

ABSTRACT

- Thesis** : The Thai Parliament-Committee's Role the Case Study of The Committee According to The New Condtitution,1997
- Student's Name** : Police Captain Sakchai Jeeraporn
- Degree Sought** : Master of Arts
- Major** : Political Science
- Academic** : 1999
- Advisory Committee** :
1. Prof. Sirot Bharksuwan Chair Person
 2. Assoc. Prof .Dr Chaichana Ingavata
 3. Asst. Prof. Phimol Phupipidh

The purpose of the study was to analyze the process of appointment of a parliament committee and the consideration of the bills by the committees of both the lower house and the senate house in conformity with 1997 Constitution of the Kingdom of Thailand. The study included the pattern and structure of such a committee in different countries that use the parliament system in order to see the social and cultural differences in using such a committee as a mechanism to handle legislature for the parliament. The parliament is very crucial in the ruling of the country. It represents people in passing laws, while the committee represents the parliament and its role depends on the ruling system of the country whether the country has only one parliament or two. Thailand has a dual parliament, which consists of the lower house and the Senate. Each house is composed of Wayne members, so it may not be convenient to include every member to perform individual tasks. Accordingly, representatives of each house are appointed to form a committee to work for the Parliament in considering the bills or other matters as assigned by the Parliament. The number of committee members of each house depends on the task. However, the number of each committee members is usually equal. The members' expertise is also different, depending on the task. The findings can be summed up as follows:

1. Differences in committee appointment. The Constitution has some weaknesses. For example, it stipulates that the Members of Parliament must come from election and must be under a certain political party while the Senate members must not be under any political party. Therefore, the Members of Parliament are not free from appointment.

2. Expertise, sophistication, knowledge and skills are taken into consideration when a committee is appointed.

3. There is a delay in considering a bill because the bill has to get approval from the lower house, which may couch some points. After that the senate may make some corrections, still, there is no complete agreement and both houses have to consider the bill together because the senate has no authority to initiate any bill. If the senate can initiate a bill, the bill can be said to be chucked and approved once already

The study of the role of a committee in the Thai parliament system in accordance with the 1997 Constitution indicates that the problems mentioned can be solved if the constitution indicates that the problems mentioned can be solved if the constitution is amended, especially. The 1997 regulations and the 1998 regulations regarding the parliament meetings.