

# Chapter 2

## Preliminaries

In this chapter, we will briefly review some concepts and some results of Semigroup Theory.

### 2.1 Elementary Concepts

**Definition 2.1.1.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup, then a non-empty subset  $T$  of  $S$  is called a *subsemigroup* of  $S$ , that is, if

$$xy \in T \text{ for all } x, y \in T.$$

**Definition 2.1.2.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup and for each non-empty subset  $A$  of  $S$ , we set

$$\langle A \rangle = \cap \{T : T \text{ is a subsemigroup of } S \text{ containing } A\}.$$

It is characterized that  $\langle A \rangle$  is the smallest subsemigroup of  $S$  containing  $A$ , and

$$\langle A \rangle = \{a_1.a_2 \dots a_n : a_i \in A \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

**Definition 2.1.3.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup. A proper subsemigroup  $M$  of  $S$  is called a *maximal subsemigroup* of  $S$  if, whenever  $M \subseteq N \subsetneq S$  and  $N$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ , then  $M = N$ .

From Definition 2.1.3, we can easily prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.4.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $M$  is a maximal subsemigroup of  $S$ ;
- (ii)  $\langle M \cup \{a\} \rangle = S$  for all  $a \in S \setminus M$ ;
- (iii) for any  $a, b \in S \setminus M$ ,  $a$  can be written as a finite product of elements of  $M \cup \{b\}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $M$  is a maximal subsemigroup of  $S$  and  $a \in S \setminus M$ . Then  $M \subsetneq \langle M \cup \{a\} \rangle$  where  $\langle M \cup \{a\} \rangle$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ . Hence  $\langle M \cup \{a\} \rangle = S$  by the maximality of  $M$ , that is, (i) implies (ii).

Next, suppose that (ii) holds and let  $a, b \in S \setminus M$ . Then  $a \in S = \langle M \cup \{b\} \rangle$  and thus  $a$  is a finite product of elements of  $M \cup \{b\}$  by Definition 2.1.2. Therefore (ii) implies (iii).

Finally, to show that (iii) implies (i), we suppose that (iii) holds and  $M \subsetneq N \subsetneq S$  where  $N$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ . Then there exists  $a \in S \setminus N \subseteq S \setminus M$ . If there exists  $b \in N \setminus M$ , then  $b \in S \setminus M$ . So, (iii) implies that  $a$  is a finite product of elements of  $M \cup \{b\}$ . Thus,  $a \in \langle M \cup \{b\} \rangle \subseteq N$ , a contradiction. Hence  $M = N$  and therefore  $M$  is maximal in  $S$ . ■

**Definition 2.1.5.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup. A subsemigroup  $U$  of  $S$  is called a *left unitary subsemigroup* if  $U$  satisfies the property:

$$\text{for } u \in U, s \in S \text{ if } us \in U \text{ then } s \in U.$$

A *right unitary subsemigroup* of  $S$  is defined dually, and  $U$  is an *unitary subsemigroup* if it is both left and right unitary.

**Definition 2.1.6.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup.

(i) If there exists an element  $1$  of  $S$  such that

$$x1 = x = 1x \text{ for all } x \in S,$$

then  $1$  is called an *identity* element of  $S$  and  $S$  is called a *semigroup with identity*.

(ii) If there exists an element  $0$  of  $S$  such that

$$x0 = 0 = 0x \text{ for all } x \in S,$$

then  $0$  is called a *zero* element of  $S$  and  $S$  is called a *semigroup with zero*.

**Definition 2.1.7.** A semigroup  $S$  is called *left cancellative* (*right cancellative*) if, for all  $a, b$  and  $c$  in  $S$ ,

$$ca = cb \text{ implies } a = b \text{ (} ac = bc \text{ implies } a = b\text{)}.$$

**Definition 2.1.8.** A semigroup  $S$  is called *left reductive* (*right reductive*) if, for any  $a, b$  in  $S$ ,

$$xa = xb \text{ for all } x \in S \text{ implies } a = b \text{ (} ax = bx \text{ for all } x \in S \text{ implies } a = b).$$

From Definition 2.1.7 and Definition 2.1.8 we see that, a left cancellative (right cancellative) semigroup is left reductive (right reductive).

**Definition 2.1.9.** An element  $e$  of a semigroup  $S$  is called an *idempotent* if  $e = e^2$ . The set of all idempotents in  $S$  is denoted by  $E(S)$ . We call  $S$  an *idempotent-free* semigroup if  $S$  has no idempotent element.

**Definition 2.1.10.** An element  $a$  of a semigroup  $S$  is called *regular* if there exists  $x$  in  $S$  such that  $a = axa$ . A semigroup  $S$  is *regular* if all elements in  $S$  are regular.

**Definition 2.1.11.** A semigroup  $S$  is called an *inverse semigroup* if every  $a$  in  $S$  possesses a unique inverse, i.e. if there exists a unique element  $a^{-1}$  in  $S$  such that

$$a = aa^{-1}a \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1} = a^{-1}aa^{-1}.$$

**Theorem 2.1.12.** [3] *Let  $S$  be a semigroup. Then  $S$  is an inverse semigroup if and only if  $S$  is regular and idempotent elements commute.*

**Definition 2.1.13.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be semigroups. A mapping  $\varphi$  from  $S$  into  $T$  is called a *homomorphism* if

$$(xy)\varphi = (x\varphi)(y\varphi) \text{ for all } x, y \in S.$$

An injective homomorphism is called a *monomorphism*. A surjective homomorphism is called an *epimorphism*, and if a homomorphism is bijective then we call it an *isomorphism*. If there exists an isomorphism from  $S$  onto  $T$  then we say that  $S$  and  $T$  are *isomorphic* and write  $S \cong T$ . If  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism from  $S$  into  $S$  then we call it an *endomorphism* of  $S$ . An isomorphism from  $S$  onto  $S$  will be called an *automorphism* of  $S$ .

## 2.2 Ideals and Green's Relations

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let  $S$  be a semigroup.

(i) A non-empty subset  $A$  of  $S$  is called a *left ideal* if  $SA \subseteq A$ , a *right ideal* if  $AS \subseteq A$ , and a (*two-sided*) *ideal* if it is both a left and a right ideal.

(ii) An ideal  $I$  of  $S$  is called a *prime ideal* if  $I \neq S$  and whenever  $ab \in I$  for elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $S$ , then either  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ .

From Definition 2.2.1, it is equivalent to say that,  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $S$  if and only if  $S \setminus I$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ . Also, if  $S$  has a zero element, then  $\{0\}$  and  $S$  are ideals of  $S$ . We call an ideal  $I$  of  $S$  a *proper ideal* if  $\{0\} \neq I \neq S$ .

For any semigroup  $S$ , the notation  $S^1$  means  $S$  itself if  $S$  contains the identity element, otherwise, we let  $S^1 = S \cup \{1\}$  and define the binary operation on  $S^1$  by

$$1 \cdot s = s = s \cdot 1 \quad \text{for all } s \in S \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$$

Then  $S^1$  becomes a semigroup with the identity element 1.

For any element  $a$  in  $S$ ,

the smallest left ideal of  $S$  containing  $a$  is  $Sa \cup \{a\} = S^1a$ ,

the smallest right ideal of  $S$  containing  $a$  is  $aS \cup \{a\} = aS^1$ , and

the smallest ideal of  $S$  containing  $a$  is  $SaS \cup aS \cup Sa \cup \{a\} = S^1aS^1$ ,

which we call the *principal left ideal*, *principal right ideal* and *principal ideal generated by  $a$* , respectively.

In 1951, Green defined the equivalence relations  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $S$  by the rules that, for  $a, b \in S$ ,

$$a \mathcal{L} b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad S^1a = S^1b,$$

$$a \mathcal{R} b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad aS^1 = bS^1, \quad \text{and}$$

$$a \mathcal{J} b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1.$$

Then he defined the equivalence relations

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R},$$

and obtained that the composition of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  is commutative. This follows that  $\mathcal{D}$  is the *join*  $\mathcal{L} \vee \mathcal{R}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{D}$  is the smallest equivalence relation containing  $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ . But, in commutative semigroups, we have  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ .

**Definition 2.2.2.** A semigroup  $S$  is called *left simple* (*right simple*, *bi-simple*) if,

$$\mathcal{L} = S \times S \quad (\mathcal{R} = S \times S, \mathcal{D} = S \times S).$$

## 2.3 Transformation Semigroups

In this section, we give some useful results about transformation semigroups which will be used in this thesis.

### 2.3.1 The semigroups $P(X)$ , $T(X)$ , $I(X)$ and $G(X)$

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. As usual,  $P(X)$  denotes the set of all *partial transformations* of  $X$ , that is, all transformations  $\alpha$  whose *domain*,  $\text{dom } \alpha$ , and *range*,  $X\alpha$  (or  $\text{ran } \alpha$ ) are subsets of  $X$ . Then  $P(X)$  is a semigroup under the *composition of mappings*, that is, if  $\alpha, \beta \in P(X)$ , then  $\alpha\beta \in P(X)$  is defined by

$$x(\alpha\beta) = (x\alpha)\beta \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{dom } \alpha.$$

We also have

$$\text{dom } \alpha\beta = (\text{ran } \alpha \cap \text{dom } \beta)\alpha^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ran } \alpha\beta = (\text{ran } \alpha \cap \text{dom } \beta)\beta.$$

In the case that  $\text{ran } \alpha \cap \text{dom } \beta = \emptyset$ , we define  $\alpha\beta$  to be the *empty transformation*, which is a partial transformation of  $X$  with empty domain and it is denoted by  $\emptyset$ .

Let  $T(X)$ ,  $I(X)$  and  $G(X)$  be the following sets:

$$T(X) = \{\alpha \in P(X) : \text{dom } \alpha = X\},$$

$$I(X) = \{\alpha \in P(X) : \alpha \text{ is injective}\},$$

$$G(X) = \{\alpha \in P(X) : \alpha \text{ is bijective}\}.$$

Then  $T(X)$  and  $I(X)$  are subsemigroups of  $P(X)$ , which are called the *full transformation semigroup* and the *symmetric inverse semigroup on  $X$* , respectively. Also, we call  $G(X)$  the *permutation group on  $X$* , which is a subgroup of  $P(X)$ ,  $T(X)$  and  $I(X)$ .

For a non-empty subset  $A$  of  $X$ , we let  $\text{id}_A$  denote the identity mapping on  $A$ . Then it is clear that  $\text{id}_X$  is the identity element of  $P(X)$ ,  $T(X)$ ,  $I(X)$  and  $G(X)$ .

It is well known that every group can be embedded up to isomorphism in a permutation group  $G(X)$  for some set  $X$  (Cayley's Theorem). Comparing with this result, in semigroup theory we have the following well known theorems.

**Theorem 2.3.1.** [3] *If  $S$  is a semigroup and  $X = S^1$ , then there exists a monomorphism  $\rho : S \rightarrow T(X)$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.2.** [3] (*The Vagner-Preston Representation Theorem*)

*If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, then there exists a set  $X$  and a monomorphism  $\phi : S \rightarrow I(X)$ .*

### 2.3.2 Baer-Levi semigroup

For any  $\alpha \in P(X)$ , we write

$$G(\alpha) = X \setminus \text{dom } \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad D(\alpha) = X \setminus \text{ran } \alpha.$$

We also let

$$g(\alpha) = |G(\alpha)|, \quad d(\alpha) = |D(\alpha)|, \quad r(\alpha) = |\text{ran } \alpha|,$$

and refer to these cardinals as the *gap*, the *defect* and the *rank* of  $\alpha$ , respectively.

In 1932, R. Baer and F. Levi constructed a right cancellative right simple semigroup which is not a group on an infinite set  $X$  with cardinal  $p$ . The semigroup is defined by

$$BL(q) = \{\alpha \in I(X) : g(\alpha) = 0, d(\alpha) = q\},$$

where  $\aleph_0 \leq q \leq p$ . This semigroup is called a *Baer-Levi semigroup of type  $(p, q)$*  on  $X$ . From [1] vol 2, Section 8.1, we have the following well known results on  $BL(q)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.3.** [1] *For any two infinite cardinals  $p, q$  such that  $p \geq q$ , there exists a Baer-Levi semigroup of type  $(p, q)$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.4.** [1] *Let  $S$  be a Baer-Levi semigroup. Then  $S$  is a right cancellative, right simple semigroup without idempotents.*

**Theorem 2.3.5.** [1] *Let  $S$  be a right cancellative, right simple semigroup without idempotents. Then  $S$  can be embedded in a Baer-Levi semigroup of type  $(p, p)$ , where  $p = |S|$ .*

In 1984, Levi and Wood determined a maximal subsemigroup of  $BL(q)$  by letting

$$M_A = \{\alpha \in BL(q) : A \not\subseteq X\alpha \text{ or } (A\alpha \subseteq A \text{ or } |X\alpha \setminus A| < q)\}$$

where  $A$  is a non-empty subset of  $X$  with  $|X \setminus A| \geq q$ . That is,  $\alpha$  in  $BL(q)$  belongs to  $M_A$  if and only if

- (i)  $A \not\subseteq X\alpha$ , or
- (ii)  $A \subseteq X\alpha$  and either  $A\alpha \subseteq A$ , or  $|X\alpha \setminus A| < q$ .

The authors showed that  $M_A$  is a maximal subsemigroup of  $BL(q)$  ([9] Theorem 1). Later, Hotzel [2] studied maximal subsemigroups and maximal left unitary subsemigroups of  $BL(q)$ . He showed that there are many other maximal subsemigroups of  $BL(q)$  and they are very complicated to describe.

### 2.3.3 Partial Baer-Levi semigroup

Let  $X$  be an infinite set with cardinal  $p$ , and let  $q$  be a cardinal such that  $p \geq q \geq \aleph_0$ . In this thesis, we examine a related semigroup of  $BL(q)$ : namely, the *partial Baer-Levi semigroup* on  $X$  defined by

$$PS(q) = \{\alpha \in I(X) : d(\alpha) = q\}.$$

This semigroup was first defined in [13] p 82. In contrast with  $BL(q)$ ,  $PS(q)$  is neither right simple nor right cancellative. Moreover, this semigroup always contains idempotents. In [12], Pinto and Sullivan described some algebraic properties of  $PS(q)$  as follows.

**Theorem 2.3.6.** *If  $p \geq q \geq \aleph_0$ , then  $PS(q)$  is a right and left reductive semigroup with idempotents. Moreover,  $PS(q)$  contains a zero precisely when  $p = q$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.7.** *If  $p \geq q \geq \aleph_0$  and  $\alpha \in PS(q)$ , then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\alpha$  is regular,
- (ii)  $g(\alpha) = q$ ,
- (iii)  $\alpha^{-1} \in PS(q)$ .

They also studied the set of all regular elements in  $PS(q)$ : namely,

$$R(q) = \{\alpha \in PS(q) : g(\alpha) = q\}.$$

They showed that  $R(q)$  is the largest regular subsemigroup of  $PS(q)$ . Moreover, they obtained the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.8.** *If  $p \geq q \geq \aleph_0$ , then  $R(q)$  is an inverse semigroup.*

They characterized Green's relations of  $PS(q)$  as follows.

**Theorem 2.3.9.** *If  $\alpha, \beta \in PS(q)$ , then  $\alpha = \beta\mu$  for some  $\mu \in PS(q)$  if and only if  $\text{dom } \alpha \subseteq \text{dom } \beta$ . Hence  $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$  in  $PS(q)$  if and only if  $\text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \beta$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.10.** *If  $\alpha, \beta \in PS(q)$ , then  $\alpha = \lambda\beta$  for some  $\lambda \in PS(q)$  if and only if  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$  and*

$$q \leq \max(g(\beta), |X\beta \setminus X\alpha|) \leq \max(g(\alpha), q).$$

*Hence,  $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$  in  $PS(q)$  if and only if*

$$(X\alpha = X\beta \text{ and } g(\alpha) = g(\beta) \geq q) \text{ or } (\alpha = \beta \text{ and } g(\alpha) < q).$$

**Theorem 2.3.11.** *If  $\alpha, \beta \in PS(q)$ , then  $\alpha \mathcal{H} \beta$  in  $PS(q)$  if and only if*

$$(X\alpha = X\beta, \text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \beta \text{ and } g(\alpha) \geq q) \text{ or } (\alpha = \beta \text{ and } g(\alpha) < q).$$

The National Research Council of Thailand	
Research Library	
Date.....	26 DEC 2012
Record No. ....	<b>E</b> 42188
Call No. ....	



**Theorem 2.3.12.** *If  $\alpha, \beta \in PS(q)$ , then  $\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta$  in  $PS(q)$  if and only if*

$$(\text{dom } \alpha = \text{dom } \beta \text{ and } g(\alpha) < q) \text{ or } (r(\alpha) = r(\beta) \text{ and } g(\alpha) = g(\beta) \geq q).$$

**Theorem 2.3.13.** *If  $\alpha, \beta \in PS(q)$ , then  $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$  in  $PS(q)$  if and only if*

$$(\max(g(\alpha), g(\beta)) \leq q \text{ and } r(\alpha) = r(\beta)) \text{ or } (g(\alpha) = g(\beta) > q).$$

Let  $u$  be a cardinal number. The *successor* of  $u$ , denoted by  $u'$ , is defined as

$$u' = \min\{v : v > u\}.$$

Note that  $u'$  always exists since the cardinals are well-ordered, and when  $u$  is finite we have  $u' = u + 1$ .

Consequently, Pinto and Sullivan described the ideals of  $PS(q)$  as follows.

**Theorem 2.3.14.** *The proper ideals of  $PS(q)$  for  $p > q$  are precisely the sets:*

$$T_r = \{\alpha \in PS(q) : g(\alpha) \geq r\}$$

where  $q < r \leq p$ . Moreover, each  $T_r$  is a principal ideal.

**Theorem 2.3.15.** *If  $p = q$ , the ideals of  $PS(q)$  are precisely the sets:*

$$J_r = \{\alpha \in PS(q) : r(\alpha) < r\}$$

where  $1 \leq r \leq p'$ . Moreover,  $J_r$  is principal precisely when  $r = s'$  where  $0 \leq s \leq p$ .

For  $\aleph_0 \leq r \leq p$ , Pinto and Sullivan [12] defined a subsemigroup

$$S_r = \{\alpha \in PS(q) : g(\alpha) \leq r\}$$

of  $PS(q)$ . Then they gave the following result

**Corollary 2.3.16.** *If  $p \geq r > q \geq \aleph_0$ , then  $G_r = S_r \cap T_r$  is bi-simple and idempotent-free.*

Moreover, they showed that  $S_q$  is generated by  $BL(q)$  and  $R(q)$  in very specific ways.

**Theorem 2.3.17.** *If  $p \geq q \geq \aleph_0$ , then  $S_q = BL(q).R(q)$ . In fact,  $S_q = \alpha.R(q)$  for each  $\alpha \in BL(q)$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.18.** *If  $p > q$ , then  $S_q = BL(q).\mu.BL(q)$  for each  $\mu \in R(q)$ .*

## 2.4 Automorphisms of Transformation Semigroups

**Definition 2.4.1.** Let  $X$  be an infinite set. A semigroup  $S$  of partial transformations of  $X$  is said to be  $G_X$ -normal if for every  $\alpha \in G(X)$ ,  $\alpha S \alpha^{-1} \subseteq S$ .

**Example 2.4.2.** The semigroup of all partial transformations  $P(X)$ , the full transformation semigroup  $T(X)$ , the symmetric inverse semigroup  $I(X)$  and all ideals of  $P(X)$ ,  $T(X)$  and  $I(X)$  are  $G_X$ -normal.

**Example 2.4.3.** The partial Baer-Levi semigroup  $PS(q)$  is  $G_X$ -normal. To see this, we let  $\alpha \in G(X)$  and  $\beta \in PS(q)$ . Since  $X\alpha = X$ , we have  $X\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1} = X\beta\alpha^{-1}$ . Thus,

$$d(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}) = |X \setminus X\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}| = |X \setminus X\beta\alpha^{-1}| = |(X \setminus X\beta)\alpha^{-1}| = q$$

since  $d(\beta) = |X \setminus X\beta| = q$ . Clearly  $\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}$  is injective, hence  $\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1} \in PS(q)$ , that is,  $\alpha.PS(q).\alpha^{-1} \subseteq PS(q)$ .

**Definition 2.4.4.** Let  $X$  be an infinite set and  $S$  be a semigroup of total or partial transformations of  $X$ . An automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $S$  is said to be *inner* if there exists  $\gamma \in G(X)$  such that  $(\beta)\varphi = \gamma\beta\gamma^{-1}$  for all  $\beta \in S$ .

In what follows, we let  $\text{Aut } S$  denote the set of all automorphisms of the subsemigroup  $S$  of  $P(X)$ . The following results are the characterization of  $\text{Aut } PS(q)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.5.** [13] *If  $S$  is the partial Baer-Levi semigroup of type  $(p, p)$ , then every automorphism of  $S$  is inner and  $\text{Aut } S \cong G(X)$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.6.** [12] *If  $p > q$ , then  $\text{Aut } PS(q)$  is isomorphic to  $G(X)$ .*

When necessary, we will use the notation  $PS(X, p, q)$  in place of  $PS(q)$  to highlight the set  $X$  and its cardinal  $p$ . The following result is quoted from [12] Theorem 3.

**Theorem 2.4.7.** [12] *The semigroups  $PS(X, p, q)$  and  $PS(Y, r, s)$  are isomorphic if and only if  $p = r$  and  $q = s$ . Moreover, for each isomorphism  $\varphi$ , there is a bijection  $\gamma : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\alpha\varphi = \gamma^{-1}\alpha\gamma$  for each  $\alpha \in PS(X, p, q)$ .*

In [12], the authors let  $\mathcal{B}(X, q)$  denote the family of all  $A \subseteq X$  such that  $|X \setminus A| = q$  where  $|X| = p \geq q \geq \aleph_0$ . If  $Y$  is a set with  $|Y| = r \geq s \geq \aleph_0$ , then we call a mapping  $H : \mathcal{B}(X, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(Y, s)$  an *order monomorphism* if  $H$  is injective and, for  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(X, q)$ ,

$$A \subseteq B \text{ if and only if } AH \subseteq BH.$$

Moreover, when  $H$  is bijective we call  $H$  an *order isomorphism*.

In order to prove Theorem 2.4.7, the authors used the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.8.** [12] *Suppose  $|X| = p \geq q \geq \aleph_0$  and  $|Y| = r \geq s \geq \aleph_0$ . Every order isomorphism  $H : \mathcal{B}(X, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(Y, s)$  is induced by a bijection  $h : X \rightarrow Y$ , that is, for each  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X, q)$ , we have  $AH = Ah$ , the image of  $A$  under  $h$ .*

## 2.5 Partial Orders on Semigroups

**Definition 2.5.1.** A binary relation  $\leq$  on a set  $X$  is called a *partial order* if

- (i)  $x \leq x$  for all  $x \in X$ ,
- (ii) for all  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ , then  $x = y$ , and
- (iii) for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$ , then  $x \leq z$ .

We shall refer to  $(X, \leq)$ , or just to  $X$ , as a *partially ordered set* and we sometimes write  $(x, y) \in \leq$  instead of  $x \leq y$ .

**Definition 2.5.2.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set.

- (i) an element  $a \in X$  is called *maximal* if  $a \leq x$  and  $x \in X$  imply  $a = x$ ; and
- $b \in X$  is called *maximum* if  $x \leq b$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(ii) an element  $a \in X$  is called *minimal* if  $x \leq a$  and  $x \in X$  imply  $x = a$ ; and  $b \in X$  is called *minimum* if  $b \leq x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.5.3.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $Y$  a non-empty subset of  $X$ .

(i) a *lower bound* of  $Y$  is an element  $c \in X$  such that  $c \leq y$  for all  $y \in Y$ . A lower bound  $c_0$  of  $Y$  is the *greatest lower bound* of  $Y$  if  $c \leq c_0$  for any lower bound  $c$  of  $Y$ . When  $Y = \{a, b\}$ , we let  $a \wedge b$  denote the greatest lower bound of  $Y$  and call it the *meet* of  $a$  and  $b$ .

(ii) an *upper bound* of  $Y$  is an element  $d \in X$  such that  $y \leq d$  for all  $y \in Y$ . An upper bound  $d_0$  of  $Y$  is the *least upper bound* of  $Y$  if  $d_0 \leq d$  for any upper bound  $d$  of  $Y$ . When  $Y = \{a, b\}$ , we let  $a \vee b$  denote the least upper bound of  $Y$  and call it the *join* of  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Definition 2.5.4.** Let  $\rho$  be a relation on a semigroup  $S$ .

(i) an element  $c \in S$  is called *left compatible* with  $\rho$  if  $(ca, cb) \in \rho$  for all  $(a, b) \in \rho$ .

(ii) an element  $c \in S$  is called *right compatible* with  $\rho$  if  $(ac, bc) \in \rho$  for all  $(a, b) \in \rho$ .

It is well known that if  $S$  is a regular semigroup, then  $(S, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set under the relation  $\leq$  defined on  $S$  by

$$a \leq b \text{ if and only if } a = eb = bf \text{ for some } e, f \in E(S).$$

In [5] the authors investigated properties of this order for the regular semigroup  $T(X)$ . In particular, they characterised when  $\alpha \leq \beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in T(X)$ , and they determined the maximal and minimal elements of  $(T(X), \leq)$ . Later, in 1986, Mitsch [11] extended the above partial order to any semigroup  $S$  by defining  $\leq$  on  $S$  as follows:

$$a \leq b \text{ if and only if } a = xb = by \text{ and } a = ay \text{ for some } x, y \in S^1,$$

and we call  $\leq$  the *natural partial order* on  $S$ . In 2003, Marques-Smith and Sullivan [10] studied various properties of the natural partial order  $\leq$  and the *containment order*  $\subseteq$  on  $P(X)$ , where  $\subseteq$  is defined by, for  $\alpha, \beta \in P(X)$ ,

$$\alpha \subseteq \beta \text{ if and only if } \text{dom } \alpha \subseteq \text{dom } \beta \text{ and } x\alpha = x\beta \text{ for all } x \in \text{dom } \alpha.$$

They determined an upper bound  $\Omega'$  and the join  $\Omega$  of  $\leq$  and  $\subseteq$ , which defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega' \text{ if and only if } X\alpha \subseteq X\beta, \quad & \text{dom } \alpha \subseteq \text{dom } \beta \text{ and} \\ & \alpha\beta^{-1} \cap (\text{dom } \alpha \times \text{dom } \alpha) \subseteq \alpha\alpha^{-1}, \\ (\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega \text{ if and only if } (\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega' \quad & \text{and } \beta\beta^{-1} \cap (\text{dom } \alpha \times \text{dom } \alpha) \subseteq \alpha\alpha^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

They gave the useful results for this thesis as follows:

**Theorem 2.5.5.** *If  $\alpha, \beta \in P(X)$  then  $\alpha \leq \beta$  if and only if  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$ ,  $\text{dom } \alpha \subseteq \text{dom } \beta$ ,  $\alpha\beta^{-1} \subseteq \alpha\alpha^{-1}$  and  $\beta\beta^{-1} \cap (\text{dom } \beta \times \text{dom } \alpha) \subseteq \alpha\alpha^{-1}$ .*

**Theorem 2.5.6.** *If  $\alpha, \beta \in P(X)$  then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\alpha \subseteq \beta$ ,
- (ii)  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$  and  $\alpha\beta^{-1} \subseteq \beta\beta^{-1}$ ,
- (iii)  $X\alpha \subseteq X\beta$  and  $\alpha\alpha^{-1} \subseteq \alpha\beta^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 2.5.7.** *Suppose  $g \in P(X)$  is non-zero and  $|X| \geq 3$ , then the following statements hold.*

- (i)  $g$  is left compatible with  $\Omega$  on  $P(X)$  if and only if  $g$  is surjective,
- (ii)  $g$  is right compatible with  $\Omega$  on  $P(X)$  if and only if  $g \in T(X)$  and either  $g$  is injective or  $g$  is constant.