

## **ABSTRACT**

**Thesis Title : The Liability for Torts Committed by Juristic Person**

**Student's Name : Mr. Piyaphol Samroeng**

**Degree : Master of Laws**

**Academic Year : 1998**

**Advisory Committee :**

- |                                   |             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Prof. Dr.Vithoon Eungprabhanth | Chairperson |
| 2. Asst. Prof. Prasit Jongwichit  |             |

This thesis's purpose is to study about whether juristic person could commit a tort and should be liable for tort by itself according to the Section 420 of the Civil and Commercial Code, or not.

After studying, the researcher finds that the explanations from both the text-books and Thai lawyers are in the same way that juristic person will be tortiously liable for the act of its representative under the Section 76 of the Civil and Commercial Code or will be liable for the act of its agent or employee only. This is simply vicarious liability. Due to the damage resulting from juristic person's performance have been increased more and more daily. In some case the injured can not find that the damage he suffers resulting from whose act in that juristic person, or even though its representative, agent or employee does not commit willfully or negligently, but the damage still occur. In such case, the injured can not legally proceed with the case against such juristic person. So, it is suitable to consider the act and liability of juristic person specifically regarding to committing tort by itself under the Section 420 of the Civil and Commercial Code, that is the injured can easily prove the liability of juristic person without regarding to the act of any other person relating to such juristic person.