

##C586152 : MAJOR NURSING EDUCATION

KEY WORD: SELF-CONCEPT / CLINICAL ENVIRONMENT / DECISION MAKING

SOMSRI SUTJASAKULRUT : RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT, SELF-CONCEPT, CLINICAL ENVIRONMENT, AND THE ABILITY TO MAKE DECISION ON NURSING PROBLEMS OF FIRST YEAR NURSING STUDENTS, NURSING COLLEGES UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE MINISTRY OF PUBLIC HEALTH. THESIS ADVISOR : ASSO. PROF. JINTANA YUNIBHAND, Ph.D.
206 pp. ISBN 974-584-987-1

The purposes of this research were to analyze the ability to make decision on nursing problems of first year nursing students in defining the problem, analyzing the data, analyzing, and choosing the alternatives; and to explore the relationships between academic achievement, self-concept, clinical environment, and the ability to make decision on nursing problems of first year nursing students. The samples were 200 freshmen who had completed all first year course requirements, selected by stratified random sampling. Research instruments were self-concept scale, clinical environment, and decision making ability test questionnaires developed by the investigator. All instruments were content validated by group of experts and tested for the reliability.

Results of the study were as follows :

1. The mean scores of the ability to make decision on nursing problems of first year nursing students in the step of defining the problem, analyzing the data, analyzing, and choosing the alternatives were 4.89 out of 12, 60.18 out of 100, 19.93 out of 48, and 9.97 out of 24, respectively, which all were at the middle level.

2. The first year nursing students used two thinking styles in making decision which were shotgun thinking and discriminating thinking styles. Neither of them used constrict thinking nor indiscriminating thinking styles.

3. The group of predictors of the ability to make decision on nursing problems of first year nursing students in each stage were as follows.

- 3.1 In the stage of defining the problem, the 9.07 percent of variance was explained by physical environment and nursing team interactions.

- 3.2 In the stage of analyzing the data, the 3.20 percent of variance was only explained by students' readiness for clinical practice.

- 3.3 In the stage of analyzing the alternatives, the 2.00 percent of variance was only explained by T-score of theoretical part of nursing subject.

- 3.4 In the stage of choosing the alternatives, the 5.34 percent of variance was only explained by physical environment.