, SS=27 and SA=20) were collected. Blood samples were allowed to clot at room temperature for one hour, centrifuged at 1,448 G for 20 min, separated for sera, and stored at -20°C until tested.

Coprological examination

Two hundred and seventy-two fecal samples of cows and 83 fecal samples of buffaloes were collected directly from rectum of the animals in the study areas and examined for the presence of helminthic eggs by formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation method. Fecal samples were washed in normal saline, strained into 15 mL centrifuge tubes, and centrifuged at 1,448 G for 5 min. The supernatant was decanted, and 10% formalin was added to a volume of 10 mL into the tube and mixed. The suspension was added 2 mL with ethyl acetate, shaken and centrifuged at 1,448 G for 5 min. Finally, loosening the debris plugs at the top layer and 10% formalin were

decanted. The remaining pellets were mixed with 10% formalin, added a drop of suspension on a glass slide and examined under light microscope.

Collection of adult worms

Adult worms of *Fasciola* were collected from liver and bile ducts of cattle at the slaughter houses and transferred into phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH 7.2). Alive flukes were washed with normal saline to remove host contents. Thereafter, the flukes were washed five times in PBS (pH 7.2) and processed for obtaining adult worm regurgitant for isolation and purification of *F. gigantica* ES proteins

Isolation of Fasciola excretory/secretory antigen

Adults of *Fasciola* were washed cultured to obtaining ES antigen which followed the protocol by Raina *et al.*, 2006. Briefly, the flukes were incubated in RPMI1640 (100 unit/mL penicillin) at 37 ° C overnight. The media containing ES Ag product was collected and centrifuged at 10,000 G for 30 min at 4 ° C. Then, the supernatant was precipitated by two steps alcoholic precipitation. Finally, this product was resuspensed with PBS. The suspension was aliquotted and stored at -80 ° C until test. Protein concentration of each prepared antigens was determined by Bradford method.



Figure 1 Map of Thailand and Songkhla Lake in Songkhla province

Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

The sera from cows and buffaloes were evaluated by ELISA. The ELISA was performed as per the method described by Raina *et al.* (2006) with some

modifications. Briefly, ELISA plates were coated with 100 μ L of 0.25 μ g ES Ag in 0.1M carbonate buffer (pH 9.5) per well, incubated at 4 °C overnight. The plates were washed five times with 200 μ L/well washing buffer (0.05% Tween-20 in PBS) and blocked with 100 μ L/well blocking buffer (3% skimmed milk powder in PBS) for 1 h at 37 °C. The plates were washed five times with washing buffer. One hundred μ L of serum dilution (1:200 dilutions in blocking solution) was added into the wells and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The plates were washed five times. The anti-bovine lgG peroxidase conjugate was added into the wells and the plates were incubated at 37 °C. Finally for 1 h, after five washes, 100 μ l of substrate (3,3'5,5'-tetramethyl benzidine, TMB) and plates were incubated at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. The reaction was stopped by adding 100 μ l of 1N HCl into each well. The absorbance was read at 450 nm by ELISA plate reader. The positive control was chosen from the positive sample tested by fecal examination which the cattle showed single helminthic infection. The negative control was chosen from the sample which was not found any parasite in the stool.

Statistical Analysis

The prevalence of fasciolosis was determined and analyzed by from the ratio of positive results and total number of animals, and data were analyzed using the chisquare test, according to age and sex by Number Cruncher Statistical System programs (NCSS) version 2000 (Kaysville, UT).

RESULTS

The prevalence of *Fasciola* infection in cows and buffaloes was 10.3% (28/272) and 30.1% (25/83), respectively by the fecal exam (Table 1). Compared to the fecal examination, the seroprevalence was increasing to 34.3% (95/277) and 78.9% (75/95) in cows and buffaloes by ELISA (Table 1). The *Fasciola* infection occurred in all districts. The percentage of infected cows were 17.5% (11/63) in male cows and 39.25% (84/214) in female cows (Table 1). The male cows were lower positive numbers than the female cows but in buffaloes, the male (81.8%) was higher than the female (78.6%). The old cows (46.9%) showed more infected numbers than the young cows (31.4%). In buffaloes, the young buffaloes showed the highest positive percentage of *Fasciola* infection. The ages and sex group differences were

significant (p=0.0013 and p=0.0032, respectively) in cows. However, in buffaloes, the
ages and sex group differences were not significant (p=0.8 and p=0.76, respectively).

Parameter					Statistic
Fecal exan	ination	~ 6	L T	UNI	
cows			272	28(10.29)	
buffaloes			83	25(30.12)	
ELISA			4 /		
cows	ages	<1	9	1(11.1)	X ² =10.26, df=1, p=0.0013
		1-7	204	64(31.4)	
		>7	64	30(46.9)	
	sex	Male	63	11(17.5)	X ² =6.89, df=2, p=0.0032
		Female	214	84(39.3)	
	total		277	95(34.3)	
buffaloes	ages	<7	37	31(83.8)	X ² =0.06, df=1, p=0.8
		>7	58	44(75.9)	
	sex	Male	11	9(81.8)	X ² =0.09, df=1, p=0.76
		Female	84	66(78.6)	
	total		95	75(78.9)	

Table 1 Seroprevalence of *Fasciola* infection using fecal examination and ELISA in cows and buffaloes

DISCUSSION

Songkhla Lake, which is the largest lake in Thailand, covered area of 1,040 km² and bordered Songkhla and Pathalung provinces. There are many wildlifes living in this area. Several domestic animals were reared nearby and in Songkhla Lake. In the morning most domestic animals including cattle, buffaloes and goats were grazed in the field neaby the lake (fig 2), and at night, cows and buffaloes came back into the pens by themself. The animals lived, fed, defecated and slept nearby Songkhla Lake.

The feces contaminated with parasitic eggs dropped on the ground or into the water in the lake. The parasites were spread around the lake by the flowing of water (Patz *et al.*, 2000). Then, the lake was the reservoir of parasite. Humans lived nearby Songkhla Lake which ate vegetable from the lake and the domestic animals could graze water plants nearby the lake, which were a potential risk to the infection of *helminthic parasites including Fasciola gigantica*. Cattle and humans nearby Songkhla Lake areas had many risk factors for *Fasciola* infection such as fresh water snails and water plants (Claxton *et al.*, 1997). The environment of Songkhla Lake, where was large lake and had water all the year round, promoted proliferation and spreading of fresh snails and water plants. The fresh water snails and water plants had importance for the transmission of liver flukes.





The previous study nearby Pakpanang river, Nakhon si thammarat province in the south of Thailand had low prevalence (8.2%) of *Fasciola* infection (Worasing, 2007). The prevalence of bovine fasciolosis (34.3% and 78.9% in cows and buffaloes, respectively) in the present study was greater than the previous study in Nakhon si thammarat. The water in Pakpanang river flowed all times. The flow of running water in river might have carried some the infected snails (Rondelaud *et al*, 2005). That habitat was not appropriate for distributing of fasciolosis. However, in Songkhla Lake, the water was flowed in the lake and had water all year round. The migration of the infected snails was in the same area. The geography of Songkhla Lake supported the fasciolosis distribution but no data on human fasciolosis in Songkhla province was reported. However, the data in the present study showed high prevalence of bovine

fasciolosis. The people nearby Songkhla Lake had risked to the liver flukes infection. Therefore, they should avoid ingesting raw water plants which grew nearby the lake.

The positive result of immunological assay in our study was higher than fecal examination. The fecal examination was simple method for detecting the intestinal parasites and the formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation was practical for field survey. The disadvantage of fecal examination was not detecting in the early stage of fasciolosis and showed high false negative in chronic infection (Awad *et al.*, 2009). ELISA could diagnose fasciolosis in the early stage, current and chronic infection (Arias *et al.*, 2007). The infected buffaloes with *Fasciola gigantica* were detected in three week post infection by using 27 kDa Ag iELISA (Kumar *et al.*, 2008). The ES-ELISA had high sensitivity (100%) and specificity (near 100%), compared to coprological and bile examinations (Ridi *et al.*, 2007). ELISA was high sensitivity, specificity and no cross reaction with others flukes (Intapan *et al.*, 2003). Then, ELISA is a recommendatory method for diagnosis bovine fasciolosis than fecal examination.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was supported by a research grant from Kasetsart University Research and Development Institute (KURDI). Special thanks to Regional Livestock office, Region 9, Songkhla province and the owners of cattle around Songkhla Lake for providing us with accommodation during our field study in Songkhla province.

REFERENCE

- Arias, M., P. Morrondo, G.V. Hillyer, R. Sanchez-Andrade, J.L. Suarez, C. Lomba, J. Pedreira, P. Diaz, P. Diez-Banos and A. Paz-Silva. 2007. Immunodiagnosis of current fasciolosis in sheep naturally exposed to *Fasciola hepatica* by using a 2.9 kDa recombinant protein. Vet. Parasitol.146: 46-49.
- Awad, W.S., A.K. Ibrahim and F.A. Salib. 2009. Using indirect ELISA to assess different antigens for the Serodiagnosis of *Fasciola gigantica* infection in cattle, sheep and donkeys. **Res. Vet. Sci.** 86: 466-471.

Bonita, R. and N. Taira. 1996. Faecal examination of fasciola eggs fixed with

formalin solution using the beads technique. Vet. Parasitol. 67: 269-273.

- Claxton, J.R., H. Zambrano, P. Ortiz, C. Amorós, E. Delgado, E. Escurra and M.J. Clarkson. 1997. The epidemiology of fascioliosis in the inter-Andean valley of Cajamarca, Peru. **Parasitol. Int.** 46: 281-288
- Cornelissen, J. B.W.J., C.P.H. Gasenbeek, W. Boersma, F.H.M. Borgsteede and F. J. van Milligen. 1999. Use of a pre-selected epitope of cathepsin-L1 in a highly specific peptide-based immunessay for the diagnosis of *Fasciola hepatica* infections in cattle. Int. J. Parasitol. 29: 685-696.
- Dixit, A.K., S.C. Yadav and R.L. Sharma. 2002. 28 kDa Fasciola gigantica cysteine proteinase in the diagnosis of prepatent ovine fasciolosis. Vet. Parasitol. 109: 233-247.
- Estuningsih, S.E., P.M. Smooker, E. Wiedosari, S. Widjajanti, S. Vaiano, S.
 Partoutomo and T.W.Spithill. 1997. Evaluation of Antigen of *Fasciola* gigantica as Vaccines Against Tropical Fasciolosis in Cattle. Int. J.
 Parasitol. 24: 1419-1428.
- Gray G.D., Copland R.S. and Copeman D.B. (eds) 2008. Overcoming liver fluke as a constraint to ruminant production in South-East Asia. ACIAR, Australia. pp. 155
- Intapan, P.M., W. Maleewong, S. Nateeworanart, C. Wongkham, V. Pipitgool, V. Sukolapong and S. Sangmaneedet. 2003. Immunodiagnosis of human Fascioliasis using an antigen of *Fasciola gigantica* adult worm with the molecular mass of 27 kDa by a Dot-ELISA. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health. 34: 713-717.
- Intapan, P.M., C. Tantrawatpan, W. Maleewong, S. Wongkham, C. Wongkham and K. Nakashima. 2005. Potent epitopes derived from Fasciola gigantica cathepsin L1 in paptide-based immunoassay for the serodiagnosis of human fascioliasis. **Diagnos. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.** 53: 125-129.
- Kanoksil, W.,D. Wattanatranon, C. Wilasrusmee, S. Mingphruedh and S.
 Bunyaratvej. 2006. Endoscopic Removal of One Live Biliary *Fasciola* gigantica. J. Med. Assoc. Thai. 89: 2150-2154.
- Kumar, N., S. Ghosh and S.C. Gupta. 2008. Detection of Fasciola gigantica

infection in buffaloes by enzyme –linked immunosorbent assay. **Parasitol. Res.** 104: 155-161.

- Patz, J.A., T.K. Graczyk, N. Geller and A.Y. Vittor. 2000. Effects of environmental change on emerging parasitic diseases. Int. J. Parasitol. 30: 1395-1405.
- Raina, O.K., S.C. Yadav, D. Sriveny and S.C. Gupta. 2006. Immuno-diagnosis of bubaline fasciolosis with *Fasciola gigantica* cathepsin-L and recombinant cathepsin L 1-D protease. Acta. Tropica. 98: 145-151.
- Ridi, R.E., M. Salah, A. Wagih, H. William, H. Tallima, M.H. El Shafie, T. Abdel Khalek, A. El Amir, F.F. Abo Ammou and H. Motawi. 2007. *Fasciola gigantica* excretory-secretory products for immunodiagnosis and prevention of sheep fasciolosis. Vet. Parasitol. 149: 219-228.
- Rondelaud, D., P. Hourdin, P. Vignoles and G. Dreyfuss. 2005. The contamination of wild watercress with *Fasciola hepatica* in central France depends on the ability of several lymnaeid snails to migrate upstream towards the beds.
 Parasitol. Res. 95: 305-309.
- Tuntasuvan, D., V. Kittikoon. 1996. Bovine fasciolosis in Thailand: A review. J. Trop. Med. Parasitol. 19.
- Tiewchaloren, S., V. Junnu. 1996. Parasitic Infection of In-patient at Siriraj Hospital from 1991-1995. Siriraj. Hosp. Gaz. 48: 1073-1080.
- Worasing, R. 2007. Gastrointestinal and blood sample parasites in cattle in Pakpanang river basin Nakhon si thammarat province. Thai-NIAH ejournal. p 38-47.

CURRICULUM VITAE

NAME : Mr. Boy Boonaue

BIRTH DATE : November 18, 1986

BIRTH PLACE : Bangkok, Thailand

EDUCATION	: <u>YEAR</u>	INSTITUTE	DEGREE	
	2009	Kasetsart University	B.S.	

WORK PLACE : Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kasetsart University